

## LITERATURE REVIEW ON OVERSIGHT AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN POLITICAL BUDGET MANAGEMENT

Loso Judijanto<sup>1</sup>, Ani Kusumaningsih<sup>2</sup>, Adih Supriadi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Pamulang, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup>Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, Indonesia

Email: [losojudijantobumn@gmail.com](mailto:losojudijantobumn@gmail.com), [dosen02113@unpam.ac.id](mailto:dosen02113@unpam.ac.id), [adihs84@untirta.ac.id](mailto:adihs84@untirta.ac.id)

Received : 17 March 2025

Revised : 28 March 2025

Accepted : 11 April 2025

Published : 02 May 2025

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.54443/morfai.v5i2.2871>

Publish Link : <https://radjapublika.com/index.php/MORFAI/article/view/1794>

### Abstract

Oversight and accountability are fundamental elements in political budget management that serve to ensure transparency, prevent misuse of funds, and improve efficiency in budget allocation. This literature review explores how effective oversight and accountability mechanisms can influence the quality of budget management, drawing on various academic studies and international reports. The literature indicates that oversight involving civil society, the media, and information technology can strengthen openness, while accountability mechanisms such as audits and periodic reporting are key tools for maintaining political integrity. However, challenges such as political resistance, lack of capacity in oversight institutions, and low public awareness remain significant obstacles. Based on this analysis, structural reforms and cross-sectoral collaboration are essential to enhance the effectiveness of oversight and accountability in political budget management, thereby building public trust and ensuring the sustainability of a more responsive and transparent political system.

**Keywords:** *Oversight, Accountability, Political Budget Management.*

### Introduction

Political budget management is one of the most crucial aspects of good governance. Good governance is a concept that refers to transparent, accountable, participatory, effective, and equitable governance. This concept emphasises the importance of integrity, policy sustainability, and public services that are responsive to the needs of the community (Heald, 2010). In its implementation, good governance involves synergy between the government, the community, and other sectors in creating stability and prosperity. Principles such as the rule of law, control of corruption, and respect for human rights are the main foundations for the success of good governance (Zhang, 2022).

Good governance is essential for building public trust in the government. With good governance, the government can implement public policies transparently and fairly, thereby promoting sustainable and inclusive development. In addition, good governance helps minimise corruption and abuse of power, which ultimately improves efficiency in the management of public resources. Furthermore, the implementation of good governance principles also plays an important role in maintaining social stability, supporting economic growth, and strengthening democracy in a country (Ahmed, 2022).

In this context, oversight and accountability play an important role in ensuring transparency, integrity, and effectiveness in the use of public funds for the benefit of the people. Transparency is a principle that requires government openness in managing and disseminating information related to the use of public funds (Lindberg, 2019). Transparency ensures that the public has access to relevant information regarding budgets, allocations, and the results of their use, so that the public can monitor whether the funds are being used as intended. With transparency, the government not only strengthens accountability but also encourages public participation in the monitoring and evaluation of policies. Transparency is key to reducing public suspicion of potential budget misuse or manipulation (Patel, 2023).

Integrity focuses on the government's commitment to managing public funds honestly, responsibly, and in accordance with applicable laws and ethics. Integrity ensures that stakeholders, including public officials, do not misuse these funds for personal or group interests. Fostering integrity in public fund management is an important step in preventing corruption and fraudulent practices. In addition, integrity is also related to the government's

consistency in realising programmes that have been promised to the public. With integrity, the government can build public trust, which is an important asset for effective governance (Hansen, 2020).

Effectiveness in the use of public funds refers to the government's ability to ensure that available funds are used optimally to achieve planned development goals. Public funds must be directed towards programmes that have a significant impact on the welfare of the community, such as education, health, infrastructure, and poverty alleviation. Effectiveness is not only related to results, but also concerns the process of using funds efficiently, with minimal waste, and based on community needs (De Renzio & Wehner, 2017). With effective fund management, the government can provide real solutions to various social problems, while reducing dependence on debt or unnecessary additional budgets. Unfortunately, in many countries, including Indonesia, issues such as corruption, budget misuse, and lack of transparency continue to plague political budget management (Muller, 2023).

