

FROM IDENTITY TO INSTRUMENT: LINGUISTIC POLITICS OF MARATHI IDENTITY IN MAHARASHTRA (1966–PRESENT)

Digvijay Patel^{1*}, Dr. Arvind Shanker Shukla²

M. A Political Science, Sharda School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Sharda University. Greater Noida, India
Assistant Professor - Political Science, Sharda School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Sharda University.
Greater Noida, UP, India

E-mail: 024233834.patel@pg.sharda.ac.in^{1*}, arvind.shanker@sharda.ac.in²

Received: 07/07/2026 | Revised: 15/07/2026 | Accepted: 04/08/2026 | Published: 31/08/2026

Abstract

Language in Maharashtra has evolved from a marker of cultural identity into a powerful instrument of political mobilization. Within the broader framework of Indian federalism, regionalism and identity politics, this study examines how Marathi is used to construct political narratives, shape public opinion and redefine regional identity. The origins of contemporary linguistic politics can be traced to the Samyukta Maharashtra Movement and the formation of Maharashtra in 1960, which formalized Marathi identity and generated expectations of social and economic mobility among Marathi speakers. However, the gap between symbolic recognition and material opportunities, particularly in Mumbai, created conditions for the politicization of Marathi identity. Adopting a qualitative approach and discourse analysis of political speeches, party manifestos, media narratives and political campaigns, the study examines Shiv Sena and the Maharashtra Navnirman Sena (MNS), with particular attention to Bal Thackeray and Raj Thackeray. The findings indicate that language is used to construct “us–them” distinctions and portray Marathi speakers as economically disadvantaged and culturally vulnerable. Linguistic mobilization cannot be explained by migration or demographic change alone; rather, economic insecurity, labour-market transformation, employment competition and perceived threats have been politically transformed into identity-based grievances. The study further identifies a shift from Bal Thackeray’s emotionally charged rhetoric to Raj Thackeray’s media-oriented and confrontational style, demonstrating the adaptability of linguistic politics to changing communication technologies and social contexts.

Keywords: *Linguistic Politics, Marathi Identity, Shiv Sena, MNS, Sons of the Soil, Identity Politics*

INTRODUCTION

India is a polity for whom language is an important cultural and political identity. In a milieu where languages are incredibly diverse, local languages can be the basis for collectively and collectively they can be used for political mobilisation. In this sense, one can see the situation in Maharashtra where the once in archaic sense a force of unity, Marathi, plays a strategic role. History of the language politics in Maharashtra begins from the Samyukta Maharashtra Movement that led to formation of state in 1960. This was a culturally emotional demand for a united Marathi state. The creation of the state of Maharashtra symbolically affirmed the Marathi language and people and opened up possibilities for socio-economic progress. However, in the post-creation period there was a disproportionate relationship between symbolic recognition and material advancement, particularly in urban areas, like Mumbai.

With the emergence of Mumbai as a global city there was a heavy infusion of migration from across India, resulting in a demographic shift in the city. This led to a contestation for employment opportunities, infrastructure and services. While immigration was not the sole cause of the riot, it led to a perception among some of the Marathi-speaking population that their “way of life” and/or culture was under threat. These fears were the basis for political mobilisation. In 1966, the emergence of Shiv Sena brought a new language politicisation. Stressing the “Marathi Manos”, it defined language as having to be protected from out-siders. This policy was reiterated and redefined by the Maharashtra Navnirman Sena (MNS) with its “battle-cry” media mobilisation. These parties constructed discourses of inclusion and exclusion in public campaigns, speeches and symbols. This research explores the politicisation of language in Maharashtra. It explores the role of historical, social, and economic factors, as well as political discourse in the evolution of linguistic politics. In so doing, it aims to explain how language still shapes political action, regional and social identities in present-day Maharashtra.

Theoretical and Historical Context

The historical evolution of language identity in India, which has been strategically deployed as a major platform of political mobilisation, especially in Maharashtra (Brass, 2004). In the years following independence, India was confronted with the dilemma of nation-building with the intervention of a pluralist social order marked by linguistic, cultural and regional diversity. At this stage, language emerged as an important point of political contention as different communities started to assert their linguistic identities vis-à-vis the Indian state (Ambedkar, 1948). This laid the groundwork for the state linguistic reorganisation of the 1950s, which radically transformed India's federal system and cemented language as a foundation for political identity (Godsmark, 2013). A major result of this period was the creation of Maharashtra in 1960. The Samyukta Maharashtra Movement that created it was not only a political but a popular movement based on cultural identity, historical legacy and recognition (Godsmark, 2013).

The Marathi language came to symbolise this collective identity, evoking the legacy of historical heroes like Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj and Maratha pride (Kulkarni, 2014). But, while the creation of Maharashtra was a symbolic win, it also raised expectations for socio-economic mobilisation among Marathi-speakers. These were evident in Mumbai, the capital and financial hub of the state. Mumbai's historical importance in trade, industry and demographic migration, both colonial and post-colonial, has helped to make it a cosmopolitan city. This diversity played a role in Mumbai's economic development, but also led to greater competition over employment opportunities, housing and other resources (Gaikwad & Nellis, 2017). The Marathi-speaking community, particularly the urban lower middle and working classes, felt a growing sense of disadvantage. While a linguistic state had been demanded, many felt they were not a part of the new economic opportunities that required a higher level of education and a different form of linguistic capital (such as proficiency in English).

