

## PSYCHOLOGY OF STRATEGIC LEADERSHIP: UNVEILING COGNITIVE BIASES AND EMOTIONAL INFLUENCE

Usman Jayadi<sup>1</sup>, Nur Sayidah<sup>2</sup>, Sri Utami Ady<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup> Universitas Dr. Soetomo Surabaya, Indonesia

Corresponding Email: [ujayadi@gmail.com](mailto:ujayadi@gmail.com)<sup>1</sup>, [nur.sayidah@unitomo.ac.id](mailto:nur.sayidah@unitomo.ac.id)<sup>2</sup>, [sri.utami@unitomo.ac.id](mailto:sri.utami@unitomo.ac.id)<sup>3</sup>

Received : 2024-10-12

Published : 2025-01-02

DOI :

Accepted: 2024-20-12

[https://doi.org/10.54443/morfai.v](https://doi.org/10.54443/morfai.v4i4.2297)

[4i4.2297](https://doi.org/10.54443/morfai.v4i4.2297)

### Abstract

*Strategic leadership plays a crucial role in steering organizations through the complexities of a rapidly evolving global landscape. In today's competitive environment, effective leadership transcends informed decision-making and technical expertise, encompassing a deep understanding of the psychological dynamics that influence decisions. This study examines the psychological foundations of strategic leadership, emphasizing the impact of cognitive biases and emotional intelligence on leadership behaviors and decision-making processes. Key cognitive biases such as overconfidence, confirmation bias, and anchoring are explored for their potential to distort leaders' perceptions and strategic choices. Concurrently, the role of emotional intelligence, including self-awareness, self-regulation, empathy, and relationship management, is analyzed for its capacity to enhance leadership effectiveness by mitigating the adverse effects of these biases. Utilizing a qualitative approach, the research incorporates literature review, expert interviews, and case studies to investigate how cognitive biases disrupt decision-making and how emotional intelligence can counterbalance these distortions. Findings indicate that integrating emotional intelligence training and bias mitigation strategies into leadership development programs significantly improves strategic leadership effectiveness. However, challenges remain in consistently applying these techniques, particularly in high-pressure situations. Therefore, ongoing and dynamic leadership development initiatives are essential to ensure the effective application of emotional intelligence and cognitive bias mitigation in strategic decision-making.*

**Keywords:** *Strategic Leadership, Cognitive Biases, Emotional Intelligence, Decision-Making*

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Strategic leadership plays a pivotal role in guiding organizations through the complexities of an ever-evolving global landscape. In today's competitive world, effective leadership is not solely about making informed decisions or having technical expertise, but also about understanding the psychological dynamics that influence those decisions. A significant component of strategic leadership is the role of cognitive biases and emotional influences, both of which can shape the direction of an organization in profound ways. This article seeks to explore the psychological foundations of strategic leadership, with a focus on the cognitive biases that often affect decision-making and the emotional dynamics that influence leadership behaviors.

Cognitive biases refer to systematic patterns of deviation from norm or rationality in judgment, where individuals make decisions based on subjective preferences and emotions rather than objective information. In leadership contexts, these biases can severely affect the decision-making process, leading to poor strategies, missed opportunities, and suboptimal outcomes. For instance, biases such as overconfidence, confirmation bias, and anchoring can distort a leader's perception of reality, causing them to make decisions that may not align with the long-term interests of the organization. Understanding these biases is crucial for leaders, as it allows them to critically assess their decisions and reduce the risk of cognitive distortions.

**PSYCHOLOGY OF STRATEGIC LEADERSHIP: UNVEILING COGNITIVE BIASES AND EMOTIONAL INFLUENCE**

Usman Jayadi<sup>1</sup>, Nur Sayidah<sup>2</sup>, Sri Utami Ady<sup>3</sup>

---

Beyond cognitive biases, emotions also have a significant impact on leadership. Emotional intelligence (EI), the ability to recognize and regulate emotions in oneself and others, is often considered a hallmark of effective leadership. Leaders with high emotional intelligence can inspire their teams, navigate interpersonal conflicts, and maintain a calm demeanor in high-pressure situations. In contrast, leaders who are unable to regulate their emotions may create toxic environments, hinder team collaboration, and make decisions that are driven by impulse rather than strategic foresight. The interplay between emotion and cognition in leadership contexts is complex, as leaders must balance both rational thinking and emotional awareness to make the best decisions for their organizations.

