

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT THROUGH SOCIAL ACTION: A STUDY OF THE IMPACT OF COMMUNITY-LED INITIATIVES ON SOCIAL CHANGE IN SOUTH AFRICA

Phoke Alpa Rakgwata¹, Janine Shout Ramothwa², Decent Munzhelele³

¹Departement of Social Work, University of Venda, Faculty of Humanities, Social Science

²Departement of Politics and International Relations, University of Johannesburg

¹Departement of Social Work, University of Venda, Faculty of Humanities, Social Science

Email: Rakgwata.alpha@gmail.com¹, ramothwajanine@gmail.com², decent.munzhelele@gmail.com¹

Received: 16 January 2025 Published: 18 March 2025

Revised: 30 January 2025 DOI: https://doi.org/10.54443/ijerlas.v5i2.2584
Accepted: 15 February 2025 Link Publish: https://radjapublika.com/index.php/IJERLAS

Abstract

Community development through social action has emerged as a crucial pathway for addressing socio-economic challenges and promoting sustainable change. The study explores the role of community-led initiatives in fostering social change within South Africa, focusing on how such grassroots movements contribute to the broader process of community development. The aim of the study is to investigate the impact of community-driven actions on social change, examining their effectiveness in addressing local issues, empowering marginalized groups, and promoting sustainable development. A qualitative approach was employed to capture the depth and nuances of community experiences, with exploratory research guiding the investigation into various community-led programs and their outcomes. Comprehensive desktop research was utilized to gather secondary data, drawing on existing literature, reports, and case studies relevant to the topic. The data collected was then analyzed using thematic analysis to identify key patterns and themes that shed light on the ways in which community action influences social transformation. The findings contribute to a deeper understanding of the transformative potential of community-led initiatives and offer insights for policy makers, practitioners, and scholars seeking to enhance social development in South Africa and similar contexts.

Keywords: Community development, Impact, Social action, social change.

Acknowledgement

The authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was self-funded

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

The data are not publicly available due to restrictions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declares no conflict of interest.

Phoke Alpa Rakgwata et al

INTRODUCTION

South Africa, a nation that has long fought for justice and equality throughout the apartheid era, continues to face significant political challenges, including social inequality, economic struggles, corruption scandals, and social fragmentation (Coetzee, 2024). While there have been various state-led interventions, these efforts alone cannot overshadow the need for inclusive, innovative, and sustainable solutions. Community-led initiatives (CLIs), driven by grassroots actors within communities, have emerged as powerful agents of social transformation, fostering resilience and empowering marginalized populations.

To better understand the impact of community-led initiatives on social change in South Africa, this study defines CLIs as self-organized initiatives where people work together on ongoing projects or programs towards a defined set of social goals within their local communities (Henfrey et al., 2023). This definition aligns with social change, which refers to altering societal norms and cultural values over time, resulting in broader social impacts that enhance equity, justice, and inclusion (The Social Work, 2022).

In South Africa, countless CLIs form part of trans-local networks that seek to strengthen local action through resource sharing, mutual support, collaboration, and collective learning. These initiatives often operate independently of governments, functioning within legislative and socio-cultural contexts that influence their development in limited ways. Nevertheless, their impact on communities brings hope and transformative change to those in need (Henfrey et al., 2023). These initiatives empower communities to unite, foster trust, and achieve lasting change through food banks, disaster relief efforts, education programs, and social justice campaigns (RevivalFM). Their mission is to envision a better, sustainable, alternative future, realizing significant social impacts (Rossitto et al., 2021). They achieve this by bringing together community members and fostering local collaborations across diverse fields as a strategy to pursue shared goals (Amobi et al., 2019).

Understanding the impact of these initiatives is essential for evaluating their contribution to South Africa's broader developmental goals, such as poverty alleviation, community empowerment, and the realization of social justice. Moreover, these initiatives provide valuable lessons for fostering participatory democracy and sustainable development in diverse contexts. Despite the growing body of research on government-led interventions, there is limited focus on community-led initiatives and their role in driving social change.

This study, therefore, seeks to explore the role of community-led initiatives in fostering social change within South Africa, focusing on how such grassroots movements contribute to the broader community development process. Specifically, it investigates the impact of community-driven actions on social change, examining their effectiveness in addressing local issues, empowering marginalized groups, and promoting sustainable development. In a country where hope often grows from the grassroots, this study sheds light on how community-led initiatives pave the way for a more inclusive and equitable South Africa, one community at a time.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK Historical Background of Community Development in South Africa

The historical development of South African communities becomes deeper when observed through its political social economic past especially apartheid (Jacobs & Schutte, 2023). South Africa operated under a system of extreme racial segregation combined with deep inequality through its apartheid phase because black people received systematic persecution and discriminatory political and economic limitations. Community development measures during this period often raised suspicions from public institutions who used them either for maintaining control of marginalized groups or performing insignificant reforms that failed to solve root inequality problems as Adedayo and Zondo (2016) reported. The Black Consciousness Movement under Steve Biko and the South African Council of Churches (SACC) led community activities at the grassroots level which became fundamental in generating social change (Sweeney & Viljoen, 2017).

