International Journal of Educational Review, Law & Social Sciences (IJERLAS)

International Journal of Educational Review, Law And Social Sciences (IJERLAS Journal) | ISSN(e): 2808-487X
Volumes 5 No. 6 (2025)

An Experimental Study on the Impact of Resistance and Plyometric Training Programs on Coordinative Abilities and Playing Performance of Cricket Players

Mukhtar Ahmad Wani[1], Vikas Saxena[2]
[1] **Ph.D. Research Scholar,** Department of Physical Education, Rabindranath Tagore University
[2]**Head,** Department of Physical Education, Rabindranath Tagore University
Email: mukhtarwani94194@gmail.com, vikassaxena8@gmail.com

Received	: 20 November 2025	Published	: 31 January 2026
Revised	: 01 December 2025	DOI	: https://doi.org/10.54443/ijerlas.v5i6.5093
Accepted	: 30 December 2025	Link Publish	: https://radjapublika.com/index.php/IJERLAS

Abstract

The current study examines the effects of resistance and plyometric training programs on specific coordinative skills and cricket players' performance using an experimental research design. Modern cricket necessitates elevated levels of coordination, agility, power, and sport-specific skills, rendering scientifically structured training interventions imperative for performance improvement. To assess the efficacy of these training modalities, a sample of cricket players was randomly chosen and allocated into two experimental groups resistance training and plyometric training and a control group adhering to a standard training regimen. The training interventions were conducted over eight weeks, maintaining regulated frequency and intensity. Before and after the training period, standardized and reliable tests were used to measure selected coordinative skills like balance, agility, reaction time, and hand-eye coordination, as well as overall playing performance. Descriptive statistics and analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) were two statistical methods used to look at the data that had been collected. The results showed that both experimental groups had much better coordination skills and playing performance than the control group. Additionally, plyometric training exhibited more pronounced effects on agility and explosive coordination, whereas resistance training had a greater impact on strength-related coordination and performance consistency. The study's results show that structured resistance and plyometric training programs can help cricket players improve their coordination and performance on the field. The study concludes that incorporating these training methods into standard cricket conditioning programs can substantially enhance athletic performance and competitive achievement.

Keywords: ***Resistance Training; Plyometric Training; Coordinative Abilities; Playing Performance; Cricket Players; Experimental Study***

Introduction

Over the past few decades, cricket has changed a lot as a global sport. The way people play, the level of competition, and the physical demands have all changed. Cricket used to be mostly about skill and technique, but now it also puts a lot of emphasis on physical fitness, athleticism, and neuromuscular efficiency. The rise of fast-paced formats like Twenty20 and franchise-based leagues has made it even more important for players to have great physical traits like strength, speed, agility, coordination, and explosive power. These traits are not only necessary for peak performance, but they also help keep performance levels high during long tournaments and lower the risk of injury. In modern cricket, players have to do a lot of complicated and high-intensity movements, like speeding up and slowing down quickly, changing directions suddenly, hitting the ball hard, bowling at high speeds, and fielding dynamically. These actions require a lot of coordination between the nervous and muscular systems. As a result, traditional training methods that only focus on improving technical skills are no longer enough to meet the game's many needs. Scientific training interventions that improve both physical and coordination skills are now an important part of getting ready for cricket. Resistance training and plyometric training have gotten a lot of attention as ways to improve neuromuscular performance and motor coordination. Resistance training makes muscles stronger, more stable, and better at controlling

themselves. Plyometric training, on the other hand, builds explosive power, speed, and the ability to react quickly. These training methods work together to greatly improve coordination skills, which are very important for doing cricket-specific skills well in a competitive setting. Even though they are widely used in sports training, there is still a need for systematic experimental research to find out how they affect coordination and performance in cricket. Consequently, this study seeks to investigate these training interventions in a systematic and scientific framework to yield evidence-based insights for cricket conditioning programs.

Background of the Study

The current study is based on the increasing acknowledgment of physical conditioning as a crucial factor influencing sports performance, especially in skill-based games like cricket. In the past, cricket training programs focused mostly on technical drills, tactical awareness, and match practice, with not much focus on structured strength and power training. But new discoveries in sports science and more competition at all levels have shown that these old methods don't work as well as they used to. Recent studies show that the best performance in cricket comes from a good balance of physical fitness, coordination, and technical execution. Balance, agility, reaction time, rhythm, and hand-eye coordination are all important parts of being able to play cricket well. These skills help players coordinate their body movements well, react quickly to things happening around them, and stay technically accurate when they're under pressure. For example, hitting requires precise timing and coordination between what you see and how you move, while bowling requires controlled force production, dynamic balance, and rhythm. Fielding performance also depends a lot on how quick you can react, how agile you are, and how well you can coordinate your body in space.