This article aims to offer a comprehensive understanding of the psychological underpinnings of strategic leadership by examining both cognitive biases and emotional influences. It delves into how these psychological factors affect leadership behaviors and the decision-making process and proposes ways in which leaders can mitigate these biases and enhance their emotional intelligence. By exploring these topics, the paper seeks to provide insights for leaders looking to improve their strategic leadership capabilities, ultimately leading to more informed, balanced, and effective decision-making.

To achieve this, the article is organized into several key sections. First, a literature review will examine existing research on cognitive biases and emotional intelligence in leadership contexts. Next, a methodological framework for assessing and addressing these psychological factors will be proposed. The results and discussion section will explore practical implications and strategies for leaders to improve their decision-making by mitigating cognitive biases and enhancing their emotional intelligence. Finally, the article will conclude with a summary of key findings and suggestions for future research.

In the subsequent sections, we will explore the major cognitive biases that influence strategic leadership, including overconfidence, confirmation bias, and anchoring. We will also examine the concept of emotional intelligence, its components, and how it contributes to effective leadership. This dual focus on cognition and emotion in leadership provides a holistic perspective on what it takes to lead strategically in today's complex and fast-paced business environment.

## **2. LITERATURE REVIEW**

### **Cognitive Biases in Leadership**

Cognitive biases are systematic patterns of deviation from rationality in judgment and decision-making. They are inherent to human thinking and can lead individuals to make decisions based on subjective judgment rather than objective evidence. In leadership contexts, cognitive biases can significantly affect strategic decision-making, often resulting in poor outcomes for organizations. Numerous studies have identified key cognitive biases that frequently influence leaders, including overconfidence bias, confirmation bias, and anchoring bias. These biases not only impair individual judgment but can also shape organizational strategies and performance.

#### *1. Overconfidence Bias*

Overconfidence bias is one of the most commonly studied cognitive biases in leadership research. It refers to the tendency of individuals to overestimate their knowledge, abilities, or the accuracy of their predictions. In leadership, this bias can manifest in the form of overly optimistic assessments of market conditions, competitive threats, or internal capabilities (Bazerman & Moore, 2013). Overconfident leaders may underestimate risks, neglect to gather necessary information, or dismiss valuable feedback from their teams. The result is often the adoption of risky or unsound strategies that may lead to failures or missed opportunities. Research by Kahneman (2011) highlights that overconfidence bias can be particularly problematic in decision-making, as it undermines the ability to make balanced and informed judgments.

#### *2. Confirmation Bias*

Confirmation bias occurs when individuals seek out information that confirms their pre-existing beliefs while ignoring or downplaying information that contradicts them (Nickerson, 1998). In a leadership context, confirmation bias can cause leaders to reinforce their initial decisions or

strategic choices, even when new data suggests otherwise. Leaders with confirmation bias are more likely to dismiss dissenting opinions from team members or fail to acknowledge emerging trends in the market. This can result in stagnation and a lack of adaptability, which are detrimental to an organization's long-term success. Studies by Lord, Ross, and Lepper (1979) demonstrated that leaders often exhibit confirmation bias when they evaluate information related to their decisions, leading them to selectively interpret data that supports their views.

### 3. *Anchoring Bias*

Anchoring bias refers to the tendency to rely too heavily on the first piece of information encountered when making decisions, even if it is irrelevant or misleading (Tversky & Kahneman, 1974). In strategic leadership, anchoring bias can influence decisions related to financial projections, investment strategies, and even team performance. For example, a leader may anchor their expectations for future revenue growth based on an initial optimistic estimate, ignoring new market conditions or changes in consumer behavior. As a result, decisions may be overly influenced by initial judgments, leading to suboptimal choices. Anchoring bias can hinder a leader's ability to adapt and innovate, particularly in fast-paced or volatile environments.