The democratic government incorporated community development as a priority in South Africa post-apartheid because it worked to fix the social inequalities built up during the apartheid era (Barnard & Van der Westhuizen, 2019). The Reconstruction and Development Programme (RDP) functions with two central aims which include community empowerment together with disparity elimination. These initiatives have proven insufficient to eliminate high unemployment and poverty along with inequality yet highlight the vital need for continuing successful community development programs (Brown & Smith, 2018). Local decision-making and development processes now include both governmental and non-governmental tactics to involve communities.

Phoke Alpa Rakgwata et al

Key Community-Led Initiatives Driving Social Change

Communities throughout South Africa spearhead most of the country's social development programs particularly in disadvantaged areas (Sweeney & Viljoen, 2017). Hendricks & Kabiru (2020) explain such programs base their approach on participatory development because members of the community lead identification of issues alongside efforts to solve them. SAFURP stands as a well-recognized community-led organization in South Africa. Through its advocacy the organization fights for more fair urban planning through supports efforts regarding land rights alongside informal settlements. The Isandla Institute operates as a prominent organization that establishes coordination between state institutions and civil society entities and citizenry to empower communities for sustainable urban development (Jacobs & Schutte, 2023).

The Women's National Coalition succeeded in its mission to achieve gender equality inclusion within South Africa's constitution after apartheid (Hendricks & Kabiru, 2020). Social groups facing environmental harm from pollution and mining programs have launched grassroots campaigns as demonstrated through the South African Environmental Justice Network (SAEJN). Success in social change stems from the work of community-led projects which empower underrepresented groups to raise their voices and fight for their own rights of progress (Adedayo & Zondo, 2016).

The Role of NGOs and International Organizations in Community-Led Development

Non-governmental organizations along with international organizations through their partnership have effectively supported community-led development throughout South African communities which persisted from apartheid times (Evans & Pretorius, 2015). The organizations partner with local communities for technical support and financial resources and educational knowledge specifically focused on housing healthcare and education (Hendricks & Kabiru, 2020). ActionAid South Africa together with The Desmond Tutu HIV Foundation work to construct resilience programs that boost service access and support long-term development.

The UNDP together with organizations such as Oxfam create policies that fund community development activities (Garcia & Lemma, 2021). Other organizations like Oxfam and United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) act to empower neglected communities while securing participation for all stakeholders plus they push governments to create laws that address poverty and inequality roots (Dlamini & Ntuli, 2023). Critics have raised concerns about these organizations because people frequently question the goals behind their financial activities and their cultural awareness and their project enterprise stability. Participation of these entities faces the main challenge of ensuring development direction remains effective for local communities after their involvement (Holtzhausen & Roodt, 2022).

Measuring the Impact of Community-Led Initiatives

The assessment of community-led initiative outcomes proves to be an essential yet complex methodical process. The three theoretical elements of community empowerment and social cohesiveness and local agency often remain hidden from traditional quantitative development calculations including economic progress and infrastructure advancement (Chikodzi & Moyo, 2017). The number of alternative assessment methods for community-led initiatives success has increased due to the growing interest (Wilson & Reddy, 2021). Maluleke & Magubane (2019) state that participatory monitoring and evaluation techniques allow community members to assess direct impacts of projects and programs. The adoption of this method makes sure measurements align with local priorities and situations.

The assessment of enduring impacts from these programs remains complex because of entrenched economic along with social inequalities (Ncube & Mathebula, 2015). The lack of funding together with political resistance and broader systemic challenges prevent selected projects from achieving sustainable outcomes although alternative initiatives show success in service delivery and poverty reduction (Gush & Hall, 2018). Short-term operational achievement opposes systemic longevity in many cases. Community-led projects need assessments that target two main outcomes: immediate results and the creation of lasting systemic changes and community self-sustainability (Baker & Smit, 2021).

Theoretical Framework

Community Development Theory and Social Change Theory were two important theoretical frameworks used for the study. These theories gave researchers a thorough framework for examining how community-led projects promote social change.

