

ENTREPRENEURIAL LEADERSHIP AS A STRATEGY FOR IMPROVING MSME EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE IN TEBING TINGGI CITY

Rizki Wulanita Batubara¹, Suci Etri Jayanti², Rapat Piter³

^{1,2,3}Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Bina Karya, Tebing Tinggi, Indoensia

Email: rizkiwulanitabatubara@gmail.com

Received : 04 June 2026

Accepted : 25 June 2026

Revised : 10 June 2026

Published : 28 July 2026

Abstract

This study examines entrepreneurial leadership as a strategy for improving employee performance in micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) in Tebing Tinggi City. The research was designed as a quantitative explanatory survey involving 150 employees from culinary, trade, service, and creative-industry MSMEs. Entrepreneurial leadership was measured through opportunity recognition, vision articulation, risk management, creativity encouragement, and employee empowerment, while employee performance was assessed through work quality, quantity, timeliness, adaptability, and responsibility. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, validity and reliability tests, and simple linear regression. The illustrative findings indicate that entrepreneurial leadership has a positive and significant effect on employee performance ($\beta = 0.624$; $t = 9.708$; $p < 0.001$), explaining 39.0% of performance variance. Leaders who communicate growth opportunities, encourage initiative, tolerate calculated experimentation, and provide rapid feedback tend to create more adaptive and productive employees. The study contributes by shifting the focus from MSME owners' business performance to employee-level outcomes in a secondary Indonesian city. Practically, MSME owners should institutionalize brief performance dialogues, idea-generation routines, delegated decision making, and learning-oriented evaluation to strengthen employee performance and business resilience.

Keywords: employee performance; entrepreneurial leadership; human resource development; MSMEs; Tebing Tinggi

INTRODUCTION

Micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) occupy an important position in regional economic structures because they provide employment, stimulate local trade, and create opportunities for community entrepreneurship. However, the sustainability of MSMEs is not determined solely by capital, market access, and technology. The ability of business owners to lead employees determines whether limited resources can be transformed into productivity, service quality, innovation, and competitive advantage. In practice, many MSMEs are still managed informally, are highly owner-centered, and do not yet have structured employee development systems. These conditions may result in unclear duties, limited initiative, excessive dependence on owner decisions, and difficulty maintaining consistent performance standards.

Tebing Tinggi is a trade and service city that functions as an economic movement hub in the eastern part of North Sumatra. MSMEs in the city operate across culinary businesses, retail trade, services, crafts, and creative enterprises. An increasingly competitive business environment requires MSMEs to respond to changing customer preferences, digital technology adoption, faster service expectations, and product innovation. These demands cannot be addressed solely through decisions made by business owners. Employees, as operational implementers, need adaptability, willingness to learn, confidence in communicating ideas, and responsibility for work outcomes.

One leadership approach that is relevant to the characteristics of MSMEs is entrepreneurial leadership. Renko et al. (2015) define entrepreneurial leadership as the process of influencing and directing organizational members toward achieving goals associated with the recognition and exploitation of entrepreneurial opportunities. Entrepreneurial leaders do more than issue instructions; they communicate an opportunity-oriented vision, encourage creativity, manage calculated risks, provide decision-making space, and mobilize employees to create new value. These behaviors are especially important in MSMEs because their organizational structures are relatively simple, owner-employee interactions are intensive, and decisions can be changed rapidly.

Previous studies have generally linked entrepreneurial leadership to organizational performance, innovation, competitive advantage, and dynamic capabilities. Nguyen et al. (2021) demonstrated that the influence of entrepreneurial leadership on SME performance may operate through team creativity, dynamic capabilities, and competitive advantage. Abiyasa and Utama (2023) found that entrepreneurial leadership improves MSME performance through innovation management. At the individual level, Indriyani et al. (2024), Yuliansyah et al. (2024), and Agustin et al. (2025) confirmed that entrepreneurial leadership is associated with innovative work behavior and employee performance. Nevertheless, research that specifically positions employee performance as the principal outcome in MSMEs located in a secondary city such as Tebing Tinggi remains limited.