### 4. *Groupthink and the Abilene Paradox*

Groupthink is a phenomenon that occurs when the desire for harmony and consensus within a group leads to poor decision-making. Leaders who fail to encourage diverse viewpoints may inadvertently foster an environment of groupthink, where dissenting opinions are suppressed, and critical thinking is stifled. The Abilene Paradox, a related concept, suggests that group members may collectively decide on a course of action that none of them individually agree with, due to a failure to communicate concerns openly (Harvey, 1974). Both groupthink and the Abilene Paradox illustrate how biases can be amplified in team decision-making processes, especially when leaders do not actively promote an open exchange of ideas and perspectives.

## **Emotional Intelligence in Leadership**

While cognitive biases influence decision-making through distorted thinking patterns, emotional intelligence (EI) affects leadership effectiveness through the regulation of emotions and interpersonal relationships. The concept of emotional intelligence was popularized by Daniel Goleman (1995), who identified five key components of EI: self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, and social skills. In leadership, emotional intelligence is crucial for fostering positive relationships, enhancing communication, and guiding teams through challenges. Leaders who possess high EI are better able to understand their own emotions, empathize with others, and manage interpersonal dynamics effectively.

### 1. *Self-Awareness*

Self-awareness, the ability to recognize and understand one's emotions and their impact on others, is a fundamental component of emotional intelligence. Leaders with high self-awareness are more likely to acknowledge their strengths and weaknesses, which allows them to make better decisions and avoid impulsive reactions. Self-aware leaders are also more likely to understand how their emotions influence their behavior and leadership style, enabling them to adjust their actions accordingly (Goleman, 1995). A lack of self-awareness, on the other hand, can lead to emotional outbursts or misguided decisions, which can undermine team morale and organizational trust.

### 2. *Self-Regulation*

Self-regulation refers to the ability to manage one's emotions in healthy and constructive ways. Leaders who possess strong self-regulation skills are able to maintain control over their emotional responses, even in high-stress or high-pressure situations. This ability to remain calm and composed allows leaders to make rational decisions and provide clear direction to their teams. Research has shown that leaders who can regulate their emotions effectively are more likely to create positive work environments, reduce conflict, and maintain employee motivation (Mayer, Salovey, & Caruso, 2008). Furthermore, self-regulation helps leaders avoid impulsive decision-making, which can be a consequence of unchecked emotions.

### 3. *Empathy and Social Awareness*



**PSYCHOLOGY OF STRATEGIC LEADERSHIP: UNVEILING COGNITIVE BIASES AND EMOTIONAL INFLUENCE**

Usman Jayadi<sup>1</sup>, Nur Sayidah<sup>2</sup>, Sri Utami Ady<sup>3</sup>

Empathy, the ability to understand and share the feelings of others, is another essential component of emotional intelligence. In leadership, empathy allows leaders to connect with their teams, understand their needs, and provide appropriate support. Leaders who demonstrate empathy are better able to motivate employees, manage conflicts, and foster collaboration. Social awareness, the ability to perceive and understand the emotions of others in a social context, complements empathy and helps leaders navigate complex interpersonal dynamics (Goleman, 1995). High levels of empathy and social awareness are correlated with higher employee satisfaction, improved team performance, and greater organizational loyalty.

4. *Relationship Management*

The ability to manage relationships effectively is another critical aspect of emotional intelligence. Leaders who excel in relationship management are skilled at building trust, resolving conflicts, and facilitating teamwork. This capability allows them to maintain strong, supportive relationships with team members, clients, and other stakeholders. Research by Cherniss (2010) suggests that leaders who are proficient in relationship management can cultivate a positive organizational culture, encourage open communication, and motivate teams toward shared goals.

**Interplay Between Cognitive Biases and Emotional Intelligence in Leadership**

The relationship between cognitive biases and emotional intelligence is complex and intertwined. On one hand, leaders with high emotional intelligence may be better equipped to recognize and mitigate their cognitive biases. For instance, a leader with high self-awareness may be more attuned to their overconfidence bias and actively seek out opposing viewpoints to counteract it. Similarly, a leader with strong self-regulation skills may be less prone to making rash decisions based on emotional reactions, reducing the impact of cognitive biases such as anchoring.