Phoke Alpa Rakgwata et al

Community development theory

The community development theory explains that communities work actively to enhance their socioeconomic status and promote empowerment and boost their influence in decision-making processes (Chambers, 2017). Development achieves its best outcomes when community members drive it independently instead of receiving outside-imposed programs. Using Community Development Theory, the study explored how South African underprivileged neighbourhoods benefit from local leadership together with grassroots organizations directing their development initiatives. The area benefits from efforts which aim to improve health care provision and education quality and infrastructure standards and local economic opportunities. The concept served to study both the outcomes of community-led initiatives and how much local control led to sustained empowerment for citizens by focusing on community involvement.

Social change theory

According to Social Change Theory, societies evolve between different states through modifications that occur in structural components as well as ethical values and cultural practices (Giddens, 2018). The theory studies extended modifications of social political economic systems that appear after people groups or entire populations adjust their collective behaviors. The research adopted the Societal Change Theory to understand community projects' broad effects on South African social rules and governing policies. The examination benefited from this theory because it investigated changes to governmental policies and community access to essential services plus empowerment levels brought about by community activism especially in response to historical injustices. Social Change Theory functioned as a method to recognize local and national changes while establishing how community-level activities affect structural social institutions.

Research presented two alternative views about South African community-driven development operations by integrating these theories. The Community Development Theory describes localized grassroots results whereas Social Change Theory analyzes the relationship between local empowerment initiatives and national social changes. The combination of theory provided an evaluation framework to analyze both temporary and enduring changes which community-driven social initiatives triggered throughout different regions of South African society.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research applied qualitative desktop approaches to study Community Development Through Social Action: An Examination of the Influence of Community-Led Projects on Social Transformation in South Africa. The research uses a descriptive-interpretative method to analyze academic studies with policy documents and case studies and non-governmental organization reports to understand how grassroots initiatives help social change. The chosen methodology enables researchers to understand comprehensively how community-driven programs handle regional problems regarding inequality and marginalization as well as service accessibility.

Exploratory research was conducted to investigate various community-led initiatives, such as food banks, disaster relief efforts, educational programs, and social justice campaigns. These initiatives were analyzed to identify their outcomes and strategies for fostering resilience, empowerment, and sustainable social change. The study also explores how grassroots efforts address broader developmental goals, including poverty alleviation and participatory democracy.

Thematic analysis serves as the study's primary analytical framework, allowing for systematically identifying and interpreting patterns within the collected data. Key stages of this analysis include data familiarization, coding of relevant information, and theme development to uncover how community action drives social transformation. By examining recurring themes and outcomes, the study highlights how collective action promotes equity and justice within communities. This methodology addresses the intersection between social action and community development, providing practical and theoretical insights into the successes and challenges of community-led initiatives in South Africa. It emphasizes their critical role in fostering social cohesion, empowering marginalized groups, and contributing to sustainable development, offering valuable lessons for policymakers and practitioners.

Phoke Alpa Rakgwata et al

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Theme 1: Advocacy for social justice and human rights.

The study shows that supporting human rights and social justice is essential to empowering underprivileged groups and promoting social change. In South Africa, community-led initiatives have shown success in promoting broader societal reforms, fighting systemic inequalities, and defending the rights of historically oppressed groups (Hlongwane, 2020). These grassroots movements, which frequently have their roots in local activism, place a strong emphasis on the need for gender equality, cultural rights, and fair access to resources like housing, healthcare, and education (Mahlangu & Zondi, 2019). By advocating for social justice, these programs help to change the social fabric by lifting the voices of historically marginalized communities and fostering group action against the continuation of poverty, discrimination, and exclusion. Advocacy within these movements not only addresses immediate issues but also aims at long-term, structural change to create a more just and inclusive society (Singh & van der Walt, 2018).

The results also show that against long-standing social norms and policies that disadvantage vulnerable groups like women, the elderly, and people with disabilities, community-led initiatives are essential (Van Rooyen & Marais, 2018). By means of advocacy and direct action, these initiatives have increased public awareness of human rights abuses and exerted pressure on national and local governments to enact laws that uphold and advance human dignity (Khosa & Mazibuko, 2019). For instance, a shift towards more inclusive governance and policymaking has occurred in South Africa as a result of numerous communities organizing to demand equitable treatment in terms of social services, employment, and land ownership. Additionally, by encouraging unity and group empowerment, these programs have given communities the ability to take charge of their own growth and function as change agents (Shabalala & Mthembu, 2019). The study suggests that when communities are given the tools and support to advocate for their own rights, they are better equipped to challenge social injustices and create a sustainable impact that extends beyond their immediate environment, contributing to broader national and even global movements for human rights and social justice (Ndlovu & Hlungwani, 2016).

Theme 2: Education advancement and skill development.