The symbolic-political and material realities of this situation played a critical role in the emergence of linguistic politics. Against this backdrop of socio-economic and political conditions, Shiv Sena was born in 1966 with Bal Thackeray as its leader. The party politicised the status of Marathi by converting economic disputes (such as unemployment and competition over employment) into issues of regional and linguistic identity (Katzenstein, 1973). Shiv Sena's political identity centred on the idea of the "Marathi Manos" - a group of rights-bearing people (Gupta, 1980). Linguistic politics in Maharashtra have evolved over time, influenced by economic and political factors. The shift from industrial to service sector employment, the growth of the informal economy, and the opening of the Indian economy in the 1990s led to increased competition and anxiety. The resurgence of nativist politics can be seen with the founding of the Maharashtra Navnirman Sena (MNS) party in 2006 by Raj Thackeray. Although remaining focused on Marathi identity, MNS pursued a more mediated and "theatrical" style of politics (Katzenstein, Mehta, & Thakkar, 1997). Academic studies indicate that linguistic politics in Maharashtra cannot be attributed to demographic factors like migration. The literature of Weiner (1978) and Katzenstein (1973) suggests that the impact of migration is seen only in the context of narratives of competition, exclusion and injustice. Likewise, theories of ethnic competition foreshadow how socio-economic inequalities and occupational divisions are framed as ethnic competition, which can be mobilised for political gain (Mitra, 1995). Therefore, this study's background highlights that linguistic politics is a complex issue influenced by history, socio-economic change and politics. It shows how language, apart from being a means of identity, is also a means of political mobilization and is shaped and redefined in the changing context. The paper examines how linguistic politics in Maharashtra impacts the everyday lived experiences and inter-community relations among non-Marathi-speaking minorities, particularly in multilingual urban spaces such as Mumbai, and how inclusive policy frameworks and language-rights protections can mitigate the exclusionary tendencies of linguistic politics while sustaining Marathi regional identity.

Problem Statement

The problem that is examined in this study is the lack of correspondence between verbal consciousness of linguistic identity on the one hand and its realisation in the socio-political and economic milieu of the state of Maharashtra on the other hand (Debbarma, 2015; Upadhyay, n. d). While 1960 marked the culmination of the battle of the linguistic politics of the Marathi identity, which led to the creation of the State of Maharashtra and giving the Marathi people an institutional voice in the Indian federation, the expected transformation of symbolic gains into economic, political and social opportunities for the Marathi people has been neither uniform nor uncontested (Austin, 1999). This disjunction between the identity and outcome is at the core of the problem that this study seeks to answer. On one hand, one can explain the language politics in Maharashtra in simplistic terms such as its ascendancy was a result of migration (or population shift) particularly in metropolitan cities like Mumbai. But such explanations are insufficient (Weiner, 1978). In fact, there has been a constant and consistent migration to Mumbai, not discontinuous

(Census of India, 1961). But there was also further advancement in linguistic mobilisation particularly in the mid-1960s (Katzenstein, 1973), which indicates that the rise in nativism could not be driven by population dynamics (Weiner, 1978). The problem therefore is in redefining and re-casting relatively stable structural conditions to identity and exclusion issues through political processes (Hansen 2001). This includes the role played by economic restructuring and identity formation. The restructuring of the Mumbai economy, from industrial manufacturing (ex: textile mills) to urban and financial service economy changed the opportunities for jobs and social mobility in the city (Chandavarkar, 1994). Groups of the Marathi speaking population, in particular the urban lower middle and working classes, witnessed a decline in opportunities in the old industries as they struggled to access the new industries that required new skills and educational levels (Desai, 1994). This led to "situations of relative deprivation" where they were not excluded economically, but felt that others were moving rapidly forward (Katzenstein, 1973). What is important in this context is the transposition of these economic anxieties into language and territory and thereby from economic to identity-based grievances (Hansen, 2001).

Another critical element of the issue is the role that political entrepreneurs can play in constructing and sustaining linguistic politics. The Shiv Sena and after that the Maharashtra Navnirman Sena (MNS) were not only reacting to the grievances that were present when they were on the political arena, but they were also contributing to redefining these grievances (Palshikar, 2004; Purandare, 2012). The economic rivalry was redefined by the creation of the Marathi Manoos, and the sons of the soil discourse, which institutionalised it as the outsiders versus the insiders' narrative (Hansen, 2001). This leads us to a very important question in terms of analysis: how much is the linguistic politics the result of social-economic realities and how much is it the result of political creation? The understanding of this question is what makes the persistence and adaptability of lingual mobilisation in Maharashtra possible. The problem is made more severe by the fact that political discourse and communication is in flux. The change from the rhetoric of Bal Thackeray, to the rhetoric of Raj Thackeray is illustrative of a broader change in the media, technology, and the audiences' expectations (Purandare, 2012). While the politics of language in this case has remained stable, its performative, mediated and nuanced expressions have been enhanced. This raises important questions about the sustainability and continuity of identity-based narratives vis-à-vis time, and how these narratives continue to reflect the changing socio-political context (Hansen, 2001). These discursive changes along with the structural and institutional factors have not received attention, hence resulting in a gap in the existing literature. Fragmentation of analysis is prevalent in the current works. The studies on the linguistic politics of Maharashtra tend to analyse one of the dimensions, such as historical movements, socio-economic environment, elections or political leadership. Only a few works elaborate a holistic approach which relates these aspects to analyse the role of linguistic identity as a dynamic and variable instrument (Friedrich, 1962). This work aims to overcome this trend by uniting the historical, structural and discursive aspects within a unified framework. The normative dimension is also important. The linguistic politics of Maharashtra is contradictory in itself: on the one hand, it has been fundamental to create a regional identity and foster a process of political mobilisation, and, on the other hand, it has produced tendencies of exclusion and tensions, in particular in relation to migrant populations (Weiner, 1978). The insiders-outsiders binary creates issues of democratic inclusion and social unity and the balance of regional autonomy and national unity (Debbarma, 2025). This raises a broader question on how far linguistic politics supports or undermines the value of plural and inclusive democracy.