On the other hand, cognitive biases can also influence emotional responses, leading to distorted emotional reactions that impair leadership effectiveness. For example, a leader who is overconfident may dismiss constructive feedback, leading to frustration and defensiveness. Similarly, a leader who falls prey to confirmation bias may become emotionally invested in their initial beliefs, making it difficult to consider alternative perspectives.

Thus, the interplay between cognitive biases and emotional intelligence highlights the need for leaders to develop both self-awareness and critical thinking skills. By recognizing their cognitive biases and actively working to regulate their emotions, leaders can make more balanced, informed decisions that promote organizational success.

**3. METHOD**

This study employs a qualitative approach to explore the relationship between cognitive biases, emotional intelligence, and strategic leadership. Data was collected through a combination of literature review, expert interviews, and case studies. The literature review provides a comprehensive analysis of existing research on cognitive biases and emotional intelligence in leadership contexts. Expert interviews were conducted with senior executives and leadership coaches to gain practical insights into how these psychological factors influence decision-making in real-world organizational settings.

The case studies focus on organizations that have successfully implemented leadership development programs aimed at mitigating cognitive biases and enhancing emotional intelligence. These case studies provide real-world examples of how leaders can apply psychological principles to improve their strategic decision-making and leadership effectiveness.

Data analysis was conducted using thematic analysis, with the goal of identifying key patterns and insights regarding the role of cognitive biases and emotional intelligence in strategic leadership. The findings from the literature review, interviews, and case studies were synthesized to propose practical strategies for leaders to mitigate biases and improve emotional intelligence.

**4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

The results of this study provide valuable insights into how cognitive biases and emotional

intelligence influence strategic leadership in real-world organizational settings. Drawing from the literature review, expert interviews, and case studies, the findings emphasize the critical role of both psychological factors in shaping leadership behaviors, decision-making processes, and organizational outcomes. In this section, we will present the key findings from each data collection method, discuss the implications of these results for strategic leadership, and explore the interplay between cognitive biases and emotional intelligence in leadership contexts.

### **Key Findings from the Literature Review**

The literature review revealed several important themes related to cognitive biases and emotional intelligence in leadership:

#### *1. Cognitive Biases*

- a) **Overconfidence Bias:** The literature highlights that overconfidence bias is particularly prevalent among strategic leaders, who often overestimate their knowledge and capabilities. This can lead to flawed decision-making, such as underestimating risks, ignoring relevant data, or dismissing alternative viewpoints. Kahneman (2011) and Bazerman & Moore (2013) emphasize that overconfidence can lead to poor strategic choices, especially in uncertain or volatile environments.
- b) **Confirmation Bias:** Leaders often fall prey to confirmation bias, selectively seeking information that supports their preconceived notions or prior decisions. This bias can prevent leaders from adapting to changing conditions, as they fail to consider contradictory evidence. Studies by Nickerson (1998) and Lord, Ross, and Lepper (1979) suggest that confirmation bias limits leaders' ability to make objective and informed decisions.
- c) **Anchoring Bias:** Anchoring bias was also found to be a common issue in strategic decision-making, particularly when leaders base their decisions on initial information that may not be relevant or accurate. Tversky & Kahneman (1974) showed that leaders often fixate on the first piece of information they receive, which can lead to skewed expectations and decisions that do not align with actual circumstances.

#### *2. Emotional Intelligence*

The literature on emotional intelligence (EI) reinforces the importance of EI for effective leadership. Goleman (1995) and Mayer, Salovey, & Caruso (2008) stress that emotional intelligence enables leaders to understand and manage their emotions, as well as the emotions of others, in a way that promotes collaboration, conflict resolution, and organizational success.

- a) **Self-Awareness and Self-Regulation:** Leaders with high self-awareness are more likely to recognize how their emotions influence decision-making, while self-regulation helps them manage emotional responses effectively. This allows them to avoid rash decisions driven by anxiety, fear, or overconfidence.
- b) **Empathy and Social Skills:** Empathetic leaders can better understand their team members' needs and motivations, which enhances communication and trust. Furthermore, strong social skills enable leaders to foster positive relationships, resolve conflicts, and motivate teams toward shared goals.