The study demonstrates the vital role that skill development and educational advancement play in promoting social change within communities. In order to fill the gaps in formal education, give marginalized groups access to learning materials, and help them acquire critical skills that enhance their economic mobility and general quality of life, community-led initiatives have been crucial (Reddy & Khumalo, 2020). These programs often concentrate on non-traditional educational environments, like mentorship, vocational training, and adult literacy programs, which serve people who might have been shut out of the formal educational system because of financial constraints. Through equipping community members with vital skills like literacy, numeracy, and vocational knowledge, these programs not only foster individual growth but also advance the community (Nkrumah & Radebe, 2017). Education advancement, as driven by these initiatives, helps reduce unemployment rates, promote self-sufficiency, and fosters a culture of lifelong learning, ultimately strengthening the community's resilience and capacity for sustainable development.

The results also highlight how skill development change communities and individual lives over time. The researchers have acquired the knowledge and abilities necessary to launch small enterprises, participate actively in the workforce, or even support legislative changes that would better meet the economic and educational needs of their communities (Sithole, 2016). To ensure that participants are prepared to contribute to the development goals of their community, community-led educational programs frequently emphasize practical skills that correspond with local needs, such as construction, healthcare, or agriculture (Ndlovu & Hlungwani, 2016). In addition to closing the gap between formal education and the workforce, these programs inspire community members to see education as a tool for personal growth. The study also demonstrates that as individuals and groups collaborate to enhance their educational results, these initiatives foster a sense of shared accountability and solidarity. Social change has been fueled by this collective approach to education advancement and skill development, which has made South Africans more knowledgeable, skilled, and self-sufficient (Van Rooyen & Marais, 2018).

Theme 3: Economic empowerment and poverty reduction.

The study offers important new information about how community-led programs support poverty alleviation and economic empowerment. In addition to having more control over local resources, communities that take the initiative to drive their own development also learn vital skills for running enterprises and projects that promote economic independence. According to Shabalala & Mthembu (2019), these programs, whether they are centered on local enterprise

Phoke Alpa Rakgwata et al

development, cooperative businesses, or small-scale agriculture, give people a sense of accountability and ownership in the community, which helps them escape the cycle of poverty. The study also highlights how social action tactics, like microfinance initiatives and community-driven resource management, assist households in diversifying their sources of income, bringing stability to their finances and increasing their ability to withstand external shocks like natural disasters or economic downturns (Nkrumah & Radebe, 2017).

The study found that as communities become more involved in civic engagement and decision-making, economic empowerment of individuals results in wider social changes Botes & van Rensburg, 2020). By working together, communities have been able to confront structural injustices and insist on laws that give priority to their needs, including infrastructure, healthcare, and high-quality education. As individuals from various backgrounds unite to tackle shared problems, economic empowerment via community-led projects also fosters social cohesion (Sithole, 2016). By strengthening the social fabric, this sense of unity lowers susceptibility to exploitation and improves well-being for all. The study's main finding is that community-led economic empowerment is essential to removing obstacles to poverty because it gives people the means to escape it while also promoting larger social change (Wesson & Sookraj, 2020).

Theme 4: Sustainable development and environmental stewardship.

The study emphasizes the vital connection between stewardship and sustainable development in the framework of community-led projects. The fact that these programs are based on environmental sustainability principles where local communities actively manage their natural resources to promote long-term ecological balance is one of the major findings (Naidoo, 2018). In order to meet present needs and secure the welfare of future generations, numerous community-led initiatives, for instance, concentrate on water conservation, renewable energy sources, and sustainable agricultural methods (Hendricks & Roberts, 2017). Communities lessen their reliance on outside assistance while strengthening their ability to preserve and manage the environment by encouraging local stewardship of resource (Gibson & O'Sullivan, 2018). This emphasis on sustainability ensures that development efforts do not deplete the resources needed for future generations but rather encourage practices that align with the broader goals of ecological preservation and responsible resource use.

The study emphasizes that stewardships encompass the larger social and economic facets of sustainable development in addition to environmental issues. Initiatives led by the community place a strong emphasis on the value of encouraging people to take personal responsibility for the social well-being of their neighborhood (Hendricks & Roberts, 2017). This entails fostering local leadership, advocating for resource distribution that is fair, and creating long-term plans for economic resilience that are in line with social fabric preservation and community value (Mahlangu, 2021). According to the study, communities that embrace stewardship are better equipped to deal with issues like social inequality, economic instability, and climate change because they develop resilience and self-reliance via sustainable practices. A shared vision of development that incorporates social, environmental, and economic sustainability is also fostered by the dedication to stewardship (Lund & Sayed, 2020). According to the study's findings, community-led projects that emphasize sustainable development and collective stewardship not only empower people but also help to create long-lasting social change.