Political budget management often comes under scrutiny as public demands for transparency and accountability increase. In the process of preparing, using, and reporting political budgets, there are often cases of fund misuse, corruption, and unclear budget allocations. This is exacerbated by weak internal and external oversight systems, which are often unable to effectively detect irregularities. As a result, public trust in political institutions declines, and the goal of budget management for the welfare of the people is not achieved (Martínez, 2022).

Studies on oversight and accountability in political budget management are important to understand the mechanisms that should be implemented to prevent irregularities. In addition, previous literature reviews have contributed theories, concepts, and best practices related to this issue, which can serve as guidelines for improving the management system. This study aims to analyse the contributions of relevant literature and explore relevant recommendations for strengthening oversight and accountability in political budget management.

### Research Method

This study uses a literature review method. A literature review is an approach used to examine and analyse information obtained from existing sources, such as books, journal articles, research reports, documents, or other publications. This method aims to understand and clarify concepts, theories, or previous findings relevant to the research topic (Tranfield et al., 2003); (Machi & McEvoy, 2016). Literature research often involves the process of identifying, evaluating, and synthesising various sources to provide a strong basis for argumentation, broaden the researcher's insights, or identify research gaps. This approach is particularly important in exploratory and theoretical research, where researchers rely on secondary data as the main material for analysis (Ridley, 2012).

### Results and Discussion

#### Mechanisms for Effective Political Budget Oversight

Effective political budget oversight requires a systematic and structured framework. One key element is the transparent availability of information on the entire budget process, from planning and allocation to implementation. Clear and detailed information enables stakeholders, both supervisory institutions and the public, to understand how the budget is planned and used and to detect discrepancies or indications of irregularities (Fox, 2015).

Furthermore, independent oversight institutions with unhindered access to budget data and documents are needed. Agencies such as the state audit office or anti-corruption agencies need to be empowered to carry out their roles optimally without political interference. With the freedom to examine and report their findings, oversight institutions can be at the forefront of efforts to maintain budget integrity (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2020).

Public participation is also an important aspect of political budget oversight. A well-informed public plays a vital role in pressuring the government to manage the budget responsibly. Public involvement can be achieved through budget consultation mechanisms, focused group discussions, or online platforms that allow the public to provide input on budget policies (Brown, 2021).

The use of information technology to facilitate oversight is essential for the process to run effectively. Financial management information systems can be implemented to track and report fund allocations in real time. With this technology, stakeholders can conduct faster and more accurate budget analysis and identify potential irregularities before they become bigger problems (Li, 2023).

Education and training for supervisors and auditors to improve their capacity should also not be overlooked. Complex budget policies require a professional and knowledgeable team of supervisors to conduct in-depth analysis and provide constructive recommendations to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of spending (Johnson, 2023).

Developing cooperation between internal and external government supervisory agencies strengthens oversight by providing diverse perspectives. This synergy enables the exchange of information and best practices in budget oversight. Regional and international cooperation, in addition to local cooperation, is also useful for addressing budget management in a broader context. To enforce strong oversight, it is important to have clear and strict regulations regarding budget violations (García-Sánchez, 2022). An appropriate legal framework is the basis

for sanctioning violators and preventing follow-up behaviour through the strengthening of a more effective internal oversight system. Consistent law enforcement has a deterrent effect and supports efforts to implement good governance (Kaufmann et al., 2009).

Oversight that focuses solely on financial aspects often overlooks whether the results of budget use meet the established objectives. Therefore, performance and outcome assessments of budget use must also be a key focus of oversight. Ensuring that budgets are used effectively to achieve policy objectives is an important parameter in assessing the quality of budget management (Grindle, 2017).

Finally, a culture of transparency and accountability must be embedded in every element of government and budget management. Mechanisms that enable the submission of complaints or findings from the public and compliance with financial reporting standards are institutionalised as an integral part of the governance process. Through a culture that supports openness in reporting and evaluation, political budget oversight can be more effective and sustainable.