Finally, issues of sustainability and change must be addressed. Despite major socio-economic changes, such as liberalisation, globalisation and emergence of new players in politics, linguistic politics has been sustained in Maharashtra (Verma, 2012). Sustainability also indicates that a linguistic identity is a long-standing resource (Hansen, 2001), one which is highly adaptable (Hansen, 2001). The question that arises then, is how and why is linguistic politics sustained, how it alters with evolving historical eras and what is the implication of this to future identity politics in India? The focus of the present study is not only to explain linguistic politics in the state of Maharashtra, but also consider how politics turns to language as a resource. It also seeks to find the complex connections between the structural, political and discursive practices that sustain this and the repercussions of this for democracy, governance and sociality.

Objectives

The objectives of the study are to understand the growth of language politics in the state, examine the politicization of Marathi identity, analyse the influence of political actors on language-related issues, and evaluate the social, political, and economic implications of linguistic activism.

Methodology

The study employs a qualitative, interpretive, and exploratory approach to analyse how language in Maharashtra functions as a political construct and shapes identity, political narratives, and mobilisation. Qualitative

research is appropriate because it enables a deeper understanding of meanings, perceptions, and discursive practices rather than statistical interpretation. Discourse analysis forms the main research method to explore how political leaders, political parties and media construct their identities of inclusion and exclusion as well as identity through language. This study focuses on the growth of political issues of language identity in Maharashtra since 1966 to date. It employs a comparative case study approach to explore the rise of the political discourse related to language and identity in Maharashtra through the case of two right wing political groups: Shiv Sena and Maharashtra Navnirman Sena (MNS). The focus is on the political rhetoric of Bal Thackeray and Raj Thackeray in order to analyze the generational changes in political language in Maharashtra (Purandare, 2012). Secondary data including archival speeches of political leaders, policy documents and statements such as election manifestos, newspaper articles, television debates and scholarly studies on political issues of migration and linguistic identity in India will form the primary source of the data (Weiner, 1978; Katzenstein, 1973; Palshikar, 2004). These will help in understanding political rhetoric, tone, political issues and perception of regional identity. This study is based on the assumption that language is not only a reflection of social and political reality but also an instrument for shaping perceptions about these realities (Friedrich, 1962; Hansen, 2001).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Analytical Framework of Linguistic Politics

The historical evolution of language identity in India has seen language strategically deployed as a major platform of political mobilization, especially in Maharashtra (Brass, 2004). In the years following independence, India was confronted with the dilemma of nation-building with the intervention of a pluralist social order marked by linguistic, cultural and regional diversity. At this stage, language emerged as an important point of political contention as different communities started to assert their linguistic identities vis-à-vis the Indian state (Ambedkar, 1948). This laid the groundwork for the state linguistic reorganization of the 1950s, which radically transformed India's federal system and cemented language as a foundation for political identity (Godsmark, 2013). A major result of this period was the creation of Maharashtra in 1960. The Samyukta Maharashtra Movement that created it was not only a political but a popular movement based on cultural identity, historical legacy and recognition (Godsmark, 2013). The Marathi language came to symbolize this collective identity, evoking the legacy of historical heroes like Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj and Maratha pride (Kulkarni, 2014). But, while the creation of Maharashtra was a symbolic win, it also raised expectations for socio-economic mobilization among Marathi-speakers. These were evident in Mumbai, the capital and financial hub of the state. Mumbai's historical importance in trade, industry and demographic migration, both colonial and post-colonial, has helped to make it a cosmopolitan city.

This diversity played a role in Mumbai's economic development, but also led to greater competition over employment opportunities, housing and other resources (Gaikwad & Nellis, 2017). The Marathi-speaking community, particularly the urban lower middle and working classes, felt a growing sense of disadvantage. While a linguistic state had been demanded, many felt they were not a part of the new economic opportunities that required a higher level of education and a different form of linguistic capital (such as proficiency in English). The symbolic-political and material realities of this situation played a critical role in the emergence of linguistic politics. Against this backdrop of socio-economic and political conditions, Shiv Sena was born in 1966 with Bal Thackeray as its leader. The party politicized the status of Marathi by converting economic disputes (such as unemployment and competition over employment) into issues of regional and linguistic identity (Katzenstein, 1973). Shiv Sena's political identity centred on the idea of the "Marathi Manoos" - a group of rights-bearing people (Gupta, 1980). Linguistic politics in Maharashtra have evolved over time, influenced by economic and political factors. The shift from industrial to service sector employment, the growth of the informal economy, and the opening of the Indian economy in the 1990s led to increased competition and anxiety. The resurgence of nativist politics can be seen with the founding of the Maharashtra Navnirman Sena (MNS) party in 2006 by Raj Thackeray. Although remaining focused on Marathi identity, MNS pursued a more mediantes and "theatrical" style of politics (Katzenstein, Mehta, & Thakkar, 1997).

Academic studies indicate that linguistic politics in Maharashtra cannot be attributed to demographic factors like migration. The literature of Weiner (1978) and Katzenstein (1973) suggests that the impact of migration is seen only in the context of narratives of competition, exclusion and injustice. Likewise, theories of ethnic competition foreshadow how socio-economic inequalities and occupational divisions are framed as ethnic competition, which can be mobilized for political gain (Mittra, 1995). Therefore, this study's background highlights that linguistic politics is a complex issue influenced by history, socio-economic change and politics. It shows how language, apart from being a means of identity, is also a means of political mobilization and is shaped and redefined in the changing

context (Chandras, 2019). Therefore, the paper makes an attempt to understand the growth of language politics in the state, examine the politicization of Marathi identity, analyse the influence of political actors on language-related issues, and evaluate the social, political, and economic implications of linguistic activism.