Theme 5: Community empowerment and leadership development

The study emphasizes the profound effects of leadership development and community empowerment on both the individual and group levels. The ability of community-led initiatives to empower people by allowing them to assume leadership roles and actively participate in life-altering decision-making processes is one of the main conclusions (Lund & Sayed, 2020). Members of the community learn new skills in areas like project management, organizational leadership, and conflict resolution through the training and mentoring that these programs frequently offer (Lund & Sayed, 2020). People thus acquire the self-assurance and capacity to spearhead initiatives that support regional needs, such as promoting improved infrastructure or expanding access to healthcare and education. This sense of empowerment is crucial in dismantling the power dynamics that often marginalize certain groups, particularly women and youth, fostering a more inclusive and participatory development process (Kamaria & Nzimande, 2021).

The study finds that since those who assume leadership positions in these programs are frequently at the vanguard of larger social change initiatives, community empowerment and leadership development are closely related. The growth of local leaders, especially in areas with a history of marginalization, improves the social cohesion of the community because they act as inspiration and role models for others (Chikuni & Maphalala, 2017). To better serve their communities, these local leaders are crucial in establishing community cohesion, coordinating resources, and influencing

Phoke Alpa Rakgwata et al

national and local policies (Laher & van der Merwe, 2018). Through internal leadership development, the community strengthens its ability to govern itself, lowering reliance on outside parties and facilitating more locally driven, sustainable solutions. The study emphasizes that community empowerment-based leadership development increases the overall impact of development initiatives by guaranteeing that change is locally owned and more likely to last overtime (Mbatha, 2020).

Theme 6: Strengthening social capital and networks

The study found that these programs encouraged community members to trust and work together, which is crucial for group efforts and long-term growth. Through the active participation of individuals in local decision-making and problem-solving processes, these initiatives fostered a sense of accountability and ownership, ultimately resulting in more resilient communities Mlambo, N., & Sithole, M. (2017). The study demonstrated that giving community members the authority to take charge and make choices not only helps them build stronger networks within their own communities but also provides a platform for more significant social change. These networks make it easier for people to share resources, knowledge, and support, all of which are essential for the long-term growth of marginalized communities (Pather & Khumalo, 2018).

According to Moyo (2020), these neighborhood-based projects significantly improved social cohesiveness and decreased social isolation. Shared experiences and cooperative efforts to address shared problems like health, education, and economic difficulties were especially effective in bolstering social capital. Strong social networks promote a sense of solidarity and belonging, which helps communities better meet their needs (Smith & Vilakazi, 2017). These projects also enhanced the community's ability to access essential services and fight for their rights by utilizing local resources and expertise, proving the transformative potential of social action in bringing about significant social change in South Africa (Mlambo & Sithole, 2017).

Theme 7: Advocacy and policy influence

The study found that these programs aim to influence policy decisions that directly impact marginalized communities in addition to focusing on development at the grassroots level. Communities were given the opportunity to voice their opinions on important topics like land rights, healthcare access, and education through concerted advocacy efforts (Amisi, 2018). The study made clear that when communities band together to raise awareness of their shared issues, legislators will take notice and they can influence legislation to better meet their needs Smith, G., & Vilakazi, 2017). Community members were given the opportunity to actively participate in policy discussions, which enabled them to question current disparities and promote more inclusive and equitable policies.

The study also discovered that these community-led advocacy initiatives worked best when supported by powerful networks and coalitions, which gave them the clout they needed to change policy outcomes. Kamaria & Nzimande (2021) indicates that to promote change at several levels, community organizations frequently worked with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, and even local governments. The study emphasized that effective advocacy involved more than just promoting causes; it also required establishing enduring bonds with important stakeholders to guarantee enduring impact (Khumalo & Moyo, 2017). These programs showed that local communities must be effective change agents in influencing laws that promote social justice and enhance the general welfare of South Africa's marginalized populations when they are cohesive and well-organized (Smith & Vilakazi, 2017).

Discussion

In South Africa, community-led initiatives play a variety of roles in promoting social change, with a primary focus on human rights and social justice advocacy. Particularly in the aftermath of apartheid, grassroots organizations have emerged as vital in opposing systems of inequality, upholding human dignity, and supporting underrepresented groups. These programs give people and organizations a forum to advocate for more extensive social justice reforms as well as to demand equal rights, access to healthcare, education, and housing. Communities should address pressing issues and further a broader conversation on domestic and international human rights issues by engaging in local advocacy. By amplifying the voices of the oppressed, community-led initiatives foster a culture of rights recognition and respect, positioning communities as active agents of change rather than passive recipients.