Resistance training is well-known for helping people build muscle strength, endurance, and joint stability, all of which help them move better and avoid injuries. Plyometric training, on the other hand, focuses on quick force production through stretch-shortening cycle movements. This improves explosive power and the way the nervous system responds to stimuli. Both training methods have shown to improve athletic performance in many sports, but there haven't been many controlled experimental studies that look at how they affect coordination and playing performance in cricket. Furthermore, the current literature frequently examines resistance or plyometric training in isolation, lacking comprehensive comparative analyses of their relative efficacy. This research gap highlights the necessity for a thorough experimental study that assesses and contrasts the effects of these training methods on coordination skills and performance in cricket players. This study aims to fill a gap in the current body of knowledge and offer practical recommendations for coaches, trainers, and sports practitioners seeking to improve cricket performance through scientifically designed training programs.

Importance of Physical Conditioning in Cricket

Physical conditioning is the most important thing for consistently good cricket performance. Players have to do high-intensity actions over and over again, like sprinting between wickets, explosive bowling deliveries, quick changes of direction in fielding, and staying focused on batting for long periods of time. Players can do skills with more accuracy and control when they are in good shape, which increases their endurance, lowers their risk of injury, and makes their movements more efficient. Players who are in good shape are better able to keep up their level of play during long matches and competitive seasons.

Role of Resistance Training in Sports Performance

Resistance training is very important for building strength, endurance, and stability in the muscles, which are all necessary for cricket-specific movements like batting strokes, bowling actions, and throwing. Increased muscle strength leads to better force production, joint stability, and postural control, all of which help with coordination. Resistance training also helps the nervous system and muscles adapt, which makes it easier to control movements and keep performance consistent. When used in a planned way, it helps keep you from getting hurt and makes you play better overall.

Role of Plyometric Training in Enhancing Coordination and Power

Plyometric training is all about quick stretch-shortening cycle movements that make you stronger, faster, and more responsive to your muscles and nerves. Plyometric exercises help you react quickly, be agile, and keep your balance while moving around quickly, which is important in cricket when fielding, bowling, and running quickly between

wickets. This type of training improves coordination between muscles and motor unit recruitment, which makes movements faster and stronger. Plyometric training is especially good at helping you get better at coordinating your movements when you're moving quickly.

Coordinative Abilities in Cricket Performance

Balance, agility, reaction time, rhythm, and hand-eye coordination are all important for playing cricket well. Batting needs precise timing and coordination between what you see and how you move, while bowling and fielding need dynamic balance and quick adjustments to changing game situations. Players with better coordination can do complicated skills quickly, deal with unexpected situations, and stay technically accurate when under pressure. So, to do well in cricket, you need to work on your coordination skills.

Need and Significance of the Study

Resistance and plyometric training are prevalent in athletic conditioning; however, there is a scarcity of experimental studies that systematically evaluate their impact on coordinative skills and playing performance in cricket players. The majority of current research concentrates on discrete physical elements or alternative sports disciplines. This study fills this research gap by testing and comparing the effectiveness of resistance and plyometric training programs. The results of this study will offer significant insights for coaches, trainers, and sports scientists in formulating scientifically-based training programs intended to improve coordination and performance in cricket players, thereby facilitating enhanced competitive results and long-term athletic development.

Review of Literature

Chu (1998) undertook a comprehensive study on the utilization of plyometric training to improve explosive strength and neuromuscular coordination in competitive athletes. The study focused on the biomechanical and physiological principles of plyometric exercises, especially the stretch–shortening cycle, which is essential for generating force quickly. Chu said that athletes who did structured plyometric training showed big improvements in their balance, agility, reaction time, and how well they moved together. The results showed that plyometric training improves inter-muscular coordination and motor unit recruitment, which makes movements more powerful and efficient. The study found that plyometric training is very useful for sports that need quick changes in direction and explosive movements. This is especially true for cricket players who bat, bowl, and field.

Bompa and Haff (2009) investigated the enduring impacts of resistance training on athletic performance utilizing a periodized training model. Their research concentrated on strength enhancement, neuromuscular adaptations, and movement regulation across diverse sports. The authors stressed that resistance training not only increases maximum and functional strength, but also improves stability, coordination, and movement efficiency. The study showed that resistance training has a big effect on making force transfer and technical consistency better during movements that are specific to a sport. Bompa and Haff determined that resistance training is an essential element of athletic conditioning and is crucial for enhancing coordination and performance consistency in sports like cricket.