### **Key Findings from Expert Interviews**

The expert interviews provided real-world insights into how cognitive biases and emotional intelligence impact leadership effectiveness. The following key themes emerged from interviews with senior executives, leadership coaches, and organizational psychologists:

#### *1. The Prevalence of Cognitive Biases in Leadership*

All interviewed experts acknowledged that cognitive biases significantly affect strategic leadership. Overconfidence and confirmation bias were identified as the most common biases among leaders, with several interviewees pointing out that leaders in high-pressure environments are particularly vulnerable to these biases. One executive stated, *"Leaders tend to overestimate their ability to predict future outcomes, especially when they have enjoyed past successes. This creates a false*

**PSYCHOLOGY OF STRATEGIC LEADERSHIP: UNVEILING COGNITIVE BIASES AND EMOTIONAL INFLUENCE**

Usman Jayadi<sup>1</sup>, Nur Sayidah<sup>2</sup>, Sri Utami Ady<sup>3</sup>

*sense of security and limits their ability to recognize emerging risks.*" Another interviewee highlighted how confirmation bias leads leaders to disregard important feedback from team members, resulting in poor decision-making and missed opportunities for innovation.

2. *Impact of Emotional Intelligence on Leadership Effectiveness*

Emotional intelligence was viewed as a critical factor for leadership success. Experts emphasized that leaders who exhibit high emotional intelligence are more adept at managing their own emotions and understanding the emotional dynamics of their teams. One leadership coach explained, *"Leaders who have a high degree of self-awareness are better able to manage stress and maintain composure in challenging situations. This allows them to think clearly and make decisions that are aligned with the organization's long-term goals."* Furthermore, seven

3. *Strategies to Mitigate Cognitive Biases*

Many experts highlighted that leader can mitigate cognitive biases by adopting specific strategies. These strategies include seeking diverse perspectives, engaging in regular self-reflection, and relying on data-driven decision-making. One executive shared, *"We have a process of 'devil's advocacy' in our decision-making meetings, where we intentionally bring in someone to challenge the assumptions and beliefs we have. This helps to counteract confirmation bias and ensures that we're considering all angles."* Additionally, leaders were advised to actively question their initial assumptions and to involve team members in the decision-making process to reduce the influence of overconfidence and anchoring bias.

4. *Developing Emotional Intelligence*

Several experts recommended leadership development programs focused on enhancing emotional intelligence. These programs typically involve training in self-awareness, self-regulation, empathy, and relationship management. An organizational psychologist noted, *"We have seen significant improvements in leadership effectiveness when leaders undergo emotional intelligence training. It helps them communicate better, make more balanced decisions, and lead with greater empathy."* These programs often involve feedback mechanisms, such as 360-degree evaluations, to help leaders gain insight into their emotional impact on others and adjust their behavior accordingly.

**Key Findings from Case Studies**

The case studies provided practical examples of how organizations have addressed cognitive biases and emotional intelligence in their leadership development programs. The following findings emerged from the case studies of three organizations that integrated emotional intelligence and bias mitigation into their leadership strategies:

1. *Successful Integration of EI Training*

All three organizations incorporated emotional intelligence training into their leadership development programs, with a focus on self-awareness, empathy, and relationship management. For example, one company implemented a leadership program that included workshops on emotional regulation and techniques for managing difficult conversations. As a result, leaders in this organization reported improved team collaboration, lower levels of employee turnover, and higher levels of job satisfaction. The HR manager of this company stated, *"Emotional intelligence training has helped our leaders navigate complex interpersonal dynamics and create more cohesive teams."*

2. *Bias Mitigation Through Structured Decision-Making Processes*

In two of the case study organizations, structured decision-making processes were introduced to mitigate cognitive biases. These organizations adopted formal processes such as data-driven decision frameworks, scenario planning, and "red team" exercises, where alternative strategies are critically evaluated by opposing teams. These methods were designed to counteract overconfidence and confirmation bias by encouraging leaders to consider a wider range of perspectives and data before making strategic decisions. One case study organization reported a significant reduction in poor decision-making outcomes following the implementation of these practices.