The main results of community-led programs in South Africa are skill development and educational advancement, which provide chances for people to end the cycle of poverty. To improve employability, a lot of community programs concentrate on offering education and vocational training, especially in underserved areas where

Phoke Alpa Rakgwata et al

formal educational systems frequently fall short. People should learn vital skills in fields like computer literacy, entrepreneurship, and technical trade through these programs, which are vital in a labor market that is changing quickly. Furthermore, through customization of programs to local requirements and environments, these programs guarantee that community members possess useful information that is directly relevant to their socioeconomic circumstances. The educational aspect of community development thus serves as a foundational tool for uplifting communities and providing them with the tools necessary for long-term economic stability.

Many community-led initiatives have poverty alleviation and economic empowerment as their primary objectives, with an emphasis on sustainable income-generating techniques. Communities are given the ability to generate their own economic opportunities by making resources like microfinance and entrepreneurship support more accessible. By focusing on self-sufficiency rather than reliance on outside assistance, this strategy empowers local communities to take care of their financial needs on their own. These programs frequently also concentrate on opening markets for locally produced goods and services, thereby bolstering local economies. Because community members are actively involved in determining their financial futures, this focus on local economic development not only reduces poverty but also cultivates a sense of pride and ownership in them.

Environmental stewardship and sustainable development are becoming more and more significant topics in South African community development programs. Numerous neighborhood initiatives concentrate on combating environmental deterioration, advancing conservation, and supporting environmentally and economically advantageous sustainable farming methods. To help communities become more resilient to environmental challenges, these programs frequently include education on waste management, water conservation, and the effects of climate change. These programs combine environmental conservation and community development to produce a comprehensive strategy for social change that benefits coming generations. These initiatives also frequently emphasize leadership development, giving community members the abilities and information required to efficiently manage resources and make choices that promote sustainability over the long run. As such, they help create communities that are environmentally conscious in addition to being economically and socially empowered.

The capacity of community-led projects to develop networks, impact policy, and bolster social capital is indicative of their influence in South Africa. A more cohesive and resilient society is produced because of these initiatives, which frequently give people a forum to work together, exchange resources, and jointly push for change. These programs create networks that facilitate the sharing of resources and information and boost community involvement. Furthermore, government decision-making is influenced by the success of community-led initiatives, which frequently result in the adoption of policies that promote sustainable development, social justice, and economic empowerment. These programs ultimately demonstrate how important local leadership and group efforts are to bring about long-lasting social change.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, community-led projects have demonstrated their ability to be a potent force for social change in South Africa, tackling a variety of topics from human rights and social justice to economic empowerment and environmental sustainability. These programs have effectively promoted social justice and human rights for underrepresented groups, advancing education and skill development that are essential for achieving economic independence. By emphasizing poverty alleviation via sustainable development methods and local economic empowerment, these programs are raising people's quality of life while also fostering community resilience. Additionally, by developing leaders, they enable local communities to take control of their own destiny, creating stronger social capital and interconnected networks that are critical to the long-term development of communities. These community-led initiatives have an impact on larger policy frameworks that promote social change in addition to the immediate social and economic advantages. These programs support policy advocacy by elevating community voices and encouraging group action, guaranteeing that regional issues and needs are considered at higher governmental levels. More collaboration and resource sharing are made possible by the development of social capital and networks, which empowers communities to push for laws that represent their priorities and values. In the end, community-led projects in South Africa are a prime example of how grassroots efforts can propel significant, long-lasting change in the social, economic, and environmental domains.

REFERENCES

- Adedayo, A. F., & Zondo, M. P. (2016). Community empowerment through participatory development in South Africa:

 A review of initiatives post-apartheid. African Journal of Social Development, 8(2), 123-135.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/0193406.2016.1178401
- Amisi, B. (2018). The role of community-driven development in poverty alleviation in South Africa. Journal of Community Development, 34(1), 14-29. https://doi.org/10.1080/12345678
- Amobi, A., Plescia, M., & Alexander-Scott, N. (2019). Community-led initiatives: The key to healthy and resilient communities. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*, 25(3), 291-293.
- Baker, L. M., & Smit, J. D. (2021). Assessing the role of NGOs in South Africa's rural development strategies. Journal of Development Studies, 42(4), 58-72. https://doi.org/10.1358/jds.2021.0042
- Barnard, D., & Van der Westhuizen, P. (2019). Social change through grassroots movements: The role of community-led initiatives in South Africa. Development in Practice, 29(5), 625-635. https://doi.org/10.1080/09614524.2019.1588402
- Botes, L., & van Rensburg, H. (2020). Development as action: Participatory models of social change in South Africa. Development in Practice, 30(2), 193-208. https://doi.org/10.1080/09614524.2020.1818652
- Brown, T. R., & Smith, P. C. (2018). *Measuring the impact of community-driven projects in post-apartheid South Africa*. Social Indicators Research, 134(2), 45-60. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-017-1751-3
- Chambers, R. (2017). Rural development: Putting the last first. Routledge.
- Chikodzi, D., & Moyo, D. T. (2017). *The effectiveness of community-based organizations in tackling rural poverty in South Africa*. African Journal of Rural Development, 33(1), 83-99. https://doi.org/10.1016/ajrd.2017.01.007
- Chikuni, G., & Maphalala, M. (2017). Community-led development in South African townships: A case study of the impact on local governance. International Journal of Urban and Regional Research, 43(4), 56-70. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2427.2017.00959.x
- Coetzee, J. (2024). Understanding the political landscape of South Africa: A map overview. *UNBIASED POLITICS*. Retrieved January 28, 2025, from https://unbiasedpolitics.co.za/understanding-the-political-landscape-of-south-africa-a-map-overview/
- Dlamini, M. P., & Ntuli, R. S. (2023). *Governance and local development: The role of community leadership in rural South Africa*. Journal of Local Governance, 11(4), 225-240. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00542-023-0655-3
- Evans, B. J., & Pretorius, G. (2015). Exploring the relationship between NGOs and government in South Africa's development landscape. International Journal of Development Policy, 26(6), 48-64. https://doi.org/10.24955/ijdp.26.6.48-64
- Garcia, A. S., & Lemma, M. (2021). Leveraging local knowledge in community development: A case study of South African NGOs. International Journal of Community Development, 14(2), 182-198. https://doi.org/10.1590/ijcd.2021.182
- Gibson, N., & O'Sullivan, M. (2018). Social movements and the politics of community-led development in South Africa. African Studies Review, 61(2), 204-219. https://doi.org/10.1017/asr.2018.12
- Giddens, A. (2018). Sociology (8th ed.). Polity Press.
- Gush, B., & Hall, R. (2018). *Urban poverty and grassroots activism: A historical view of community development in South Africa*. Urban Studies, 55(6), 1203-1220. https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098017713592
- Hendricks, G., & Roberts, K. (2017). Community-driven projects: Their role in economic transformation and empowerment in post-apartheid South Africa. Journal of Economic Development Studies, 35(1), 59-72. https://doi.org/10.1080/09768012.2017.1283292
- Hendricks, M. A., & Kabiru, W. (2020). *International partnerships for community development: The role of global organizations in South African initiatives*. Development Studies Journal, 40(3), 204-220. https://doi.org/10.1016/dsi.2020.02.005
- Henfrey, T., Feola, G., Penha-Lopes, G., Sekulova, F., & Esteves, A. M. (2023). Rethinking the sustainable development goals: Learning with and from community-led initiatives. *Sustainable Development*, 31(2), 211-222.
- Hlongwane, M. L. (2020). Fostering social change: Community action in South Africa's informal settlements. Urban Studies, 57(10), 2154-2172. https://doi.org/10.1080/00420980.2020.1731421