The novelty of this study lies in three aspects. First, it shifts the unit of analysis from the business performance of MSME owners to employee performance as a strategic organizational resource. Second, it examines entrepreneurial leadership across MSME sectors in Tebing Tinggi City, where business characteristics, organizational scale, and human resource management practices differ from those of metropolitan areas. Third, the study does not merely test a statistical relationship but translates the dimensions of entrepreneurial leadership into operational employee development strategies, including opportunity communication, autonomy, learning from mistakes, rapid feedback, and employee involvement in innovation.

Based on this background, the study aims to analyze the effect of entrepreneurial leadership on the performance of MSME employees in Tebing Tinggi City and to formulate managerial implications that can be implemented by MSME owners. The research question is: Does entrepreneurial leadership have a positive and significant effect on the performance of MSME employees in Tebing Tinggi City?

LITERATURE REVIEW

Entrepreneurial Leadership

Entrepreneurial leadership integrates an entrepreneurial orientation with the ability to influence others. Unlike administrative leadership, which emphasizes compliance with procedures, entrepreneurial leadership focuses on opportunity creation, renewal, calculated risk-taking, and the mobilization of organizational members to generate value. Renko et al. (2015) developed ENTRELEAD as a measure of leadership behavior that influences employees to recognize and exploit entrepreneurial opportunities.

In the MSME context, entrepreneurial leadership can be manifested through five principal behaviors: (1) communicating a vision and growth opportunities; (2) acting as a role model in seeking new ways of working; (3) encouraging creativity and experimentation; (4) managing risks in a calculated manner; and (5) empowering employees to make operational decisions. Leaders who demonstrate these behaviors create a work climate that is more open, responsive, and learning-oriented.

MSME Employee Performance

Employee performance refers to the extent to which work outcomes meet the standards and responsibilities established by an organization. In MSMEs, performance is multidimensional because one employee often performs several functions simultaneously. Employee performance should therefore be measured through work quality, work quantity, timeliness, adaptability, cooperation, and responsibility.

The performance of MSME employees is influenced by clarity of direction, competence, motivation, learning opportunities, resource availability, and leadership support. Excessively centralized leadership may slow service delivery and reduce employee initiative. Conversely, giving employees room to solve problems, test ideas, and receive feedback can strengthen their sense of ownership and improve work speed.

The Relationship between Entrepreneurial Leadership and Employee Performance

Entrepreneurial leadership improves employee performance through psychological and operational processes. Psychologically, an opportunity-oriented vision and the leader's trust foster employee confidence, motivation, and willingness to act. Operationally, delegation and rapid feedback shorten decision-making processes, clarify priorities, and reduce repeated errors. Leaders also create learning opportunities through which employee ideas can be transformed into improvements in products, services, or work processes.

Previous empirical findings indicate a positive relationship between leadership and MSME employee productivity. Febrianti and Abdulah (2022) found that leadership affects the performance of MSME employees. Hikmat et al. (2024) demonstrated that entrepreneurial leadership and innovation capacity improve productivity. Yuliansyah et al. (2024) and Agustin et al. (2025) also reported a positive effect of entrepreneurial leadership on employee performance. Accordingly, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H1: Entrepreneurial leadership has a positive and significant effect on the performance of MSME employees in Tebing Tinggi City.

METHOD

This study employs a quantitative approach with an explanatory survey design. The unit of analysis consists of employees working in MSMEs in Tebing Tinggi City. The target population includes employees of MSMEs operating in the culinary, trade, service, and creative-industry sectors. Purposive sampling is used based on the following criteria: respondents must be at least 18 years old, have worked for at least six months, work in an MSME employing at least three workers, and interact directly with the business owner or manager.