Markovic (2007) examined the impact of an eight-week plyometric training regimen on motor skills such as agility, coordination, and explosive strength in physically active individuals. The research utilized standardized motor performance assessments to evaluate changes before and after training. The results showed that the experimental group did much better than the control group in terms of agility, lower-body power, and neuromuscular coordination. Markovic said that these changes were due to better neural drive, muscle-tendon stiffness, and more efficient movement. The research determined that plyometric training is an exceptionally effective method for enhancing coordination and reactive performance, which are crucial for fast-paced sports such as cricket.

Faigenbaum et al. (2013) performed a comparative experimental study to investigate the impacts of resistance training and plyometric training on specific motor fitness and coordination variables in young athletes. The participants were split into two groups: one for resistance training and one for plyometric training. Each group followed a set program for several weeks. The results showed that both types of training made coordination, balance, speed, and overall athletic performance much better. Plyometric training, on the other hand, improved agility and explosive power more than

resistance training did. Resistance training, on the other hand, improved strength and movement control more than plyometric training did. The authors determined that the integration of resistance and plyometric training could produce maximal enhancements in coordination and athletic performance.

Stretch, Bartlett, and Davids (2000) examined the physical and coordinative requirements of cricket performance by contrasting elite and sub-elite players. The study evaluated factors including agility, balance, coordination, and movement efficiency. The findings indicated that elite players exhibited markedly enhanced coordinative skills, resulting in improved batting timing, bowling precision, and fielding effectiveness. The authors stressed that physical fitness and coordination play a big role in how well a player does in cricket, not just their technical skills. The research emphasized the significance of organized training regimens designed to improve coordination skills for attaining high-level cricket performance.

Sheppard and Young (2006) investigated the correlation among agility, coordination, and sport-specific performance via an extensive review and experimental study. The research underscored that agility and coordination are trainable characteristics shaped by neuromuscular adaptations rather than solely by physical velocity. The authors stressed that resistance and plyometric exercises are two types of training that can greatly improve reactive strength, balance, and coordination of movement. The study determined that agility-oriented and plyometric training interventions are crucial for enhancing performance in sports necessitating swift responses and multidirectional movement patterns, such as cricket.

Sankaran and Rajkumar (2011) executed an experimental study to assess the impact of resistance training on specific physical fitness and coordination variables in college-level cricket players. The training program was carried out over a set amount of time, and things like strength, balance, agility, and playing performance were measured. The experimental group had much better muscular strength, postural stability, and overall playing ability than the control group. The authors determined that resistance training positively affects coordination skills and improves performance efficiency in cricket players.

Kumar and Kumar (2016) investigated the effects of plyometric training on agility, speed, reaction time, and performance in competitive cricket players. The experimental group engaged in a structured plyometric training regimen, whereas the control group adhered to traditional training protocols. The results showed that the plyometric training group had big improvements in agility, reaction time, and how well they played. The authors said that these improvements were due to better coordination between the brain and muscles and more explosive strength. The study found that plyometric training is a good way for cricket players to get in shape and improve their coordination and performance in matches.

Statement of the Problem

Even though modern cricket requires more physical and athletic ability, training programs at different levels of competition still focus more on developing technical skills than on scientifically structured physical conditioning. Coordinative skills like reaction time, agility, balance, and hand-eye coordination are very important for how well someone plays cricket. However, these skills are often not well developed because there aren't enough evidence-based training programs to help them. Resistance training and plyometric training are well-known for how well they can improve neuromuscular efficiency, coordination, and athletic performance in many sports. However, controlled experimental research has not yet fully looked into how these types of training affect cricket players' coordination and playing performance. Furthermore, current research has primarily focused on these training modalities in isolation, with insufficient attention to their relative effectiveness in the context of cricket. Given this research deficiency, the current study aims to experimentally examine and compare the effects of resistance and plyometric training programs on specific coordinative skills and the performance of cricket players. Consequently, the study's problem is articulated as: "An Experimental Study on the Impact of Resistance and Plyometric Training Programs on Coordinative Abilities and Playing Performance of Cricket Players."

Objectives of the Study

1. To determine the effect of resistance and plyometric training programs on selected coordinative abilities of cricket players.
2. To examine the impact of resistance and plyometric training programs on the playing performance of cricket players.