Understanding and analysing the linguistic politics in Maharashtra

Linguistic politics in Maharashtra illuminates the interplay between identity, economy and politics (McDonald, 1968). Rather than being an organic outgrowth of population dynamics or social evolution, language politics in the state could be perceived as a methodically "staged" phenomenon, built up through inherited memory, socio-economic needs and leadership strategies. A key theme that emerges from this analysis is the shift from Marathi as a descriptive to a mobilizing identity. The creation of Maharashtra in 1960 was a symbolic milestone for Marathi speakers. But with this symbolic victory came expectations of socio-economic mobility never achieved, especially in large cities like Mumbai. The disjunction between symbolic and material identification created an opening for political mobilization. Political leaders exploited this gap by drawing attention to the need to protect and promote a linguistic identity in a competitive socio-economic landscape (Chavan, 2013). Linguistic mobilization in Maharashtra reveals considerable complexity beyond demographic factors like migration. Mumbai has long been a destination for migrants from various parts of India, but the evidence points to a steady, not abrupt, influx of migrants. Hence, the emergence of linguistic mobilization in the 1960s cannot be explained by migration alone. Rather, it is the politics of how changes were perceived that has been important. Political actors and parties have constructed migration as a matter of economic competition and cultural threat, thereby translating social changes into identity-based social grievances (Gaikwad & Nellis, 2017).

Relative deprivation theory can help us understand this. Certain groups of Indians - those who speak Marathi, especially the urban lower middle and working class - had a perception that they were being left behind in the fast-changing economic environment. The transition from traditional manufacturing sector jobs (textile industries) to new service sector jobs often required higher language and educational skills (English), which has often disadvantaged this population. This created the sense of economic dislocation which was tapped by political entrepreneurs for linguistic and territorial demands. So, not only in economic terms but in linguistic terms did the population feel threatened? The second ingredient of the study was political entrepreneurship. Groups such as the Shiv Sena and the later Maharashtra Navnirman Sena (MNS) have been instrumental in perpetuating Marathi identities. The idea of the "Marathi Manos" became a powerful narrative, which appealed to both cultural identity and socio-economic concerns. Their political tactics in slogans, speeches and public rallies stressed upon the importance of retaining a notion of "us-them". This not only presented the socio-economic problems in a simplistic way, but also appealed to the emotions of the people (Gupta, 1982).

Rhetoric studies also show how speech plays a role in mobilizing people. Political actors, like Bal Thackeray, used emotive words, past events and cultural symbols to appeal to the masses. He would often talk about past greatness, injustice and a call to action which appealed to emotions. On the contrary, Raj Thackeray's campaign has a more theatrical and media-oriented style. His political announcements are often more visual and relevant to the contemporary media. This goes to show the transformation of linguistic politics in response to technologically and socially driven change but with consistent core logic at play (Lele, 1995). Also, research shows that linguistic politics is at work at all the three levels - cultural, economic and institutional. Culturally, language is used as an identity marker. At the economic level, it is used as a tool for economic benefit. At the institutional level, it is tied to policy, government and publics. This is demonstrated by, for example, preference in employment, language of administration, signboard regulations, et al. However, it is important to note that the study highlights another aspect of linguistic politics - its Janus face. The study helps strengthen regionalism and forge a community out of the Marathi youth. It provides a forum for dissent and identity formation. But it can also marginalize the people within the community and between communities. Treating other people as rivals and competitors in life is bound to have an adverse effect on social inclusion and unity (Hansen, 1996).

Further, the persistence of linguistic politics evinces its adaptability. Despite significant socio-economic changes such as liberalization, globalization and technological advancement, language continues to be used as a resource for political mobilization. This speaks to the fact that language politics is not a diminishing phenomenon in specific historical times and in specific places, but rather it is a central and flexible resource of political mobilization. This evolves with the addition of new issues, evolving media and popular perceptions. The second prominent interpretation is perceptions in politics. It is not necessarily the real world, but perceptions and representations of it that mobilize. Political discourse plays an important role here because it helps to understand why economic problems get an identity representation. This proves the significance of language in politics as it shows the correlation between

systematic facts and social perceptions (Brass, 2004). The politics of language in Maharashtra is a multidimensional phenomenon that is associated with identity, economy, and politics. It cannot be considered just as migration, or just a matter of pride. People should perceive it as a process of language construction and wording. The research on the interaction of language, socio-economic context, and political strategy gives a complete view of the formation of Marathi identity in order to achieve political mobilization (Mitra, 1995).

Linguistic Politics and the Everyday Experiences of Non-Marathi Communities in Maharashtra

Linguistic politics in Maharashtra have had an immense impact on the lives of communities speaking languages other than Marathi, especially in Mumbai, where linguistic diversity exists due to immigration and industrial activities. The history of this political phenomenon goes back to the Samyukta Maharashtra Movement of the 1950s, which was campaigning for a Marathi-speaking state. It is noteworthy that the formation of the state of Maharashtra took place on 1 May 1960. Marathi language and culture played crucial roles in this process since Marathi language became one of the main foundations of the newly-formed state of Maharashtra. (McDonald, 1968). The situation became particularly important in Mumbai during the 1960s. Mumbai had developed as a major commercial and industrial centre and attracted migrants from different parts of India. Communities speaking Gujarati, Hindi, Tamil, Telugu, Kannada, Urdu and other languages became part of the city's social and economic structure. However, competition for employment and access to economic opportunities created perceptions of disadvantage among sections of the Marathi-speaking working and lower-middle classes. In 1966, Bal Thackeray founded the Shiv Sena, initially presenting itself as a movement concerned with the interests of the Marathi Manoos. The party argued that Marathi-speaking people should receive greater opportunities in employment and public life. Consequently, migrants who were identified as non-Marathi, particularly those from South India, increasingly became targets of political criticism during the late 1960s and 1970s (Gupta, 1982).