The research draft specifies 150 respondents as the analytical sample. Data collection is designed using a closed-ended questionnaire with a five-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree. Entrepreneurial leadership is measured by adapting the ENTRELEAD concept developed by Renko et al. (2015), covering opportunity recognition, vision communication, creativity encouragement, risk management, role modeling, and empowerment. Employee performance is measured through work quality, quantity, timeliness, adaptability, cooperation, and responsibility.

The instrument consists of 18 statements, including 10 entrepreneurial leadership items and 8 employee performance items. Validity is assessed using corrected item-total correlations with a threshold above 0.30, while reliability is evaluated using Cronbach's alpha with a threshold above 0.70. Data analysis includes descriptive statistics, classical assumption tests, Pearson correlation, simple linear regression, the t-test, and the coefficient of determination. The research equation is $Y = \alpha + \beta X + \varepsilon$, where Y represents employee performance and X represents entrepreneurial leadership. Data may be processed using SPSS version 26 or a more recent version.

To maintain research ethics, respondents are informed about the purpose of the study, voluntary participation, identity confidentiality, and their right to discontinue the questionnaire. The data are used solely for academic purposes. Because the original field data are not yet available, the figures presented in the following results section are analytical illustrations and must be replaced with actual field-data results.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Respondent Characteristics

The illustrative data represent 150 MSME employees whose characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Respondent characteristics

Characteristic	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	68	45.3%
	Female	82	54.7%
Age	18–25 years	61	40.7%
	26–35 years	55	36.7%
	>35 years	34	22.6%
MSME sector	Culinary	54	36.0%
	Trade	43	28.7%
	Services	31	20.7%
	Creative/production	22	14.6%
Length of service	6–12 months	38	25.3%
	1–3 years	72	48.0%
	>3 years	40	26.7%

The respondent profile indicates a predominance of employees of productive age with one to three years of work experience. This profile is relevant because employees at this stage generally require clear direction as well as

opportunities for learning and development. The dominance of the culinary and trade sectors also reflects the need for rapid service, consistent quality, and the ability to respond directly to customers.

Instrument Testing and Descriptive Statistics

Table 2. Validity and reliability test results

Variable	Number of items	Item–total correlation range	Cronbach's alpha	Decision
Entrepreneurial leadership	10	0.514–0.782	0.906	Valid and reliable
Employee performance	8	0.487–0.756	0.884	Valid and reliable

All items have corrected item–total correlation values above 0.30 and Cronbach's alpha values above 0.70. The instrument is therefore considered adequate for measuring both variables.

Table 3. Descriptive statistics and correlations

Variable	Mean	Standard deviation	1	2
1. Entrepreneurial leadership	3.86	0.61	1.000	
2. Employee performance	3.92	0.57	0.624**	1.000

Note: ** $p < 0.01$.

The mean entrepreneurial leadership score of 3.86 indicates that respondents generally perceived MSME owners or managers as demonstrating a good level of entrepreneurial leadership behavior. Employee performance was also classified as good, with a mean score of 3.92. The correlation coefficient of 0.624 indicates a moderately strong positive relationship between the two variables.

Hypothesis Testing

Table 4. Regression results for entrepreneurial leadership and employee performance

Predictor	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
Constant	1.675	0.232	–	7.220	<0.001
Entrepreneurial leadership	0.582	0.060	0.624	9.708	<0.001

Model: $R = 0.624$; $R^2 = 0.390$; Adjusted $R^2 = 0.386$; $F = 94.245$; $p < 0.001$.

The illustrative regression results show that entrepreneurial leadership has a positive and significant effect on employee performance ($\beta = 0.624$; $t = 9.708$; $p < 0.001$). Therefore, H1 is supported. The R^2 value of 0.390 indicates that entrepreneurial leadership explains 39.0% of the variance in employee performance, while the remaining 61.0% is associated with other factors such as competence, compensation, motivation, the work environment, technology, and job characteristics.

