

THE INFLUENCE OF BUDGET TRANSPARENCY ON PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN REGIONAL FINANCIAL SUPERVISION: A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW

Lilis Karfina AR¹, Haliah², Nirwana³

^{1,2,3}Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Jurusan Akuntansi, Universitas Hasanuddin, Indonesia

Alamat Kampus : Jl. Perintis Kemerdekaan

*Email : liliskarfina@icloud.com, haliah@fe.unhas.ac.id, nirwana@unhas.ac.id

Received : 12 February 2025

Published : 30 April 2025

Revised : 23 February 2025

DOI : 10.54443/ijeas.v5i2.2841

Accepted : 18 March 2025

Link Publish : <https://radjapublika.com/index.php/IJEBAAS>

Abstract

This systematic literature review explores the impact of budget transparency on public participation in regional financial supervision. The review highlights the essential role of transparency in promoting accountability, fostering public trust, and enhancing the efficiency of local governance. Public participation, as a key element in the budgeting process, helps to ensure that government expenditures align with the needs and priorities of citizens, thereby improving the effectiveness of financial oversight. The review also addresses the challenges and barriers faced in implementing budget transparency and public participation, such as limited public access to financial information, lack of civic engagement, and political resistance. By synthesizing findings from various studies, the review underscores the significance of fostering a transparent, inclusive environment that encourages active public participation in regional financial management. The study concludes that effective budget transparency, coupled with robust public involvement, is essential for achieving sustainable development and good governance at the regional level.

Keyword : *budget transparency, public participation, regional financial supervision*

Introduction

In recent years, the concepts of budget transparency and public participation have gained significant traction in the domain of public financial management. These elements are increasingly being recognized as pivotal for enhancing accountability, ensuring efficient allocation of public resources, and fostering citizen trust in government institutions. Budget transparency, defined as the openness of government budgeting processes and the accessibility of fiscal information to the public, plays a crucial role in enabling public oversight. Public participation, on the other hand, denotes the active engagement of citizens in the budgeting process, allowing them to influence public decision-making and monitor government actions. This systematic literature review explores the influence of budget transparency on public participation in regional financial supervision, synthesizing insights from various empirical studies across different geographical and administrative contexts.

The relationship between budget transparency and public participation is symbiotic. When fiscal information is made accessible, understandable, and timely, it empowers citizens to participate meaningfully in fiscal governance (Ríos, Bastida, & Benito, 2016). This relationship is especially crucial in the context of regional financial supervision, where local governments are closer to the citizenry and their decisions have immediate effects on community welfare. Empirical studies have consistently shown that transparency fosters public trust, enhances civic engagement, and leads to more responsive and accountable governance (Cuadrado-Ballesteros & Bisogno, 2022).

One notable study by Tamaela and Pattiasina (2020) highlights the role of public policy transparency as a moderator in the relationship between community participation and regional financial monitoring. Their findings suggest that community involvement in fiscal oversight is significantly enhanced when accompanied by transparent public policies, which in turn lead to more effective monitoring mechanisms. Similarly, Bure, Khuzaini, and Santoso (2023) demonstrated that the competency of board members, public accountability, and policy transparency significantly affect regional financial supervision, with public participation acting as a mediating variable. These studies collectively underscore the importance of transparency not merely as a principle but as an operational tool for encouraging civic involvement in financial oversight.

The influence of budget clarity—a component of budget transparency—was investigated by Ahmad (2020), who found that budget clarity, public participation, and managerial performance significantly influence regional financial transparency and accountability in East Lombok District, Indonesia. These results emphasize that the clarity and comprehensibility of budget documents are fundamental for facilitating public understanding and participation. In the same vein, Rotinsulu and Nurnajamuddin (2021) examined the effects of budget participation, transparency, and internal controls on financial accountability and performance in Banggai District. They found that higher levels of transparency and public engagement positively correlate with improved regional financial outcomes. Transparency also plays a mediating role in influencing other determinants of financial supervision. Johan and Rahmawati (2023) demonstrated that budget transparency and accountability in regional financial reports significantly affect the performance of local government agencies, with regional financial supervision serving as a moderating variable. These findings support the argument that transparency enhances the effectiveness of financial monitoring by providing a solid informational foundation for supervisory activities.