The 1960s Shiv Sena mobilization in Mumbai illustrates how linguistic identity could affect everyday employment experiences. Campaigns by the party focused on the domination of South Indians within certain occupational spheres and positions. This was to create an environment in which some non-Marathi-speaking people might be considered competitors and not just co-residents. It was in this way that language took on significance within issues of employment and economic possibilities. Thus, the division between Marathi speakers and non-Marathi speakers was more than linguistic; it might take on social and economic connotations (Morkhandikar, 1967). There was a shift in the political significance of the language issue in the 1980s and 1990s. As Shiv Sena began to fuse Marathi regionalism and Hindutva after aligning itself with the BJP party, there was a change in its political significance, which now went beyond the use of language for political ends (Hansen, 1996). There were also changes in the structure of the Mumbai economy during the period. The declining importance of textile production, especially as a result of the 1982–83 textile mill strike and later economic liberalization of 1991 affected employment patterns. Informal and service sector employment gave rise to new areas of competition where language still had political significance (Lele, 1995). Marathi linguistic identity was re-emphasized through the emergence of another group called Maharashtra Navnirman Sena (MNS) founded by Raj Thackeray in 2006. The MNS brought back the Marathi Manoos slogan but used modern forms of political communication and media. MNS attacks and protests against the people from Uttar Pradesh and Bihar in 2008 brought out the connection between linguistic identity and employment, migration, and politics. Such an event changed the feeling of safety and belonging among Hindi speaking migrant communities in Mumbai and elsewhere in Maharashtra (Shaban, 2018). It is not necessary that linguistic politics always generate lasting hostilities between the communities. Mumbai is a cosmopolitan city, which is highly multilingual. Economic interdependence and interaction usually promote accommodation and multilingualism. There have also been studies which show that attitude toward immigrants is shaped by the perception of economic competition and majority/minority dynamics, and not by migration alone, in the case of Mumbai (Gaikwad & Nellis, 2017). This has had a double effect. While, on one side, linguistic politics has reinforced Marathi cultural pride and regional consciousness, on the other side, when political elites paint immigrants and non-Marathi-speaking individuals as rivals or outsiders, language identity results in social boundaries and exclusion. Hence, through the formation of Maharashtra in 1960, the rise of the Shiv Sena in 1966, the economic changes during the 1980s and 1990s, and the rebirth of language politics through the MNS in 2006 onwards, language politics has continued to be linked to the lived experience of people in Maharashtra. While the significance of language politics is certainly linked to the existence of different languages, its importance is also linked to the process whereby political actors use linguistic differences to ask questions about belonging, deserving access to opportunity, and being outsiders.

Role of Inclusive Policy Frameworks and Language Rights Protections in Maharashtra

Inclusive policy frameworks and language rights protections can help Maharashtra balance the promotion of Marathi identity with the protection of its multilingual population. The issue became particularly important after the formation of Maharashtra on 1 May 1960 following the Samyukta Maharashtra Movement. The formation of a Marathi-speaking state made Marathi an important symbol of regional identity, and the struggle for Marathi speaking state was already linked to the language, citizenship, and political recognition of the region (Ambedkar, 1948). However, significant Hindi, the Gujarati, Urdu, Kannada, Tamil, Telugu and other communities also existed in Maharashtra. Thus, linguistic pluralism should go hand in hand with regional identity. The first important is the Constitution of India. The Article 14 provides equality before the law and Article 15 bans discrimination on certain bases. More directly, Article 29(1) guarantees the right of the citizens with a distinct language, script or culture to maintain it. Article 29(2) prohibits the denial of admission to public or private institutions of education (including those receiving public funding or assistance) based on factors such as language. Article 30 guarantees linguistic minority or religious communities the right to establish and run their own educational institutions. The provisions in these constitutions do not only create a strong ethical and humanitarian duty to safeguard the rights of non-Marathi-speaking communities but also ensure that Marathi retains an important place in the regional identity of Maharashtra. In a multiethnic federal system, where regional identity and democratic inclusion need to go hand-in-hand, such constitutional safeguarding is especially crucial (Heller, 2000).

The constitutional language structure also acknowledges linguistic diversity by the Eighth Schedule that presently has 22 stated languages, among which Marathi, Gujarati, Urdu, Kannada, Tamil and Telugu are. This practice shows that there is no need to sacrifice other linguistic identities in the promotion of one language. The process of Marathi regional consciousness itself indicates that language can be a significant factor in political mobilizations and collective identity (McDonald, 1968). Maharashtra has a state level Official Languages Act 1964 which elevated the status of Marathi to a significant position in the working of the Government of Maharashtra. Legislation of this nature may help develop a sense of regional identity by promoting the use of Marathi for government administration. But this needs to go hand in hand with reasonable provision of public services to citizens who are not proficient in Marathi. This is especially crucial in urban areas like Mumbai, Pune, Thane, Nagpur and elsewhere where there are large numbers of multilingual residents. The institutionalisation of language can impact on regional identity and political organisation as seen in the political development of Maharashtra (Katzenstein, Mehta, & Thakkar, 1997). The other key policy area is education. Multilingualism is encouraged in the National Education Policy 2020 and it suggests wherever possible, in the foundational stage of education, children should be educated in their home language, mother tongue or regional language. In Maharashtra, this can help strengthen the Marathi language while safeguarding children's linkage with Hindi, Urdu, Gujarati and other mother tongues. The study of Marathi also shows that it is crucial to preserve mother-tongue practices in multilingual contexts (Chandras, 2019). Language can then be turned from a source of competition to a source of social integration within a multilingual education.