3. *Challenges in Bias Awareness and Emotional Regulation*



Despite the positive impact of emotional intelligence training, one case study organization encountered challenges in ensuring that leaders consistently applied their learning in practice. A key issue identified was the difficulty in addressing deeply ingrained cognitive biases such as overconfidence and confirmation bias. Leaders in this organization were found to revert to biased decision-making patterns, particularly during times of organizational stress or uncertainty. As one program participant explained, *"In high-pressure situations, it's easy to slip back into old habits, even when we know the biases are there."* This finding underscores the importance of ongoing training and reinforcement to help leaders apply emotional intelligence and bias mitigation strategies consistently.

The results of this study emphasize the complex interplay between cognitive biases and emotional intelligence in shaping strategic leadership. On one hand, cognitive biases such as overconfidence, confirmation bias, and anchoring are prevalent among leaders and can lead to distorted decision-making. However, emotional intelligence, particularly self-awareness and self-regulation, provides leaders with the tools to recognize and mitigate these biases, improving their decision-making capabilities.

The case studies and expert interviews highlighted several strategies that organizations have successfully implemented to address these issues. These strategies include structured decision-making processes, emotional intelligence training, and feedback mechanisms. However, the challenges identified, particularly in applying bias mitigation techniques during high-pressure situations, suggest that leadership development programs must be ongoing and dynamic. To be effective, such programs should not only focus on developing emotional intelligence but also on fostering a culture of self-reflection and continuous learning.

The findings also suggest that while emotional intelligence can enhance leadership effectiveness, it is not a panacea. Leaders must be actively aware of their cognitive biases and make concerted efforts to counteract them. This requires a combination of emotional regulation, critical thinking, and a commitment to objective, data-driven decision-making.

In summary, the results of this study underscore the importance of both cognitive biases and emotional intelligence in strategic leadership. Cognitive biases can distort decision-making and lead to suboptimal outcomes, while emotional intelligence provides leaders with the necessary skills to manage emotions and interpersonal dynamics. Organizations can improve leadership effectiveness by integrating emotional intelligence training and bias mitigation strategies into their leadership development programs. However, for these programs to be truly effective, they must be ongoing, incorporating feedback mechanisms and real-world applications to ensure leaders can consistently apply the principles of emotional intelligence and cognitive bias mitigation in practice.

## 5. CONCLUSION

Key conclusions drawn from the study are as follows:

1. **Cognitive Biases in Leadership:** Leaders are frequently influenced by cognitive biases, which distort their perceptions and decisions. Overconfidence, confirmation bias, and anchoring are the most commonly observed biases among strategic leaders. These biases often result in leaders underestimating risks, overvaluing their own judgment, or disregarding contrary evidence, which can lead to poor strategic decisions.
2. **The Role of Emotional Intelligence:** Emotional intelligence is critical for effective leadership. Leaders who possess high emotional intelligence are more adept at recognizing and managing their own emotions and the emotions of others. This capability allows them to foster better communication, collaboration, and conflict resolution within their teams, ultimately improving organizational performance. Self-awareness and self-regulation, in particular, help leaders navigate the emotional challenges of leadership and mitigate the impact of cognitive biases.
3. **Bias Mitigation Strategies:** The study underscores that while cognitive biases cannot be entirely eliminated, leaders can reduce their influence through specific strategies. Structured decision-making processes, such as relying on data-driven frameworks, involving diverse perspectives, and

**PSYCHOLOGY OF STRATEGIC LEADERSHIP: UNVEILING COGNITIVE BIASES AND EMOTIONAL INFLUENCE**

Usman Jayadi<sup>1</sup>, Nur Sayidah<sup>2</sup>, Sri Utami Ady<sup>3</sup>

implementing feedback loops, can help counteract bias. Emotional intelligence training also plays a pivotal role in equipping leaders with the skills necessary to manage their biases effectively.

4. Practical Implications for Leadership Development: Organizations that incorporate emotional intelligence and bias mitigation training into their leadership development programs see improvements in leadership effectiveness. However, the study also highlights that bias awareness and emotional regulation must be continuously reinforced through ongoing training and real-world application. Leaders must be supported in developing habits of self-reflection and critical thinking to ensure they consistently apply emotional intelligence and mitigate cognitive biases, particularly during high-pressure situations.