Another critical dimension of this discourse involves the role of professional assistance and institutional support in promoting transparency. Masdar et al. (2021) explored the impact of transparency and professional assistance on financial management in Indonesian regional governments. Their study concluded that transparency, combined with expert support, leads to more accountable and efficient public financial management practices. This highlights the need for capacity building and technical guidance to complement transparency initiatives. Nainggolan (2022) further examined how the knowledge of council members influences regional financial supervision, with community participation and policy transparency acting as moderators. This study found that informed council members are more effective in their oversight roles, particularly when supported by active civic participation and transparent policies. Such findings reinforce the notion that transparency is not an isolated factor but one that operates within a broader ecosystem of governance elements.

The international perspective on budget transparency is equally enlightening. Ríos, Bastida, and Benito (2016) conducted a comparative analysis of budget transparency and legislative oversight across countries. Their findings indicate that transparency enhances legislative scrutiny and improves fiscal discipline. This is particularly relevant for regional governments, where legislative bodies are instrumental in ensuring fiscal responsibility. Cuadrado-Ballesteros and Bisogno (2022) further explored the link between transparency and financial sustainability, revealing that transparent budget practices are associated with long-term fiscal health. Participatory transparency, as conceptualized by Muthomi and Thurmaier (2021), represents an evolved model of transparency that goes beyond information dissemination to actively involve citizens in budgetary decision-making. Their study in Kenya demonstrates that such participatory models foster a more engaged and informed citizenry, leading to better governance outcomes. This participatory approach aligns with the findings of Jung (2022), who examined participatory budgeting in South Korea and found that it enhances government efficiency by aligning public spending with community priorities.

At the local level, the principles of transparency and accountability are also crucial for effective financial management. Bakhtiar (2021) examined the transparency and accountability of village fund allocations in Indonesia and concluded that these factors are vital for achieving good governance. This underscores the scalability of transparency's benefits, from the national to the village level, and its critical role in fostering inclusive and participatory governance structures. Despite the evident benefits, the literature also highlights several challenges in implementing transparency and fostering public participation. These include limited access to information, lack of technical understanding among citizens, bureaucratic resistance, and inadequate institutional frameworks. Addressing these barriers requires a multifaceted approach that includes legal reforms, capacity building, and the deployment of digital tools to enhance information dissemination and public engagement.

The primary objective of this study is to systematically review and analyze existing literature to understand the influence of budget transparency on public participation in regional financial supervision. This review aims to identify patterns, theoretical frameworks, and empirical findings that explain how transparency in budgeting processes affects the level and effectiveness of community involvement in overseeing regional financial management. The study also seeks to highlight key factors that enhance or hinder this relationship and to provide recommendations for improving participatory financial governance at the regional level.

Method

This research employs a systematic literature review methodology to explore the influence of budget transparency on public participation in regional financial supervision. The review process involves a comprehensive search and selection of relevant studies from multiple databases, including academic journals,

conference proceedings, and institutional reports. The selection criteria include peer-reviewed articles, books, and empirical studies published in the last decade that focus on budget transparency, public participation, and regional financial supervision across various geographical contexts. Studies are screened based on their relevance to the research objectives, and only those that provide significant insights into the relationship between transparency and participation are included. The data extracted from the selected studies are then analyzed using qualitative synthesis methods, where common themes, patterns, and theoretical frameworks are identified. The findings are organized to present a cohesive understanding of the impact of budget transparency on public engagement in financial oversight, while also recognizing contextual differences across regions. The review further discusses the challenges and limitations identified in the literature and offers recommendations for enhancing the effectiveness of budget transparency in fostering public participation in regional financial supervision.

Result and Discussion

The Role of Budget Transparency in Enhancing Public Trust and Accountability

Implementing budget transparency and fostering public participation in regional financial supervision is essential for promoting good governance, accountability, and effective fiscal management. However, despite its potential benefits, several challenges and barriers hinder the successful realization of these ideals. These challenges can be broadly categorized into institutional, informational, and socio-cultural barriers, which all influence the degree to which citizens can actively participate in the budgeting process and hold regional governments accountable.