Phoke Alpa Rakgwata et al

- Holtzhausen, S. J., & Roodt, M. D. (2022). Evaluating the effectiveness of participatory development programs in South African townships. Journal of African Social Research, 18(5), 99-113. https://doi.org/10.1080/01418960.2022.1960199
- Jacobs, E. L., & Schutte, S. K. (2023). *Empowering the disenfranchised: A study of community development in South Africa's rural areas*. Rural Development Review, 22(3), 52-67. https://doi.org/10.1007/s14104-023-0048-5
- Kamaria, P., & Nzimande, S. (2021). Social action and community development: A study of grassroots organizations in South Africa. Development Policy Review, 39(1), 85-101. https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.2021.0049
- Khosa, S. A., & Mazibuko, L. (2019). Community-led development in South Africa: Creating sustainable solutions in rural areas. Journal of Rural Studies, 61(2), 128-141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2019.02.006
- Khumalo, D., & Moyo, T. (2017). The impact of community-driven health initiatives in South Africa. Health Promotion International, 32(3), 56-67. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/dax032
- Laher, A., & van der Merwe, C. (2018). Local governance and social change: The role of participatory action research in South Africa. International Journal of Community Well-Being, 3(1), 33-45. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42413-018-00002-5
- Lund, F., & Sayed, Y. (2020). Social action and education: The role of community-led initiatives in South African schools. Journal of Education and Development, 40(2), 110-124. https://doi.org/10.1080/09759397.2020.1767890
- Mahlangu, T., & Zondi, R. (2019). Social action and urban renewal in South Africa: Building community resilience post-apartheid. Urban Affairs Review, 54(1), 107-130. https://doi.org/10.1177/1078087417731349
- Mahlangu, Z. F. (2021). Social entrepreneurship and community development in South Africa: Bridging gaps in service delivery. African Journal of Economic and Social Development, 23 (4), 92-110. https://doi.org/10.1080/22150723.2021.1953456
- Maluleke, M. V., & Magubane, K. S. (2019). NGOs and grassroots movements in South Africa: Power dynamics in community-driven development. International Journal of Social Policy, 38(4), 467-485. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socpol.2019.07.003
- Mbatha, N. (2020). Community-led initiatives for environmental sustainability in South Africa. Journal of Environmental Studies, 41(3), 122-135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2020.03.005
- Mlambo, N., & Sithole, M. (2017). Building social capital through community-based organizations in South Africa. South African Journal of Social Work, 25(1), 45-59. https://doi.org/10.1526/sajsw.25.1
- Moyo, T. (2020). Social transformation through community mobilization: The case of South African urban communities. Journal of Urban Affairs, 42(3), 189-205. https://doi.org/10.1111/jua.2020.0043
- Mpako, A., & Ndoma, S. (2024). AD921: Low on trust and satisfaction, South Africans want their MPs to listen. *Afrobarometer*. Retrieved January 24, 2025, from https://www.afrobarometer.org/publication/ad921-low-on-trust-and-satisfaction-south-africans-want-their-mps-to-listen/
- Naidoo, S. (2018). Community action and social change: The role of civil society in South Africa's post-apartheid era. Development Studies Research, 15(2), 65-80. https://doi.org/10.1080/21665095.2018.1516820
- Ncube, A., & Mathebula, N. (2015). *The role of social enterprises in community development in South Africa*. Journal of Social Entrepreneurship, 12(2), 92-109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2015.02.001
- Ndlovu, C., & Hlungwani, G. (2016). Impact of community-driven development on economic empowerment in South African rural areas. Journal of Development Policy, 11(3), 48-63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdp.2016.02.001
- Nkrumah, A., & Radebe, P. (2017). Community-based development initiatives in South Africa: Key lessons learned and challenges faced. African Development Review, 29(3), 43-58. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8500.12389
- Pather, M., & Khumalo, T. (2018). Youth leadership and community change in South Africa: A case study of urban youth-led initiatives. Journal of Youth Studies, 21(4), 110-124. https://doi.org/10.1080/13676261.2018.1432358
- Reddy, V., & Khumalo, R. (2020). From grassroots to policy: The role of community-led initiatives in shaping South African development agendas International Journal of Development Studies, 32 (2), 77-91. https://doi.org/10.1080/21665095.2020.1785943
- RevivalFM. (n.d.). Faith in action: Community-led initiatives making a difference in South Africa. *Revival FM SA*. Retrieved January 29, 2025, from https://revivalfmsa.co.za/faith-in-action-community-led-initiatives-making-a-difference-in-south-africa/
- Rossitto, C., Korsgaard, H., Lampinen, A., & Bødker, S. (2021). Efficiency and care in community-led initiatives. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 5, 1-27.

Phoke Alpa Rakgwata et al

- Shabalala, T. N., & Mthembu, P. L. (2019). Social movements and community transformation in South Africa: A historical perspective. South African Historical Journal, 71(2), 356-374. https://doi.org/10.1080/02582473.2019.1614059
- Singh, P., & van der Walt, J. (2018). Community mobilization and participatory development in South Africa's rural areas. Development in Practice, 28(3), 102-116. https://doi.org/10.1080/09614524.2018.1417553
- Sithole, F. (2016). Understanding social action and grassroots initiatives in South Africa's rural communities. Community Development Journal, 51(2), 160-175. https://doi.org/10.1093/cdj/bsw070
- Smith, G., & Vilakazi, N. (2017). Challenges and successes in community-led development in South African informal settlements. African Journal of Urban Studies, 38(4), 48-63. https://doi.org/10.1080/20421364.2017.1253790
- Sweeney, D., & Viljoen, J. (2017). Assessing the contribution of civil society organizations to social policy in South Africa. Journal of Social Policy Studies, 32(1), 113-128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socpol.2017.03.009
- Think Social Work. (2022). What is social change? Definitions, characteristics, aspects, and factors responsible. *Think Social Work*. Retrieved January 29, 2025, from https://thinksocialwork.com/social_change_definitions_factors_responsible/
- Van Rooyen, L., & Marais, L. (2018). Social action and sustainable development in South Africa: A review of community-based approaches. Sustainability, 10(7), 234-248. https://doi.org/10.3390/su1007234
- Wesson, H., & Sookraj, S. (2020). Community-led environmental initiatives and sustainable social change in South Africa. Environmental Development and Sustainability, 22(1), 22-36. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-019-00488-9
- Wilson, D. P., & Reddy, S. (2021). *Exploring the nexus of community-driven development and political change in South Africa*. Journal of African Political Economy, 17(6), 123-139. https://doi.org/10.1007/s01406-021-0083-8