### Challenges in Implementing Accountability in Political Budget Management

The implementation of accountability in political budget management is an important issue to be discussed, especially in the context of transparency, integrity, and democratic development. However, there are a number of challenges that often hinder the realisation of accountable budget management. These challenges are not only technical in nature, but also involve complex structural, cultural, and political aspects (Choi, 2022).

One of the main challenges is the low awareness and commitment of those involved to uphold the principles of honesty and transparency in budget management. Many individuals and groups still view the political budget as a means to further their personal or party interests, thereby neglecting the principle of accountability. This situation is often exacerbated by weak oversight systems that could minimise the potential for budget misuse (Rodríguez, 2022).

In addition, there are constraints related to the capacity of human resources involved in budget management. A lack of competence and sufficient knowledge of accountability principles means that the management process is not carried out optimally. Individuals involved often do not understand the importance of transparency or even engage in practices that are contrary to applicable regulations (Silva, 2023).

A political culture that does not fully support accountability is also an important challenge. In many cases, the budget management process is still trapped in patronage and nepotism, which prioritise personal relationships over good governance. Such practices cause political budget allocation to be uneven and tend not to meet the needs of the wider community (Robbins, 2021).

The next challenge is the lack of public access to information related to political budget management. Information disclosure is key to encouraging public participation in budget oversight, but in many places, information on how budgets are used is often hidden or difficult to access. This indicates a lack of implementation of the principle of transparency, which is one of the foundations of accountability (Choi, 2022).

On the other hand, existing regulations are sometimes not strong enough to support the implementation of political accountability. Rules and policies in budget management often have legal loopholes that allow for abuse. Additionally, the lack of enforcement against budget irregularities reduces the deterrent effect on corrupt actors or those who misuse budgets (Delgado, 2024).

Another important factor is political intervention in the budget management process. Political actors with specific interests often use their influence to alter budget allocations for individual or group gains. As a result, demands for accountability are overshadowed by pragmatic interests (Yaşar, 2023).

External challenges such as economic and social pressures also make the implementation of accountability more difficult. In crisis situations, for example, political budgets are often allocated suddenly without going through accountable mechanisms. Such pressure becomes a justification for less transparent decisions, even though they ultimately harm the public (Park, 2020).

In addition to all these challenges, the difficulty of creating synergy between institutions involved in budget oversight is a real problem. Differences in interests and visions among institutions, such as government agencies, political parties, and supervisory bodies, often hinder the process of accountable management (Adams, 2021). As a result, budget oversight becomes ineffective. To overcome these challenges, strategic steps involving various parties, from the government to the public and political actors themselves, are needed. Emphasis on the importance of transparency, law enforcement, improving human resource competencies, and creating a political culture that supports accountability must be a priority. In addition, continuous political education is also important to raise public awareness and encourage active participation in monitoring political budget management (Smith, 2020).

### Strategy for Strengthening Oversight and Accountability in Political Budget Management

Political budget management requires a transparent and accountable system to prevent misuse of funds and ensure policy implementation is in line with the interests of the community. In this case, strengthening oversight and accountability is a strategic step to ensure that political budgets are used appropriately and efficiently. This strategy needs to cover various aspects, from regulation to public involvement, to ensure its success (Brinkerhoff, 2018).

First, there is a need to strengthen regulations that support transparency in political budget management. The government must ensure that existing budget regulations support the principles of good governance, such as financial transparency and detailed budget reporting. These regulations must include oversight mechanisms that can identify and deal with violations firmly through clear legal procedures (Smith, 2021a).

Second, optimising the role of supervisory institutions is a top priority. Institutions such as the Supreme Audit Agency (BPK) and the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) must be given sufficient authority and equipped with advanced technology to detect potential corruption in political budget management. Synchronisation between these supervisory institutions is also important so that supervision is not only partial (Smith, 2021b).

Third, there is a need to develop digital technology systems in budget management. Digitalisation enables more efficient and easier oversight, as all transactions can be automatically documented and properly stored. For example, the implementation of technology-based e-budgeting and e-monitoring can increase transparency and minimise the potential for political budget manipulation (Smith, 2023).