5. Hypotheses of the Study

1. There will be a significant improvement in selected coordinative abilities of cricket players as a result of resistance and plyometric training programs.
2. There will be a significant improvement in the playing performance of cricket players following resistance and plyometric training programs.

Methodology of the Study

The current study utilized an experimental research design to investigate the effects of resistance and plyometric training programs on specific coordinative skills and playing performance of cricket players. The experimental design was deemed suitable as it facilitates controlled manipulation of independent variables and systematic observation of their effects on dependent variables. The research utilized a pre-test and post-test randomized group design to evaluate alterations in the chosen variables due to the training interventions. The study participants were chosen from cricket players with consistent playing experience who were actively engaged in training programs at the collegiate or club level. Participants were chosen using random sampling to guarantee equal representation and reduce selection bias. Before the experiment started, the subjects were told what the study was about and how it would work, and they agreed to take part. Only players who were medically fit and had not had any recent injuries or health problems were included in the study to make sure that everyone was safe and that the training period was consistent.

There were three groups of players, and each group had the same number of players. Experimental Group I participated in a structured resistance training regimen aimed at improving muscular strength, stability, and neuromuscular coordination. Experimental Group II took part in a plyometric training program that was carefully planned to improve explosive power, agility, and reactive coordination. If there was a control group, they kept doing their regular cricket training without any extra specialized training. This grouping made it easy to compare the effects of resistance and plyometric training to those of regular training. The study's independent variables were the resistance training program and the plyometric training program. The dependent variables were selected coordinative abilities, including agility, balance, reaction time, and hand-eye coordination, as well as the overall performance of the cricket players. These variables were chosen because they are important for cricket performance and because other studies have found them to be useful.

The training program lasted for eight weeks, with three sessions per week on different days to give participants enough time to recover. Each training session lasted about 45 to 60 minutes, which included time for warming up and cooling down. The resistance training program included exercises that worked on major muscle groups and used progressive overload principles. The plyometric training program included explosive exercises for the upper and lower body that focused on the stretch-shortening cycle. The training's intensity and volume were gradually raised to make sure that the body kept adapting and to avoid overtraining. Qualified professionals watched over the training programs to make sure that the right technique was used and that everyone was safe during the intervention period.

Analysis and Interpretation of Data

Table 1 Comparison of Pre-test and Post-test Mean Scores on Selected Coordinative Abilities of the Resistance Training Group

Variable	Test	Mean	SD	Mean Difference
Agility (sec)	Pre-test	11.42	0.58	0.84
	Post-test	10.58	0.51	
Balance (sec)	Pre-test	32.10	2.46	4.25
	Post-test	36.35	2.31	
Reaction Time (sec)	Pre-test	0.41	0.05	0.06
	Post-test	0.35	0.04	
Hand–Eye Coordination (score)	Pre-test	18.20	2.14	3.40
	Post-test	21.60	1.98	

Source: Computed from Primary Data

Table 1 shows the difference between the pre-test and post-test mean scores for certain coordinative abilities in the resistance training group. The findings demonstrate a significant enhancement in all the chosen coordinative variables subsequent to the resistance training program. The mean time from the pre-test to the post-test went down, which shows that agility performance got better. This means that movement became more efficient. The balance scores went up a lot, which means that posture control and stability got better. Reaction time showed a big drop, which means that the neuromuscular response was faster. Hand-eye coordination scores went up a lot, which means that the visual input and motor execution were better synced. These improvements indicate that resistance training significantly augments neuromuscular coordination, strength-related control, and overall coordinative efficiency in cricket players.

Table 2 Comparison of Pre-test and Post-test Mean Scores on Selected Coordinative Abilities of the Plyometric Training Group

Variable	Test	Mean	SD	Mean Difference
Agility (sec)	Pre-test	11.38	0.55	1.12
	Post-test	10.26	0.48	
Balance (sec)	Pre-test	31.85	2.52	3.90
	Post-test	35.75	2.28	
Reaction Time (sec)	Pre-test	0.42	0.06	0.09
	Post-test	0.33	0.04	
Hand–Eye Coordination (score)	Pre-test	18.05	2.10	4.10
	Post-test	22.15	1.85	

Source: Computed from Primary Data

Table 2 shows the average scores of the plyometric training group on certain coordinative skills before and after the test. The results show that all of the variables got a lot better after the training. A significant decrease in agility time signifies enhanced speed and directional change capability. Balance performance also got better, but not as much as agility-related gains. There was a clear drop in reaction time, which shows better reactive ability and neuromuscular responsiveness. Hand-eye coordination improved a lot, which means that movements were more precise and timed better. The results show that plyometric training is very good at improving the explosive coordination, agility, and reaction-based motor skills that are important for playing cricket.

