Multidisciplinary Output Research For Actual and International Issue (MORFAI Journal) ISSN (e): 2808-6635
Volumes 6 No. 4 (2026)

A MARKET PENETRATION FRAMEWORK FOR HYPERSCALE DATA CENTERS IN INDONESIA: A MIXED-METHODS STUDY

Fariz Pradana[1]*, Harimukti Wandebori
School of Business and Management, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Indonesia
E-mail: fariz70pradana@gmail.com[1]*

Received	: 01 April 2026	Accepted	: 05 May 2026
Revised	: 10 April 2026	Published	: 17 June 2026

Abstract

This study develops a market penetration framework for a global hyperscale data center operator that faces slow customer acquisition in Indonesia despite having strong infrastructure capabilities and financial backing. A sequential exploratory mixed-methods design was employed, comprising semi-structured interviews with eight industry experts and a structured survey of 40 decision-makers from hyperscaler, financial services, enterprise, and government segments. The findings reveal four distinct customer clusters, AI Pioneers (hyperscalers), Regulated Guardians (financial services), Pragmatic Operators (enterprises), and Sovereign Stewards (government), each with unique needs regarding AI-readiness, compliance, managed services, and data sovereignty. A critical trust deficit (92% perceive limited local track record) and low brand awareness (52.5% unaware) are identified as primary barriers. Importance-Performance Analysis indicates that ARSA GDC has potential competitive advantages in liquid cooling readiness, high-density infrastructure, and sustainability, which directly address key incumbent weaknesses. The resulting five-layer framework prioritizes securing a hyperscaler anchor tenant as the critical catalyst, supported by asset-based value propositions, strategic partnerships, operational excellence, and a phased implementation roadmap. The framework provides actionable guidance for new entrants in capital-intensive B2B markets.

Keywords: market penetration, hyperscale data centers, B2B strategy, customer segmentation, Indonesia.

INTRODUCTION

The global hyperscale data center market is growing rapidly, driven by cloud computing, artificial intelligence (AI), and big data analytics (Cisco, 2023). By 2024, over 1,000 hyperscale facilities operated worldwide. Emerging markets such as Indonesia offer substantial opportunities due to large population, fast digital adoption, and data sovereignty requirements (Bank Indonesia, 2024; McKinsey, 2023). Indonesia's digital economy is expanding, with e-commerce projected to reach USD 160 billion by 2025 and public cloud spending growing 25% annually (IDC, 2024). Government initiatives, including PP 71/2019 on data localization and the Digital Indonesia Vision 2045, reinforce domestic data center demand.

Despite strong potential, the industry is highly competitive. An estimated 300MW of unmet hyperscale demand exists against only 150MW operational capacity, led by incumbents DCI Indonesia (40% market share), Telkomsigma, and NTT (Structure Research, 2024; Knight Frank, 2024). A global operator, anonymized here as ARSA GDC, entered Indonesia in 2020 through a joint venture. Despite possessing an AI-ready facility pipeline, including JKT3 with high-density and liquid-cooling capability, strong financial backing, and a carrier-neutral philosophy, ARSA GDC has secured only one customer. This reveals a strategic gap: unclear customer segmentation, insufficient understanding of customer values, and lack of an integrated market penetration strategy.

This research addresses three questions: (1) Who should be the primary and secondary target segments? (2) What do these customers truly value? (3) How can ARSA GDC develop an integrated framework to penetrate the market effectively? The study contributes a practical framework for the case company and extends segmentation and stakeholder theories to capital-intensive B2B emerging markets. The novelty of this study lies in integrating needs-based customer segmentation, competitive positioning, stakeholder engagement, and implementation planning into one market penetration framework for hyperscale data centers in an emerging market context.

LITERATURE REVIEW

This section reviews the theoretical foundations underpinning the study: customer segmentation theory, the Resource-Based View (RBV), stakeholder theory, and signaling and network effects theories.

Customer segmentation theory and institutional voids. Customer segmentation theory (Wind, 1978) explains that customers can be grouped by shared needs, enabling efficient resource allocation and tailored value propositions. Kotler and Keller (2016) outline a seven-step segmentation process. However, in emerging markets like Indonesia, institutional voids, the absence of reliable intermediaries, transparent processes, and market information, complicate segmentation (Khanna & Palepu, 2010). Firms must understand informal networks and procurement behaviors beyond formal data.

Resource-based view and competitive advantage. RBV (Barney, 1991) explains that competitive advantage derives from valuable, rare, inimitable, and organized (VRIO) resources and capabilities. Tangible resources (infrastructure, capital) and intangible assets (brand, certifications) must be deployed through organizational capabilities. Core competencies that meet VRIO criteria provide sustainable advantage. In capital-intensive industries, technology-based advantages such as AI-ready design may be temporary and need to be monetized before competitors are able to replicate similar capabilities.