Language rights also play a key role in the employment context. As Shiv Sena emerged in Mumbai in 1966, the demand for jobs for the Marathi-speaking community was bound up with the issue of language and regionalism. There was a political mobilization around the Marathi Manos, often presenting migrants as or seeing migrants as economic rivals. The rise and ascendancy of Shiv Sena shows how regional/linguistic needs could be turned into mobilization for employment/ representation (Joshi, 1970). An inclusive employment framework can go a long way towards addressing underlying economic issues while avoiding using language as a tool for discrimination. Requirements of Marathi proficiency could reasonably apply to certain roles which are open to public access, but such requirements must be based on a clear functional need and should not be imposed on citizens from other regions of India by fiat. This is what Mumbai has taught us: that such protection is required. Historically, the city has been a magnet for people from all over India and grew in the process through an interaction of Marathi and non-Marathi people. In the nineties, linguistic and regional issues resurfaced with the establishment of Maharashtra Navnirman Sena (MNS) in 2006, organizing anti-migrant rallies against people from Uttar Pradesh and Bihar in 2008. Political expression of regional identity is an example of the ways cultural nationalism can become intertwined with issues of political contestation and social integration (Palshikar, 1994). Representation and participation must be included in inclusive policy. Local governments, schools, cultural institutions and public bodies can create spaces enabling the involvement of the various linguistic communities in decision-making. Where appropriate, a multilingual approach can be used in the public domain, particularly in providing essential services. This is not a dilution of Marathi, but rather a way to make sure that the promotion of regional language is in harmony with equal citizenship. The

connection between regional identity, citizenship and community is significant in the context of the formation of linguistic belonging in Maharashtra (Godsmark, 2013). The same goes for cultural policy. Supporting Marathi literature, theatre, cinema, festivals, and public institutions and history can go hand-in-hand without depicting other linguistic communities as outsiders. Maratha history and regional consciousness can thus be shared as a means to cultural pride, instead of exclusion (Kulkarni, 2014). Therefore, the inclusive policy frameworks should be based on the principle of 'Marathi promotion with linguistic protection'. The Maharashtra Official Language mechanism, the Marathi education and cultural institutions can continue to promote Marathi further, and at the same time, work towards protecting the rights guaranteed under the Constitution, Articles 14, 15, 29 and 30. This can mitigate the exclusivist ethos of linguistic politics, safeguard rights of minority languages and promote the Marathi regional identity. The main direction needs to be to move linguistic identity from the 'insider/outsider' politics to one of cultural recognition, equal citizenship and peaceful inter-community relations.

Contribution to Knowledge

The study contributes significantly to the understanding of language politics, nation-building and regionalism in India, particularly in the state of Maharashtra. In examining the shift of Marathi from cultural to political status, it integrates the historical, social and discursive levels, thus providing a comprehensive view of the role of language in politics. Theoretically, it contributes to discussion of identity politics, by revealing that language is not a deterministic category, but it is being constructed and re-constructed in the political discourse. The production and reproduction of language as a mobilising category is a phenomenon not expressed in existing studies as a cultural or administrative category, but is clearly shown in this work. Continuing with the amalgamation of discourse analysis, group competition and political economy theories, it also adds to the field in offering a holistic view, contradicting the uni-dimensional explanations in terms of migration/population. It highlights the reality that language politics is created through the interaction of structural and political factors; this contribution, therefore, adds to the theories of identity creation and mobilisation. Moreover, the study adds to the knowledge of discourse as an integral component of political processes. It exemplifies how language is being used strategically to demarcate 'insiders' from 'outsiders' and socio-economic issues are being discussed in a political emotional manner through the political discourse of politicians such as Bal and Raj Thackeray. This highlights the importance of framing in shaping the public opinion and political action and contributes to the current literature on political communication and populist movements. The study offers an empirical knowledge of the political dynamics of language in Maharashtra through the years. The study also provides a generational cohort analysis of political leaders and an analysis of the shifting nature of political parties like Shiv Sena and Maharashtra Navnirman Sena (MNS) and provides insights into the reaction of linguistic mobilisation in different socio-economic and technological situations. This longitudinal research approach complements research that concentrates on particular periods of time or processes of linguistic politics.

Research is relevant for policy making and governance, insofar as it points to the importance of language politics for social harmony and civic participation. It shows that a mobilisation in the name of language can enhance regional identity and spur political participation, but can also marginalise and fragment politically within the region. This analysis is important because it points to this potential and gives another look into the concept of identity politics in a diverse society such as India. Moreover, the study has wider relevance in the context of the political dynamics of language and regionalism in India in general. It plays its role in the debate over federalism and regionalism and national integration, through its portrayal of local identifications and their impact on regional and national politics. Lastly, the research makes an important contribution, as it brings together both a theoretical and empirical perspective to contribute to the deeper understanding of linguistic politics as a cultural and political phenomenon. It is not only scholarly enlightening, but also useful for policy analysts, scholars and citizens who have an interest in studying the nature of identity and politics in contemporary India.