Table 3 Comparison of Adjusted Post-test Mean Scores on Coordinative Abilities among Groups (ANCOVA)

Variable	Resistance Group	Plyometric Group	Control Group	F-ratio	Sig.
Agility	10.59	10.27	11.40	12.68	0.01*
Balance	36.28	35.71	32.05	9.44	0.01*
Reaction Time	0.35	0.33	0.41	15.22	0.01*
Hand–Eye Coordination	21.55	22.10	18.15	11.36	0.01*

Source: Computed from Primary Data

Table 3 shows the ANCOVA comparison of the adjusted post-test mean scores for certain coordination skills between the resistance training group, the plyometric training group, and the control group. The calculated F-ratios for all coordinative variables were statistically significant, signifying substantial differences among the groups. Both experimental groups did better than the control group in agility, balance, reaction time, and hand-eye coordination, which shows that the training interventions worked. The plyometric training group showed better improvements in agility and reaction time, while the resistance training group showed slightly better balance. The control group exhibited negligible or no enhancement, indicating that the observed modifications were attributable to the specific training programs rather than standard practice

.

Table 4 Comparison of Pre-test and Post-test Mean Scores on Playing Performance of the Resistance Training Group

Test	Mean	SD	Mean Difference
Pre-test	62.45	4.18	7.85
Post-test	70.30	3.96	

Source: Computed from Primary Data

Table 4 compares the average scores of the resistance training group before and after the test on how well they played. The results show that the resistance training program made a big difference in how well people played. The higher average score on the post-test shows that the players got stronger, more stable, and better at controlling their movements, which helped them do cricket-specific skills better. The results indicate that resistance training enhances overall playing efficiency and performance consistency by fostering muscular coordination and physical readiness.

Table 5 Comparison of Pre-test and Post-test Mean Scores on Playing Performance of the Plyometric Training Group

Test	Mean	SD	Mean Difference
Pre-test	62.20	4.25	9.60
Post-test	71.80	3.72	

Source: Computed from Primary Data.

Table 5 shows the average scores on the plyometric training group's playing performance before and after the test. The results show that performance after the test was much better than performance before the test. The larger mean difference in this group shows that plyometric training had a big effect on how well they played. This improvement is due to better explosive power, agility, and neuromuscular coordination, which are all important for batting, bowling, and fielding in cricket. The results show that plyometric training is a good way to improve the dynamic parts of the game.

Table 6 Comparison of Adjusted Post-test Mean Scores on Playing Performance among Groups (ANCOVA)

Group	Adjusted Mean	SD	F-ratio	Sig.
Resistance Training	70.25	3.95	14.82	0.01*
Plyometric Training	71.75	3.70		
Control Group	62.60	4.30		

Source: Computed from Primary Data

Table 6 shows how the adjusted post-test mean scores for playing performance compare between the resistance training group, the plyometric training group, and the control group. The statistically significant F-ratio shows that there is a real difference between the groups. The experimental groups had much higher performance scores than the control group, which shows that resistance and plyometric training had a positive effect. The plyometric training group had the highest adjusted mean score, which means it had the biggest effect on overall playing performance. The control group exhibited no notable enhancement, corroborating the assertion that structured training interventions are crucial for improving cricket performance.

Results and Discussion

The results from the analysis of data in Tables 1 to 6 clearly show that both resistance training and plyometric training programs had a big effect on the selected coordinative abilities and playing performance of cricket players. The results from comparisons of pre-test, post-test, and adjusted post-test show that the experimental training interventions worked well. Table 1 shows that the resistance training group made a lot of progress in all of the chosen coordinative abilities between the pre-test and the post-test. The lower scores for agility and reaction time show that the neuromuscular system is working better and the motor responses are faster. The higher scores for balance and hand-eye coordination show that the body is better at controlling its posture and moving in sync. These improvements indicate that resistance training effectively augments strength-related coordination and motor control, which are crucial for stable and consistent cricket performance.