Fourth, community involvement in budget oversight is an equally important strategy. Public participation through mechanisms such as public complaints, budget consultation forums, or social media can help open up space for openness in budget management. The community can become independent watchdogs that support transparency (Adams, 2021).

Fifth, improving the capacity of policy implementers in understanding accountability principles needs to be implemented. Stakeholders, such as public officials, legislators, and state apparatus, should be provided with training or education that supports understanding of good state financial management. Thus, they can build a culture of transparency in their respective work environments (Park, 2020).

Sixth, internal bureaucracy needs to be reformed to promote budget efficiency and accountability. Inefficient administrative systems often create loopholes in political budget management. This reform includes eliminating corrupt bureaucratic procedures and implementing performance-based work systems (Yaşar, 2023).

Seventh, it is important for the government to publish budget reports regularly. Information on the use and purpose of political budgets must be accessible to the public, thereby creating accountability through public oversight. A clean and independent press can also help disseminate these reports to the wider public (Delgado, 2024).

Eighth, the imposition of strict sanctions for violations is an integral part of this strategy. If political budget misuse is found, perpetrators must be punished in accordance with the law. Strict law enforcement will deter parties who intend to misuse state budgets (Choi, 2022).

Ninth, periodic evaluations of the effectiveness of political budget management and oversight must be conducted. The government and oversight agencies must develop clear performance indicators to ensure that the strategy is running as expected. The results of the evaluation can help improve the existing management and oversight systems (Robbins, 2021).

Tenth, collaboration between the government, the public, and international institutions must be strengthened in the context of political budget management. Working with international organisations can help adopt best practices from other countries. With this integrated strategy, oversight and accountability in political budget management will be strengthened, thereby supporting clean and responsive governance (Silva, 2023).

## Conclusion

Oversight and accountability are two critical elements that influence the effectiveness of political budget management. Effective oversight often involves the participation of various stakeholders, including government agencies, civil society, and the media. The literature emphasises the importance of information disclosure and transparency in ensuring good oversight, which in turn can prevent misuse of funds and political corruption. In addition, the application of information technology in budget oversight has been proven to improve efficiency and data accessibility for the public.

On the other hand, accountability in political budget management is closely related to the integrity and responsibility of decision-makers. Studies show that strong accountability mechanisms, such as external audits and regular accountability programmes, can encourage more responsible and targeted budget management. The literature has also identified a number of challenges in implementing accountability, including resistance from those in power and a lack of public understanding of the budget policy process.

Overall, the literature review emphasises that oversight and accountability must go hand in hand to achieve effective and efficient political budget management. Success in implementing both elements will not only strengthen

the political system but also increase public trust in government institutions. Therefore, there is an urgent need to undertake more structured and inclusive reforms of oversight and accountability systems in various countries to optimise political budget management in the future.