One of the primary barriers to effective budget transparency is the lack of access to information. According to Tamaela and Pattiasina (2020), many regional governments struggle to provide easily accessible and understandable budget data to the public. Even when budget information is made available, it is often too technical, complex, or presented in formats that are not user-friendly. This makes it difficult for citizens, particularly those without a background in public finance, to comprehend the details and implications of the budget. As a result, public participation is limited, as individuals are unable to engage in meaningful discussions or provide informed feedback on budget allocations. Moreover, the lack of clarity in budget reports can undermine the credibility of the information, leading to public skepticism about the government's commitment to transparency.

Another significant challenge is institutional resistance from government officials and public servants who may be reluctant to embrace transparency and participatory practices. In many cases, governments have entrenched practices and administrative cultures that resist external scrutiny. Bure, Khuzaini, and Santoso (2023) highlight that public officials, particularly those in positions of power, may view transparency as a threat to their authority or an additional layer of accountability they are unwilling to accept. Additionally, the complexity of regional budgeting systems and the entrenched bureaucratic processes can make it difficult for governments to adopt participatory models. Political interests and power dynamics may further complicate efforts to implement reforms that promote budget transparency and community involvement. As a result, budget information may be selectively shared or obfuscated, hindering public participation and preventing the formation of a robust financial oversight mechanism.

The limited capacity of local governments to manage transparency initiatives effectively is another obstacle to the successful implementation of budget transparency and public participation. Ahmad (2020) points out that many regional governments, particularly in developing countries, lack the necessary technical expertise and resources to design and execute transparency measures. For example, insufficient training for government officials in budget preparation and public communication can result in poorly prepared budget documents that are difficult for the public to interpret. Moreover, the lack of specialized tools and technologies to facilitate the dissemination of budget information to the public exacerbates the problem. This technological gap can prevent the effective use of digital platforms that could enable better interaction between governments and citizens, particularly in rural or underserved areas. Without the necessary infrastructure and technical expertise, efforts to increase budget transparency can be ineffective and fail to engage the public in a meaningful way.

A related challenge involves societal factors, including the low level of civic engagement and the lack of public awareness about the importance of budget transparency. Nainggolan (2022) notes that in many regions, particularly in rural or marginalized communities, there is a general lack of awareness about how budget decisions impact public services and overall regional development. This lack of awareness can lead to apathy or disinterest in participating in the budgeting process. Furthermore, citizens may feel disillusioned or powerless, believing that their involvement will not lead to meaningful change. This passive attitude is often compounded by historical experiences of poor governance, corruption, and ineffective public policies, which can erode trust in the system. To

overcome these barriers, it is essential to develop educational programs that inform citizens about the importance of budget transparency and encourage active participation in regional financial oversight.

Cultural and political factors also play a significant role in shaping the success or failure of budget transparency initiatives. Ríos, Bastida, and Benito (2016) argue that in some political environments, particularly those with weak democratic institutions or high levels of corruption, government officials may intentionally limit public access to budget information as a way to maintain control over fiscal resources. The political environment can thus create a barrier to transparency, as politicians may prefer to keep budget decisions opaque to protect their interests and prevent scrutiny. Furthermore, in some regions, cultural norms and practices may discourage public participation in governance processes. In societies where citizens traditionally have limited engagement with government decision-making, encouraging active participation in budget discussions can be challenging. This cultural resistance to participatory governance can be difficult to overcome, requiring sustained efforts to build trust and foster a culture of accountability.

Political will is also a crucial determinant of whether budget transparency and public participation are successfully implemented. Without strong political support from elected officials, even the best-designed transparency initiatives can fail. Masdar et al. (2021) emphasize that political leaders must be committed to not only introducing transparency measures but also creating a conducive environment for public participation. However, in regions where political leaders are more focused on maintaining their power or securing personal benefits, the implementation of participatory budgeting may be undermined. This lack of political will can manifest in the selective release of budget information, where only certain aspects of the budget are made available to the public, or the deliberate exclusion of key stakeholders from the decision-making process.

Budgetary complexity and the technical nature of public finances can also deter public participation. As highlighted by Cuadrado-Ballesteros and Bisogno (2022), the process of developing and managing public budgets involves intricate financial data and procedures that may be challenging for the average citizen to understand. Without adequate simplification or explanation of the budget process, it becomes difficult for citizens to engage effectively. To address this issue, it is necessary to develop clear and simple communication strategies that can make budgetary information more accessible to a broader audience. This may involve the use of visual aids, public consultations, and participatory platforms that allow citizens to ask questions and provide feedback on financial decisions.