Conclusion

The linguistic politics associated with Maharashtra's evolution is not only due to demographic changes like migration but also to the confluence of various historical, socio-economic and political factors. The creation of Maharashtra on 1 May 1960 marked a significant symbolic milestone for the Marathi-speaking population and paved the way for Marathi to become an important regional identity. But the symbolic nature of this accomplishment and the socio-economic aspirations of the people led to the feelings of relative deprivation among some groups of the Marathi people, especially in urban areas like Mumbai. The context of the emergence of linguistic mobilization was important for these conditions. This was a pivotal moment in the evolution with the founding of Shiv Sena in 1966,

and as economic issues over employment, migration and competition became more common they were increasingly expressed in the language of Marathi identity and the Marathi Manoos. This was amply proved by the emergence of Maharashtra Navnirman Sena (MNS) in 2006, led by Raj Thackeray that continued to exert political influence over the course of the century. The political relevance of linguistic identity and its ability to adjust to social and technological changes was further demonstrated by the emergence of Maharashtra Navnirman Sena (MNS) in 2006 under the leadership of Raj Thackeray. Raj Thackeray's shift to media-based and symbolic political language from the emotionally charged speech of Bal Thackeray is a testament to the ways in which political actors constantly redefine linguistic problems and rally public opinion. Migration or demographic change will not suffice to explain linguistic politics. Political interpretation and representation is very important in shaping economic and social changes into perceptions of competition, insecurity and cultural threat. This politicisation can impact on the daily lives of non-Marathi speaking minorities, especially in multilingual cities like Mumbai, related to work, social inclusion and public interaction and cultural acceptance. Linguistic mobilization can help to reinforce cultural identity and political engagement and foster Marathi cultural pride but its exclusionary aspects can generate insider–outsider dynamics and lead to mistrust, discrimination and conflict between communities. Linguistic politics is thus integrative and divisive. Linguistic politics, on the other hand, does not lead to exclusion of regional identity and pluralism. The establishment of inclusive policy frameworks and language-rights protections can strike a balance between maintaining Marathi identity and equal citizenship rights for non-Marathi-speaking communities. Exclusion can be minimised in the absence of the weakening of Marathi as the main language of the state of Maharashtra through constitution protection, multilingual education, non-discrimination in employment practices, and accessible public services and recognition of minority languages. It is not, then, the question of whether linguistic identity should remain or should go, but whether it should be the basis for social or economic exclusion. Overall, language has shifted from being a cultural identity marker to a political tool whose use is strategically influenced by historical events, economic grievances, political entrepreneurship and forms of communication. To craft governance and policy strategies that can maintain the regional identity and yet foster a spirit of equality, inter-community relations and social cohesion in India's pluralistic democracy one needs to grasp this transformation.

Ethical Consideration

The study is based entirely on secondary data drawn from publicly available academic literature, books, research articles, government documents, policy frameworks, and other relevant documentary sources. Therefore, no human participants were involved and formal ethical approval was not required. All concepts, analysis, interpretations, and arguments presented in the study are the original work of the author. ChatGPT was used only for grammatical correction and language refinement; intellectual ownership, interpretation, and analytical responsibility remain solely with the author. Proper citation and academic integrity have been maintained throughout the study.

Funding Statement

Nil

Conflict of Interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this research.

Data Availability Statement

The data used in this study are derived from publicly available and properly cited secondary sources, including academic books, peer-reviewed journal articles, government documents, constitutional and legal provisions, policy documents, and other scholarly literature relating to linguistic politics, Marathi identity, language rights, migration, and regional politics in Maharashtra. All documentary sources used in the analysis are appropriately cited within the paper and included in the reference list. The study does not involve primary data collection or human participants; therefore, the analysis is based entirely on accessible documentary and scholarly sources. Additional materials or information used in the analysis are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

1. Ambedkar, B. R. (1948). Maharashtra as a linguistic province: Statement submitted to the Linguistic Provinces Commission. Thacker.
2. Brass, P. R. (2004). Elite interests, popular passions, and social power in the language politics of India. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 27(3), 353–375. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01491987042000189187>
3. Chandras, J. (2019). Mother tongue activism and language shift in multilingual India: Marathi in Pune, Maharashtra. *Critical Asian Studies*, 51(4), 579–596. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14672715.2019.1669202>

4. Chavan, D. (2013). *Language politics under colonialism: Caste, class and language pedagogy in Western India*. Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
5. Gaikwad, N., & Nellis, G. (2017). The majority-minority divide in attitudes toward internal migration: Evidence from Mumbai. *American Journal of Political Science*, 61(2), 456–472. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12276>
6. Godsmark, O. J. (2013). *Citizenship, community and the state in Western India: The moulding of a Marathi-speaking province, 1930s–1950s*. University of Leeds.
7. Gupta, D. (1980). The appeal of nativism: A study of the articulation and perception of Shiv Sena's ideology. *Sociological Bulletin*, 29(2), 107–141.
8. Gupta, D. (1982). *Nativism in a metropolis: The Shiv Sena in Bombay*. Manohar Publications.
9. Hansen, T. B. (1996). The vernacularisation of Hindutva: The BJP and Shiv Sena in rural Maharashtra. *Contributions to Indian Sociology*, 30(2), 177–214.
10. Heller, P. (2000). Degrees of democracy: Some comparative lessons from India. *World Politics*, 52(4), 484–519. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887100022701>
11. Joshi, R. (1970). The Shiv Sena: A movement in search of legitimacy. *Asian Survey*, 10(11), 967–978. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2642817>
12. Katzenstein, M. F. (1973). Origins of nativism: The emergence of Shiv Sena in Bombay. *Asian Survey*, 13(4), 386–399. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2642928>
13. Katzenstein, M. F., Mehta, U. S., & Thakkar, U. (1997). The rebirth of Shiv Sena: The symbiosis of discursive and organizational power. *The Journal of Asian Studies*, 56(2), 371–390. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2646242>
14. Kulkarni, M. (2014). Memories of Maratha history and regional identity in Maharashtra, India. *India Review*, 13(4), 358–371.
15. Kulkarni-Joshi, S. (2023). Variation and change in dialects of Marathi: A social-dialectological approach. In *Variation in South Asian languages: From macro to micro-differences* (pp. 207–236). Springer Nature Singapore.
16. Lele, J. (1995). Saffronization of the Shiv Sena: The political economy of city, state and nation. In S. Patel & A. Thorner (Eds.), *Bombay: Metaphor for modern India* (pp. 185–212). Oxford University Press.
17. McDonald, E. E. (1968). The growth of regional consciousness in Maharashtra. *The Indian Economic & Social History Review*, 5(3), 223–243.
18. Mitra, S. K. (1995). The rational politics of cultural nationalism: Sub national movements of South Asia in comparative perspective. *British Journal of Political Science*, 25(1), 57–77.
19. Morkhandikar, R. S. (1967). The Shiv Sena—An eruption of sub-nationalism. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 2(42), 1903–1906.
20. Palshikar, S. (1994). Politics in Maharashtra: Arrival of the 'Bahujan' idiom. *The Indian Journal of Political Science*, 55(3), 271–284.
21. Government of Maharashtra. (1964). *Maharashtra Official Languages Act, 1964*. Government of Maharashtra.
22. Government of India. (1967). *The Official Languages Act, 1963 (as amended in 1967)*. Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India.
23. Government of India. (2020). *National education policy 2020*. Ministry of Education, Government of India.
24. Shaban, A. (2018). Identity, politics and violence: Shiv Sena in retrospect. In *Governance and the governed* (pp. 229–246). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-5963-6_13