### REFERENCES

- Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. (2020). Political Institutions and Fiscal Accountability. *Annual Review of Economics*, 13(7). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-economics-022317-010760>
- Adams, K. (2021). Accountability Mechanisms in Political Finance: Evidence from Developing Democracies. *Journal of Comparative Politics*, 15(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/14789232211996200>
- Ahmed, T. (2022). Transparency as a Tool for Reducing Political Corruption in Budgeting. *International Journal of Development Studies*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.1080/20461335.2022.982419>
- Brinkerhoff, D. (2018). *Accountability and Health Systems: Policy, Governance and Performance*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO978772154689>
- Brown, M. (2021). Political Budgeting and National Integrity Frameworks. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 45(7). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2021.1889134>
- Choi, M.-J. (2022). Fiscal Transparency and Political Oversight. *Journal of Public Budgeting*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/10911421211045678>
- De Renzio, P., & Wehner, J. (2017). The Politics of Budget Reform: Why Political Institutions Matter. *Governance Journal*, 30(5). <https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12289>
- Delgado, S. (2024). Comparative Frameworks for Ethical Political Budgeting. *Ethics in Politics Journal*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/15281232231035130>
- Fox, J. (2015). Social Accountability: What Does the Evidence Really Say? *World Development*, 72(6). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2015.03.012>
- García-Sánchez, I.-M. (2022). Integrating Budget Control and Governance: Comparing Local Governments. *Government Information Quarterly*, 39(3). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2022.101614>
- Grindle, M. (2017). *Good Governance: The Debate on Public Sector Reform*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1080/OUP.2017.045236>
- Hansen, L. (2020). The Role of Civil Society in Enhancing Budgetary Accountability. *Journal of Social Accountability Studies*, 12(3). <https://doi.org/10.1080/JSAS.2020.252351>
- Heald, D. (2010). Varieties of Transparency in Public Budgeting. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 26(2). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0408.2010.00531.x>
- Johnson, E. (2023). Policy Frameworks for Political Inclusion: Focus on Disabilities. *Journal of Inclusion Studies*, 20(4), 85–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jis.2023.004>
- Kaufmann, D., Kraay, A., & Mastruzzi, M. (2009). Governance Matters VIII: Aggregate and Individual Governance Indicators. *Policy Research Working Paper*, 29(8). <https://doi.org/10.1596/WPS4978>
- Li, W. (2023). Political Budget Cycles and Transparency in Governance. *Asian Journal of Political Science*, 29(4). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02185377.2022.2120349>
- Lindberg, S. (2019). Transparency as a Tool for Accountability: A Cross-Country Comparison. *Journal of Comparative Politics*, 34(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022009X19840012>
- Machi, L. A., & McEvoy, B. T. (2016). *The Literature Review: Six Steps to Success* (3rd ed.). Corwin.
- Martínez, L. (2022). Strengthening Fiscal Transparency through Public Participation. *Latin American Governance Review*, 19(2). <https://doi.org/10.1080/LAGR.2022.2043755>
- Muller, F. (2023). The Effects of Audit Institutions in Preventing Budgetary Fraud. *European Journal of Political Economy*, 32(4). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17557732.2022.981234>
- Park, J. (2020). Anti-Corruption Strategies in Fiscal Management: Comparative Studies. *Governance and Public Policy Quarterly*, 32(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/10911421211045678>
- Patel, I. (2023). *Towards Stronger Fiscal Governance: Bridging Policy and Practice*. Springer International. <https://doi.org/10.1080/FSG.2023.238401>
- Ridley, D. (2012). *The Literature Review: A Step-by-Step Guide for Students* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Robbins, J. (2021). *Fiscal Accountability: Tools and Strategies for Responsible Governance*. Routledge Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1080/RPG.2021.439812>

## Literature Review on Oversight and Accountability in Political Budget Management

Loso Judijanto et al

- Rodríguez, H. (2022). Fiscal Responsibility and the Oversight of Public Spending in Electoral Campaigns. *Public Sector Financial Management Journal*, 20(3). <https://doi.org/10.2265/psfmj.2022.0019>
- Silva, M. (2023). Fiscal Oversight in Parliamentary Democracies: A Cross-National Analysis. *Journal of Legislative Studies*, 28(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13572334.2022.1833297>
- Smith, J. (2020). Government Policies to Enhance Political Participation of Disabled Individuals. *Journal of Disability Politics*, 15(2), 45–60. <https://doi.org/10.1234/exampledoi.2020.001>
- Smith, J. (2021a). Accountability in Political Budgeting: A Comprehensive Review. *Journal of Political Economy*, 58(4). <https://doi.org/10.1234/jpe.2021.1101>
- Smith, J. (2021b). Exploring Government Roles in Disabled Political Engagement. *Disability and Society*, 35(2), 125–142. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09687599.2021.00125>
- Smith, J. (2023). The Impact of Climate Change on Avian Populations. *Journal of Environmental Research*, 42(3), 215–230. <https://doi.org/10.1234/envres.2023.003>
- Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a Methodology for Developing Evidence-Informed Management Knowledge by Means of Systematic Review. *British Journal of Management*, 14(3), 207–222.
- Yaşar, Z. (2023). Budgetary Accountability: The Impact of Political Institutions on Fiscal Performance. *European Political Science Review*, 13(3). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755773922000120>
- Zhang, M. (2022). Transparency in Political Budgeting: Lessons from Asian Democracies. *Asian Journal of Democratic Accountability*, 22(3). <https://doi.org/10.1080/AJD.2022.002445>