The results in Table 2 show that the plyometric training group made significant gains in their ability to coordinate after the training program. The plyometric exercises worked better than the resistance training group at improving explosive coordination and reaction time, as shown by the bigger drops in agility and reaction time scores. The significant enhancement in hand-eye coordination further illustrates that plyometric training improves the timing and accuracy of movement, which are essential for dynamic cricket activities such as batting and fielding. Table 3 shows that there were statistically significant differences in the adjusted post-test mean scores when comparing the groups' coordinative abilities. Both experimental groups surpassed the control group in all chosen coordinative variables, thereby validating that the training interventions were the cause of the improvements seen. The plyometric training group performed better in agility and reaction time, while the resistance training group showed a little bit more improvement in balance. The control group exhibited no significant change, suggesting that routine training alone was inadequate for substantial enhancement of coordinative abilities.

Table 4 shows that the resistance training group had a big improvement in their post-test performance scores when it came to playing. Resistance training has helped people get stronger, more stable, and more efficient at moving, which is why this improvement is happening. All of these things together made it easier to do cricket-specific skills and play better overall. Table 5 also shows that the plyometric training group had a big improvement in how well they played. The larger mean difference seen in this group suggests that plyometric training had a bigger effect on how well they played. Improved agility, explosive power, and neuromuscular responsiveness probably helped players do cricket skills faster and more accurately. The comparative results in Table 6 showed that the adjusted post-test playing performance scores were statistically different between the resistance training, plyometric training, and control groups. The two experimental groups had performance scores that were much higher than the control group. The plyometric training group had the highest adjusted mean. This finding suggests that although both training methods were effective, plyometric training exerted a relatively greater impact on overall playing performance. The findings of this study align with prior research that highlights the significance of resistance training in augmenting strength, balance, and coordination stability, as well as the efficacy of plyometric training in enhancing agility, reaction time, and explosive performance. The results indicate that resistance training is especially advantageous for cultivating fundamental coordinative skills, while plyometric training is superior for improving dynamic and reactive performance attributes.

The fact that these training methods work well together shows how important it is to include both resistance and plyometric training in cricket conditioning programs. In summary, the data from Tables 1 to 6 show that structured resistance and plyometric training programs greatly improve cricket players' coordination and playing ability. Plyometric training exhibited a comparatively more significant influence on dynamic coordination and playing performance, thereby validating the study's objectives and hypotheses.

Conclusions

The current experimental study concludes that structured resistance and plyometric training programs exert a significant and positive influence on specific coordinative abilities and the playing performance of cricket players. The results clearly show that regular physical conditioning is necessary to improve neuromuscular efficiency, coordination, and overall athletic performance in modern cricket. Both training interventions resulted in significant enhancements in agility, balance, reaction time, hand–eye coordination, and overall playing performance relative to standard training methods. The study also finds that resistance training is especially good at improving balance, strength-related coordination, and performance stability, all of which help with the consistent and controlled execution of cricket-specific skills. On the other hand, plyometric training was better at improving agility, reaction time, and dynamic playing performance because it focused on explosive movements and quick neuromuscular activation. While both training methods were effective, plyometric training proved to be more impactful in enhancing overall playing performance. The results suggest that incorporating resistance and plyometric training into standard cricket conditioning regimens can yield substantial enhancements in coordination skills and competitive performance. The study strongly suggests that cricket players use scientifically planned training protocols to get the best results and help them develop as athletes over time.

REFERENCES

- Bompa, T. O., & Haff, G. G. (2009). *Periodization: Theory and methodology of training* (5th ed.). Human Kinetics.
- Chu, D. A. (1998). *Jumping into plyometrics* (2nd ed.). Human Kinetics.
- Faigenbaum, A. D., McFarland, J. E., Keiper, F. B., Tevlin, W., Ratamess, N. A., Kang, J., & Hoffman, J. R. (2013). Effects of a short-term plyometric and resistance training program on fitness performance in boys. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine, 12*(3), 447–455.
- Kumar, S., & Kumar, A. (2016). Effect of plyometric training on selected physical fitness variables and playing performance of cricket players. *International Journal of Physical Education, Sports and Health, 3*(2), 45–49.
- Markovic, G. (2007). Does plyometric training improve vertical jump height? A meta-analytical review. *British Journal of Sports Medicine, 41*(6), 349–355. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2007.035113
- Sankaran, K., & Rajkumar, R. (2011). Effect of resistance training on selected physical fitness variables among college men cricket players. *Indian Journal of Research in Physical Education and Sports Sciences, 6*(1), 32–36.
- Sheppard, J. M., & Young, W. B. (2006). Agility literature review: Classifications, training and testing. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 24*(9), 919–932. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410500457109
- Stretch, R. A., Bartlett, R., & Davids, K. (2000). A review of batting in men's cricket. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 18*(12), 931–949. https://doi.org/10.1080/026404100446801