LIST OF REFERENCES

- Ambedkar, B.R. (1948) *Maharashtra as a Linguistic Province*.
- Anderson, B. (1983) *Imagined Communities*. Verso.
- Austin, G. (1999) *The Indian Constitution: Cornerstone of a Nation*. Oxford University Press.
- Bonacich, E. (1972) 'A Theory of Ethnic Antagonism', *American Sociological Review*.
- Brass, P. (1994) *The Politics of India Since Independence*. Cambridge University Press.
- Castells, M. (2012) *Networks of Outrage and Hope*. Polity.
- Census of India (1961) *Census Reports*. Government of India.
- Census of India (2001) *Census Reports*. Government of India.
- Chandavarkar, R. (1994) *The Origins of Industrial Capitalism in India*. Cambridge University Press.
- Chandra, B. et al. (2008) *India's Struggle for Independence*. Penguin.
- Chandra, B. et al. (2008) *India Since Independence*. Penguin.
- Date, V. (2007) 'Politics of Shivaji: The James Laine Affair', *JSTOR*. Available at: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4419597>
- Debbarma, M. (2025) *Many Languages, One Nation: Navigating Linguistic Diversity in India*. Available at: <http://www.questjournals.com>
- Desai, V. (1994) *Migration and Labour in Bombay*.
- Deshpande, R. (2004) *Contemporary India: A Sociological View*.
- Dhongde, R.V. (1988) 'The State of Marathi as a State Language', *JSTOR*. Available at: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/42930278>
- Entman, R. (1993) 'Framing: Toward Clarification', *Journal of Communication*.
- Fairclough, N. (1995) *Media Discourse*. Edward Arnold.
- Foucault, M. (1972) *The Archaeology of Knowledge*.
- Friedrich, P. (1962) *Language and Politics in India*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Gordon, S. (1993) *The Marathas 1600–1818*. Cambridge University Press.
- Government of India (1967) *Drought Reports*.
- Government of Maharashtra (1980) *Employment to Local Persons Policy*.
- Guha, R. (1983) *Elementary Aspects of Peasant Insurgency in Colonial India*. Oxford University Press.
- Guha, R. (2007) *India After Gandhi*. Picador.
- Hansen, T.B. (2001) *Wages of Violence: Naming and Identity in Postcolonial Bombay*. Princeton University Press.
- Hardiman, D. (1987) *The Coming of the Devi: Adivasi Assertion in Western India*.
- Jaffrelot, C. (1996) *The Hindu Nationalist Movement in India*. Columbia University Press.
- Katzenstein, M.F. (1973) *Origins of Nativism: The Shiv Sena in Bombay*.
- Kosambi, M. (1987) *Bombay in Transition*.
- Laclau, E. (2005) *On Populist Reason*. Verso.
- Laine, J. (2003) *Shivaji: Hindu King in Islamic India*. Oxford University Press.
- Lakoff, G. (2004) *Don't Think of an Elephant!*.
- Masselos, J. (1974) *Towards Nationalism: Group Affiliations and the Politics of Public Associations in Nineteenth-Century Western India*.
- Nussbaum, M. (2007) *The Clash Within: Democracy, Religious Violence, and India's Future*. Harvard University Press.
- O'Hanlon, R. (2002) *Caste, Conflict and Ideology*. Cambridge University Press.
- Omvedt, G. (1994) *Dalits and the Democratic Revolution*. Sage Publications.
- Palshikar, S. (2004) *Maharashtra Politics and Party System*.
- Palshikar, S. (2004) 'Shiv Sena: A Tiger with Many Faces?', *JSTOR*. Available at: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4414867>
- Palshikar, S. (2010) *Regional Parties and Linguistic Politics in Maharashtra*.
- Patel, S. and Thorner, A. (1995) *Bombay: Metaphor for Modern India*.
- Phadke, Y.D. (2007) *Politics and Language*.
- Purandare, V. (2012) *Bal Thackeray & The Rise of the Shiv Sena*. Noida: HarperCollins India.
- Runciman, W.G. (1966) *Relative Deprivation and Social Justice*.

- Sarkar, J. (1919) *Shivaji and His Times*. Longmans.
- Upadhyay, P. (n.d.) *Linguistic Re-organisation of States in India*. Available at: <http://www.manupatra.com>
- Verma, M. (2012) *Revival of Nativism in Maharashtra*.
- Weiner, M. (1978) *Sons of the Soil*. Princeton University Press.
- Zelliott, E. (2013) *Ambedkar's World*.