The Impact of Public Participation on Regional Financial Supervision

Public participation plays a critical role in the effective supervision of regional finances, acting as both a tool for ensuring transparency and a means to hold governments accountable for their fiscal management. When citizens are involved in the budgeting and financial oversight processes, they are better equipped to scrutinize government actions, provide feedback, and demand greater accountability. As noted by Tamaela and Pattiasina (2020), community participation not only strengthens the legitimacy of financial decision-making processes but also encourages transparency in government actions. Participatory financial supervision is particularly important in regions where governments may be prone to mismanagement or corruption, as the active involvement of the public serves as a counterbalance to potential inefficiencies or abuses of power.

One of the primary impacts of public participation in regional financial supervision is the improvement in fiscal accountability. According to Ahmad (2020), when citizens engage in budgetary discussions and oversight, it creates pressure on local governments to act in a manner that aligns with the public's interests and needs. Public participation enhances accountability by ensuring that budget allocations and expenditures are openly communicated and justifiable to the citizens who bear the economic burden of these decisions. This increased accountability not only promotes good governance but also ensures that public resources are allocated in ways that contribute to the overall well-being of the community, aligning with the goals of financial transparency.

Moreover, Bure, Khuzaini, and Santoso (2023) discuss the mediating role of community participation in enhancing the effectiveness of regional financial supervision. They argue that when public engagement is integrated into the financial oversight framework, it amplifies the role of public policy transparency and ensures that the financial supervision process becomes more inclusive and democratic. This participatory approach has been shown to improve the quality of governance by providing a platform for the public to voice concerns, propose solutions, and influence decision-making. For example, in various regions across Indonesia, the active participation of local communities in budget planning and financial monitoring has been linked to improved regional financial performance (Rotinsulu & Nurnajamuddin, 2021). These contributions not only create a sense of ownership among the public but also lead to more responsive and accountable local governments.

Public participation fosters a more effective and sustainable management of public funds. By involving citizens in the monitoring process, local governments are compelled to be more transparent about their spending and decision-making processes. This transparency, in turn, encourages greater public trust and collaboration between governments and their constituents. A study by Ríos, Bastida, and Benito (2016) demonstrates that where there is a strong connection between transparency and legislative oversight, the allocation of funds tends to be more aligned with the public's needs, contributing to greater financial sustainability in the long run. Public oversight also acts as a safeguard against misuse of public resources, ensuring that funds are directed toward projects that benefit the community as a whole.

Challenges and Barriers to Implementing Budget Transparency and Public Participation

Despite its benefits, the implementation of budget transparency and public participation in regional financial supervision is often fraught with challenges and barriers that hinder its effectiveness. One of the primary obstacles is the lack of access to information. Many regions still face difficulties in ensuring that budgetary documents are readily available, understandable, and accessible to the general public. According to Cuadrado-Ballesteros and Bisogno (2022), even in cases where budgetary transparency is legally mandated, local governments often fail to provide sufficient or user-friendly information, leaving citizens unable to fully engage in the financial oversight process. The complexity and technical nature of budgetary data can further alienate the public, limiting their ability to participate meaningfully.

Another significant barrier is the lack of capacity among citizens to engage in the budgetary process. As noted by Masdar et al. (2021), even when information is available, public participation can be hindered by the limited financial literacy and understanding of budgeting processes among the general population. In many cases, citizens may not have the necessary knowledge to critically assess government spending or to participate in complex financial discussions. This gap in knowledge can result in low levels of engagement and ineffective oversight, undermining the potential benefits of budget transparency. Additionally, local governments may not provide adequate support or resources to educate and empower citizens to participate effectively in budget-related activities.

Institutional resistance to transparency and public participation is another significant challenge. In some regions, government officials and institutions may be unwilling to embrace participatory models of financial supervision due to concerns about losing control over the budgetary process or being held accountable for past mismanagement. Johan and Rahmawati (2023) highlight that political elites and bureaucrats often resist transparency initiatives because they fear scrutiny from the public, which could expose inefficiencies or corruption. This resistance can manifest in various ways, from obstructing access to budgetary information to minimizing the role of citizens in decision-making processes. The lack of political will to promote transparency and public involvement can severely limit the effectiveness of financial oversight.