**REFERENCES**

- Ady, S. U. (2024). Corporate social responsibility disclosure on financial performance.
- Argyris, C., & Schön, D. A. (1996). *Organizational Learning II: Theory, Method, and Practice*. Addison-Wesley.
- Avolio, B. J., & Gardner, W. L. (2005). Authentic Leadership Development: Getting to the Root of Positive Forms of Leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 16(3), 315-338.
- Bass, B. M., & Bass, R. (2008). *The Bass Handbook of Leadership: Theory, Research, and Managerial Applications*. Free Press.
- Bazerman, M. H., & Moore, D. A. (2013). *Judgment in Managerial Decision Making*. Wiley.
- Brown, B. (2018). *Dare to Lead: Brave Work. Tough Conversations. Whole Hearts*. Random House.
- Cherniss, C. (2010). Emotional Intelligence: Toward Clarification of a Concept. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 3(2), 110-126.
- Collins, J. (2001). *Good to Great: Why Some Companies Make the Leap... and Others Don't*. HarperBusiness.
- Drucker, P. F. (2006). *The Effective Executive: The Definitive Guide to Getting the Right Things Done*. HarperBusiness.
- Edmondson, A. C. (2012). Teamwork on the Fly. *Harvard Business Review*, 90(4), 72-80.
- Gladwell, M. (2005). *Blink: The Power of Thinking Without Thinking*. Little, Brown and Company.
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional Intelligence: Why It Can Matter More Than IQ*. Bantam Books.
- Goleman, D. (2000). Leadership that Gets Results. *Harvard Business Review*, 78(2), 78-90.
- Grant, A. M. (2014). *Give and Take: A Revolutionary Approach to Success*. Penguin Books.
- Handoko, T. H. (2000). *Manajemen Personalia dan Sumber Daya Manusia*. BPFE-Yogyakarta.
- Hasibuan, M. S. P. (2014). *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia*. Bumi Aksara.
- Harvey, J. (1974). The Abilene Paradox: The Management of Agreement. *Organizational Dynamics*, 3(1), 63-80.
- Heider, F. (1958). *The Psychology of Interpersonal Relations*. Wiley.
- Heifetz, R. A., & Linsky, M. (2002). *Leadership on the Line: Staying Alive Through the Dangers of Leading*. Harvard Business School Press.
- Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Kotter, J. P. (1996). *Leading Change*. Harvard Business School Press.
- Lord, C. G., Ross, L., & Lepper, M. R. (1979). Biased Assimilation and Attitude Polarization: The Effects of Prior Theories on Subsequently Considered Evidence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 37(11), 2098-2109.
- Mangkunegara, A. P. (2009). *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Perusahaan*. Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Mayer, J. D., Salovey, P., & Caruso, D. R. (2008). Emotional Intelligence: New Ability or Eclectic Traits? *American Psychologist*, 63(6), 503-517.
- Nickerson, R. S. (1998). Confirmation Bias: A Ubiquitous Phenomenon in Many Guises. *Review of General Psychology*, 2(2), 175-220.
- Northouse, P. G. (2018). *Leadership: Theory and Practice* (8th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2019). *Organizational Behavior* (18th ed.). Pearson.
- Sayidah, N., Albab, U., Sugiyanto, H., & Mulyaningtyas, A. (2022). Factors Affecting Earning



<https://radjapublika.com/index.php/MORFAI>

Management in Companies on the Indonesia Stock Exchange: Study of Fraud and Corruption Practices.

Senge, P. M. (1990). *The Fifth Discipline: The Art and Practice of the Learning Organization*. Doubleday.

Siagian, S. P. (2003). *Kiat Meningkatkan Produktivitas Kerja*. Rineka Cipta.

Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases. *Science*, 185(4157), 1124-1131.

Wirawan. (2007). *Kepemimpinan: Teori, Psikologi, Perilaku Organisasi, Aplikasi dan Penelitian*. Rajawali Pers.