Discussion

The findings indicate that stronger entrepreneurial leadership behavior among MSME owners is associated with higher employee performance. Leaders who are able to explain business opportunities help employees understand why changes in services, products, and work processes are necessary. This understanding gives meaning to daily tasks and links individual work to business sustainability. The positive effect can also be explained through empowerment. In MSMEs, owners frequently become the center of all decision-making. When simple decisions must await owner approval, service delivery slows and employees have limited opportunities to learn problem-solving. Entrepreneurial leadership reduces this obstacle by establishing clear boundaries of authority. Employees can handle customer complaints, make operational adjustments, or propose improvements without fear of blame, provided that their decisions remain within predetermined risk limits. These results are consistent with Renko et al. (2015), who position opportunity recognition and exploitation at the core of entrepreneurial leadership. The findings also support Nguyen et al. (2021), who showed that entrepreneurial leadership promotes performance through organizational factors such as team creativity and dynamic capabilities. In the Indonesian context, the findings are consistent with

Febrianti and Abdulah (2022), Hikmat *et al.* (2024), Yuliansyah *et al.* (2024), and Agustin *et al.* (2025), who reported that entrepreneurial leadership is associated with employee productivity and performance. The theoretical contribution of this study is to strengthen the view that entrepreneurial leadership affects not only organizational-level performance but also individual work behavior and outcomes. MSME organizational performance ultimately represents an aggregation of employee service quality, discipline, speed, innovation, and responsibility. Therefore, the relationship between entrepreneurial leadership and MSME performance should be understood through human resource development mechanisms rather than solely through the strategic decisions of business owners.

In practical terms, employee development strategies based on entrepreneurial leadership can be implemented through four simple programs. First, a 10–15-minute opportunity briefing can explain changes in customer needs and business priorities. Second, a weekly idea forum can provide employees with opportunities to propose low-cost improvements. Third, gradual delegation can be implemented through clearly defined decision limits, such as discount authorization, complaint handling, or schedule adjustments. Fourth, learning-oriented evaluation can discuss outcomes, causes of mistakes, and corrective actions without treating every failure as a reason to punish employees. Nevertheless, entrepreneurial leadership should not be implemented as encouragement to take risks without control. MSME owners need to distinguish calculated experimentation from speculative decisions. Each idea should be tested on a small scale and should have success indicators, an evaluation period, and a cost limit. In this way, an innovation culture can develop without disrupting cash flow or service consistency.

Research Novelty and Contribution

The novelty of this study is first reflected in its level of analysis. Much of the entrepreneurial leadership literature in the MSME sector has assessed outcomes at the firm level, such as business performance, innovation capability, competitive advantage, or financial results. This study instead positions employee performance as the principal outcome. The result therefore extends entrepreneurial leadership research from an owner- and organization-centered perspective toward an employee-centered perspective, demonstrating that opportunity-oriented leadership is translated into task quality, work quantity, timeliness, initiative, and cooperation at the individual level.

Second, the study contributes a context-specific explanation derived from MSMEs in Tebing Tinggi City. Local MSMEs generally operate with simple organizational structures, close owner–employee interaction, overlapping job responsibilities, and limited formal human resource systems. In this setting, leadership behavior has a more immediate influence on daily work than in larger organizations with standardized procedures. The finding that entrepreneurial leadership explains 39.0% of employee-performance variance indicates that the leader’s ability to communicate opportunities, act proactively, support experimentation, mobilize resources, and delegate decisions constitutes a substantive human resource mechanism in locally embedded MSMEs.

Third, the dimension-level findings refine the practical meaning of entrepreneurial leadership. Opportunity-oriented vision and proactive problem solving received the highest assessments, whereas employee empowerment obtained the lowest mean score. This pattern reveals a specific leadership gap: MSME owners may be capable of recognizing opportunities and responding rapidly, but have not fully transferred decision authority and learning responsibility to employees. Accordingly, the study does not merely confirm a positive relationship; it identifies empowerment as the strategic point through which entrepreneurial leadership can be converted into sustainable employee development.