Political and social factors can affect the implementation of budget transparency and public participation. In regions where political instability or corruption is prevalent, there may be a lack of trust in government institutions, which makes it difficult to engage citizens in the oversight process. Nainggolan (2022) notes that when people perceive that their involvement will not lead to meaningful change, they are less likely to participate in financial monitoring activities. Furthermore, in areas with weak civil society or where public participation is not a traditional part of governance, there may be little motivation to initiate or sustain participatory budgeting practices.

The influence of external actors, such as international organizations and donors, can play a role in shaping the dynamics of public participation and budget transparency. While these actors can provide technical assistance and financial support, their involvement can sometimes lead to the imposition of standards or expectations that do not align with local realities. This disconnect between external recommendations and local capacities can undermine the success of transparency initiatives, as the solutions offered may not be feasible or suitable for the specific context of the region (Muthomi & Thurmaier, 2021).

Conclusion

The relationship between budget transparency and public participation in regional financial supervision is crucial for ensuring accountable, effective, and sustainable governance. Public participation enhances financial transparency by holding governments accountable for their fiscal decisions and allocations. Through active engagement, citizens can influence budgetary decisions, ensuring that public funds are managed in ways that meet community needs. However, the successful implementation of these principles faces numerous challenges, including limited access to information, inadequate citizen capacity, political resistance, and social factors that

hinder participation. Overcoming these barriers requires a concerted effort to improve financial literacy, ensure easy access to budget data, foster political will, and create inclusive and context-specific participatory processes. Ultimately, the combination of transparency and public participation plays a vital role in fostering good governance, strengthening democratic processes, and ensuring that public resources are utilized efficiently and equitably for the benefit of society.

REFERENCES

- Tamaela, E. Y., & Pattiasina, V. (2020). Regional financial monitoring models with community participation and public policy transparency as moderators. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24(2), 4223-4232.
- Bure, M. R., Khuzaini, K., & Santoso, B. H. (2023). The Influence Of Board Members Competency, Public Accountability and Public Policy Transparency on Regional Financial Supervision Community Participation As A Mediation Variable. *JHSS (JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL STUDIES)*, 7(2), 537-541.
- Ahmad, M. L. (2020). THE EFFECT OF BUDGET CLARITY, PUBLIC PARTICIPATION, AND MANAGERIAL PERFORMANCE ON REGIONAL FINANCIAL TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN EAST LOMBOK DISTRICT OF INDONESIA. *Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences*, 106(10), 40-51.
- Rotinsulu, C. N. M., & Nurnajamuddin, M. (2021). The Effect Of Budget Participation, Transparency and Internal Control on Accountability and Regional Financial Performance Banggai District. *American Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Research*.
- Johan, R., & Rahmawati, A. (2023). The Effect of Accountability of Regional Financial Report and Budget Transparency on SKPD Performance with Regional Financial Supervision as a Moderating Variable. *Saudi Journal of Economics and Finance*, 7(02), 77-83.
- Masdar, R., Furqan, A. C., Masruddin, M., & Meldawaty, L. (2021). The role of transparency and professional assistance in regional financial management in the Indonesian regional governments. *Journal of Public Affairs*, 21(3), e2666.
- Nainggolan, S. G. V. (2022). Knowledge Analysis Of The Council In Affecting Regional Financial Supervision: Community Participation And Public Policy Transparency As Moderating Variables. *Jurnal Akuntansi Bisnis Eka Prasetya Penelitian Ilmu Akuntansi*, 8(1), 12-26.
- Ríos, A. M., Bastida, F., & Benito, B. (2016). Budget transparency and legislative budgetary oversight: An international approach. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 46(5), 546-568.
- Cuadrado-Ballesteros, B., & Bisogno, M. (2022). Budget transparency and financial sustainability. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, 34(6), 210-234.
- Muthomi, F., & Thurmaier, K. (2021). Participatory transparency in Kenya: Toward an engaged budgeting model of local governance. *Public administration review*, 81(3), 519-531.
- Bakhtiar, B. (2021). Accountability and Transparency in Financial Management of Village Fund Allocations in Achieving Good Governance. *ATESTASI: Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi*, 4(2), 230-245.
- Jung, S. M. (2022). Participatory budgeting and government efficiency: evidence from municipal governments in South Korea. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 88(4), 1105-1123.