Stakeholder theory. Freeman (1984) argues that success depends on managing all stakeholders with power or interest in the business. A Power-Interest Matrix classifies stakeholders into key players (high power, high interest), keep satisfied (high power, low interest), keep informed (low power, high interest), and minimal effort (low power, low interest). This framework is essential for B2B market penetration where ecosystem relationships, customers, infrastructure providers, partners, regulators, determine success. Network effects and signaling theories. Network effects theory (Katz & Shapiro, 1985) shows that platform value increases with user density. In data centers, an anchor tenant attracts more customers and partners. Signaling theory (Spence, 1973) addresses information asymmetry: credible signals (parent backing, certifications, reference customers) reduce perceived risk for new entrants.

Although previous studies have discussed market entry, customer segmentation, and competitive advantage separately, limited research has integrated these perspectives into a practical market penetration framework for hyperscale data center operators in emerging markets. This study addresses that gap by combining needs-based segmentation, internal capability analysis, stakeholder mapping, and signaling logic within the Indonesian data center context. Synthesis. Effective market penetration in capital-intensive B2B emerging markets requires: (1) needs-based segmentation accounting for institutional voids, (2) leveraging unique internal resources (especially temporary technological advantages), (3) managing a complex stakeholder ecosystem, and (4) using credible signals to overcome trust deficits.

METHOD

This study employed a sequential exploratory mixed-methods design (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

Phase 1: Qualitative data collection. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with eight industry experts representing: internal strategy (Country Director, Regional Strategy Lead); target customers (hyperscaler strategy managers, FSI CTO); infrastructure enablers (PLN technology director, ISP operations director); and talent ecosystem (university professor). Interviews lasted 45–60 minutes, recorded with consent, transcribed, and analyzed thematically.

Phase 2: Quantitative data collection. A structured online survey was distributed to 40 decision-makers (IT infrastructure, cloud strategy, procurement, C-level) from four segments: hyperscalers (n=28), financial services (n=6), large domestic enterprises (n=4), and government/SOEs (n=2). Respondents were selected using purposive sampling based on their involvement in IT infrastructure, cloud strategy, procurement, or executive-level decision-making related to data center services. The survey measured importance of 20 selection criteria (5-point Likert), awareness/perception of ARSA GDC, satisfaction with current providers, and unmet market needs. The sample size is acceptable for B2B research with a limited population (Hair et al., 2014). However, findings for the enterprise and government segments should be interpreted as directional because of the smaller number of respondents in these groups.

Data analysis. Qualitative data were analyzed using thematic analysis. Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and ANOVA to identify differences across customer segments. Reliability analysis yielded Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.91$ for all importance items (excellent). Triangulation across qualitative, quantitative, and secondary data (Knight Frank, Structure Research, IDC) enhanced validity. All participants gave informed consent; responses were anonymized.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Customer segmentation (WHO)

The analysis identified four distinct customer clusters (Table 1). Cluster 1: AI Pioneers (Hyperscalers) represent the primary target (70% of sample). Their highest-rated requirements include high-density capacity (>20kW/rack: 4.85/5), scalability speed (4.80), data sovereignty (4.82), and ISO 27001 (4.75). Liquid cooling readiness (4.45) and sustainability (4.30) are emerging differentiators. 89% cite AI-ready infrastructure as their top unmet need. Cluster 2: Regulated Guardians (FSI) (15%) prioritize PCI DSS (4.80) and audit support; 67% identify managed services as unmet. Cluster 3: Pragmatic Operators (Enterprise) (10%) are price-sensitive (low pricing: 4.75), with 100% requiring managed services. Cluster 4: Sovereign Stewards (Government) (5%) demand absolute sovereignty and compliance.

ANOVA confirmed significant differences across segments for liquid cooling ($F=15.28$, $p<0.001$), PCI DSS ($F=12.43$, $p<0.001$), low pricing ($F=5.89$, $p<0.01$), and sustainability ($F=5.67$, $p<0.01$). A single undifferentiated approach would fail.

Table 1. Customer cluster profiles

Cluster	Description	Core Needs	Strategic Priority
AI Pioneers	Hyperscalers (AWS, Google, Microsoft, ByteDance)	AI-ready infrastructure, liquid cooling, high density, sustainability, scalability	Primary
Regulated Guardians	Financial services (banks, fintech, insurance)	PCI DSS compliance, data sovereignty, SLAs, audit support, managed services	Secondary
Pragmatic Operators	Large domestic enterprises	Low pricing, managed services, predictable TCO, remote hands	Tertiary
Sovereign Stewards	Government / SOEs	Absolute sovereignty, compliance, reliability	Opportunistic

Trust deficit and competitive positioning (WHAT)

Despite strong assets, ARSA GDC faces a critical trust deficit: 92% of respondents agreed the company has a "limited local track record" (mean 4.45/5), and 52.5% were unaware of the company. However, incumbents, particularly market leader DCI Indonesia, are perceived as under-delivering on liquid cooling readiness (gap +1.55), high-density capacity (+1.45), flexible contract terms (+1.25), and sustainability (+1.05). Importance-Performance Analysis (IPA) comparing ARSA GDC against DCI revealed three clear points of difference (Table 2): AI-ready infrastructure (+1.40 gap), liquid cooling readiness (+2.05), and sustainability leadership (+1.50). These temporary advantages (12-18 month lead) directly target incumbent weaknesses. ARSA's permanent capital and genuine carrier neutrality represent sustained advantages.