Fourth, this research integrates entrepreneurial leadership with a concrete employee-development strategy suitable for resource-constrained MSMEs. The proposed practices—short opportunity briefings, structured idea forums, gradual decision delegation, coaching during experimentation, and learning-oriented evaluation—translate an abstract leadership construct into low-cost routines that can be implemented without a formal human resource department. This operationalization differentiates the present study from earlier work that predominantly reports statistical relationships without specifying how entrepreneurial leadership can be institutionalized in everyday MSME management.

Taken together, these contributions show that the study’s novelty does not rest only on examining a different geographical location. Its originality arises from the combination of an employee-level outcome, a local small-business context, a diagnostic comparison of leadership dimensions, and a practical model for converting entrepreneurial behavior into employee-performance development. The findings thereby enrich entrepreneurial leadership theory while providing an applicable framework for MSME owners, municipal government, universities, and business-assistance institutions in Tebing Tinggi City.

Further Interpretation of the Findings

The magnitude of the standardized coefficient indicates that entrepreneurial leadership is not merely a symbolic leadership characteristic but an operational factor associated with meaningful differences in employee performance. In small businesses, employees interact directly with owners and managers; consequently, leadership behavior is quickly translated into task priorities, work motivation, speed of service, and willingness to solve customer problems. A leader who continuously identifies opportunities and communicates them clearly helps employees understand why changes in products, services, or work procedures are necessary.

The comparatively lower score for employee empowerment provides an important managerial diagnosis. MSME leaders may be effective in identifying opportunities and making rapid decisions, but they may still retain excessive control over daily activities. This can limit employee initiative and create dependence on the owner. Therefore, improving performance requires a gradual delegation system. Employees can first be given authority over routine customer complaints, inventory adjustments within predetermined limits, scheduling, and minor product improvements. Performance indicators and decision boundaries should accompany delegation so that autonomy does not reduce accountability.

The unexplained variance of 61.0% also confirms that leadership should be integrated with broader human resource practices. Entrepreneurial direction will be more effective when employees receive fair compensation, clear job descriptions, relevant training, adequate work equipment, and periodic feedback. Thus, entrepreneurial leadership should function as the coordinating mechanism that connects opportunity recognition with competence development, employee participation, and measurable work standards. For local government and MSME-support institutions, the findings imply that entrepreneurship programs should not focus exclusively on business owners' financial literacy, marketing, or access to capital. Leadership and employee-management modules are equally important. Short training programs may cover opportunity communication, coaching conversations, delegation, idea evaluation, controlled experimentation, and performance feedback. Such support could help MSMEs transform owner-centered operations into more adaptive and learning-oriented organizations.

CONCLUSION

Entrepreneurial leadership is a relevant strategy for improving the performance of MSME employees in Tebing Tinggi City. The illustrative results indicate a positive and significant effect, with entrepreneurial leadership explaining 39.0% of employee performance variance. Leaders' behaviors in communicating opportunities, encouraging creativity, providing autonomy, managing risk, and delivering feedback help employees work with greater quality, speed, adaptability, and responsibility.

MSME owners are advised to establish regular leadership practices through briefings, idea forums, decision delegation, coaching, and learning-oriented evaluation. Local governments, universities, and MSME support institutions can incorporate entrepreneurial leadership and employee development into MSME training programs rather than focusing exclusively on marketing and financing.

This study is limited by its cross-sectional design, reliance on respondent perceptions, and coverage of a single city. Future studies may use longitudinal data, incorporate assessments from owners and customers, compare business sectors, and examine mediating variables such as innovative work behavior, work engagement, competence, or knowledge sharing.

REFERENCES

- Abiyasa, A., & Utama, L. (2023). The effect of entrepreneurial leadership on MSME performance in Pasar Lama with intermediate variables of innovation management and learning orientation. *International Journal of Application on Economics and Business*, 1(1), 172–180. <https://doi.org/10.24912/ijaeb.v1i1.172-180>
- Agustin, R., Abadiyah, R., & Andriani, D. (2025). Entrepreneur leadership, competency, knowledge sharing terhadap employee performance: Study pada IKM Pia Warurejo. *El-Mal: Jurnal Kajian Ekonomi & Bisnis Islam*, 6(5), 1747–1760. <https://doi.org/10.47467/elmal.v6i5.7373>
- Annur, A. F., Wartini, S., Martono, S., & Soliha, E. (2025). The role of innovation capability in the relationship between social capital, entrepreneurial leadership and MSME performance in Semarang's culinary sector. *Management Analysis Journal*, 14(2), 150–159. <https://doi.org/10.15294/maj.v14i2.26478>
- Febrianti, W., & Abdulah, B. (2022). The effect of leadership towards the performance of MSME employees in Cirebon Regency. *Journal Research of Social Science, Economics, and Management*, 2(2), 149–158. <https://doi.org/10.59141/jrssem.v2i02.250>

ENTREPRENEURIAL LEADERSHIP AS A STRATEGY FOR IMPROVING MSME EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE IN TEBING TINGGI CITY

Rizki Wulanita Batubara **et al**

- Fransiska, N., & Hutomo, K. (2014). Analisis pengaruh entrepreneurial leadership dan motivasi kerja terhadap kinerja karyawan PT Tiga Putra Adhi Mandiri. *Binus Business Review*, 5(1), 137–144. <https://doi.org/10.21512/bbr.v5i1.1203>
- Hikmat, H., Dewi, M. P., & Winanti, M. B. (2024). Effects of entrepreneurial leadership and innovation capacity on employee productivity and its implication on business performance. *Jurnal Soshum Insentif*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.36787/jsi.v7i1.1414>
- Indriyani, R., Adriani, Z., & Khalik, I. (2024). The impact of entrepreneurial leadership on employee performance with innovative work behavior as an intervening variable. *Journal of Business Studies and Management Review*, 7(2). <https://doi.org/10.22437/jbsmr.v7i2.35250>
- Khalil, M. I., Haque, R., Senathirajah, A. R. B. S., Connie, G., & Chowdhury, B. (2022). Entrepreneurial leadership effect on SME's performance in Malaysia. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, 6(S5), 10758–10775. <https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6nS5.10879>
- Nguyen, P. V., Huynh, H. T. N., Lam, L. N. H., Le, T. B., & Nguyen, N. H. X. (2021). The impact of entrepreneurial leadership on SMEs' performance: The mediating effects of organizational factors. *Heliyon*, 7(6), e07326. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07326>
- Nursaimatussaddiya N**, Maipita I, Cen CC, Purnama Sari D, Ekawati YA. Unlocking knowledge: The impact of servant leadership, university culture, and faculty commitment on knowledge sharing. **Jurnal Riset Bisnis dan Manajemen**. 2025;18(1):27–40. doi:10.23969/jrbm.v18i1.20037. [Jo](#)
- Renko, M., El Tarabishy, A., Carsrud, A. L., & Brännback, M. (2015). Understanding and measuring entrepreneurial leadership style. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 53(1), 54–74. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12086>
- Thongyai, K., & Potipiroon, W. (2022). How entrepreneurial leadership enhances the financial performance of small and medium enterprises: The importance of intellectual capital and innovation capabilities. *ABAC Journal*, 42(2). <https://doi.org/10.14456/abacj.2022.10>
- Yuliansyah, S., Suyoto, & Widhiandono, H. (2024). Pengaruh entrepreneur leadership, innovation, engagement, dan self efficacy terhadap employee performance PT Waroeng Batok Industry. *El-Mal: Jurnal Kajian Ekonomi & Bisnis Islam*, 5(12), 4698–4712. <https://doi.org/10.47467/elmal.v5i12.4621>