Table 2. Competitive performance score – ARSA GDC vs. DCI Indonesia

Factor	Importance	DCI	ARSA	Gap (ARSA-DCI)
High density	4.55	3.10	4.50	**+1.40**
Liquid cooling	3.95	2.40	4.45	**+2.05**
Sustainability	3.85	2.80	4.30	**+1.50**
Flexible contracts	4.45	3.20	3.80	+0.60
Managed services	4.05	3.20	2.50	**–0.70**
Scalability	4.68	3.90	4.20	+0.30

The negative gap in managed services indicates that ARSA GDC's current colocation-focused portfolio remains insufficient for enterprise and some FSI customers. Therefore, while ARSA GDC has strong technical differentiation for hyperscalers, portfolio expansion is still required to serve broader market segments.

The anchor tenant as strategic catalyst

The central finding is that securing one Tier-1 hyperscaler anchor tenant with public reference rights serves as a catalyst to address the trust deficit, validate the technical value proposition, create a credible reference customer, and accelerate all other strategic objectives. This is grounded in network effects (anchor attracts more customers) and signaling theory (marquee customer reduces perceived risk). Without an anchor, ARSA remains "credible on paper, unproven in market."

Integrated market penetration framework (HOW)

Based on these findings, a five-layer framework was developed, as shown in Figure 1. Layer 1 (WHO) prioritizes target segments. Layer 2 (WHAT) positions JKT3 as "AI-First Differentiated Leadership" for hyperscalers and JKT1 as "Value and Compliance Bridge" for FSI/enterprise. Layer 3 (HOW) comprises five strategic pillars: anchor tenant acquisition, portfolio expansion (managed services), ecosystem partnerships (PLN, connectivity, academia), operational excellence (automated cross-connects), and brand building. Layer 4 provides a three-phase implementation roadmap (Foundation 2026, Acceleration 2027, Expansion 2028) with seven SMART goals (G1–G7). Layer 5 establishes KPIs and governance mechanisms. This layered structure ensures that market segmentation is directly linked to asset positioning, strategic initiatives, implementation priorities, and measurable performance outcomes.

OPEN ACCESS

Image 1. Integrated market penetration framework

The critical path of the framework is Goal 1, which is to secure a Tier-1 hyperscaler anchor tenant by December 2026. All other goals depend on or are accelerated by this milestone.

CONCLUSION

This study developed a practical market penetration framework for a hyperscale data center operator in Indonesia. Key conclusions: (1) The market comprises four distinct customer clusters with fundamentally different needs, confirming segmentation is essential. (2) A critical trust deficit, reflected in the lack of local track record and low brand awareness, is the primary barrier, more significant than technical or pricing factors. (3) Incumbent gaps in AI-readiness, sustainability, and commercial flexibility represent clear opportunities. (4) Securing a Tier-1 hyperscaler anchor tenant is the most important strategic priority because it can address the trust deficit, validate ARSA GDC's technical value proposition, and create a credible market reference. (5) The proposed five-layer framework provides an actionable roadmap for 2026–2028.

Implications. Practically, the framework guides anchor tenant acquisition, PLN partnership deepening, and managed services development. Theoretically, this study extends the application of segmentation, stakeholder, signaling, and network effects theories into a capital-intensive B2B market context, particularly in an emerging market where institutional voids and trust deficits strongly influence customer decisions. Limitations and future research. The quantitative sample (n=40) limits generalizability, particularly for enterprise and government subsamples. The study focuses on Greater Jakarta; future research should examine regional hubs (Batam, Surabaya). Rapid AI evolution requires longitudinal tracking. Future directions include liquid cooling adoption rates, green power procurement models, and comparative studies across Southeast Asian markets.

REFERENCES

Andersen, B., & Fagerhaug, T. (2006). *Root cause analysis: Simplified tools and techniques* (2nd ed.). ASQ Quality Press.

Bank Indonesia. (2024). *E-money and digital banking statistics 2024*. Jakarta: Bank Indonesia Publications.

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management, 17*(1), 99-120.

Cisco. (2023). *Global hyperscale data center market report 2023*. San Jose, CA: Cisco Systems.

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Dunning, J. H. (2001). The eclectic (OLI) paradigm of international production. *International Journal of the Economics of Business, 8*(2), 173-190.

Dyer, J. H., & Singh, H. (1998). The relational view. *Academy of Management Review, 23*(4), 660-679.

Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman.

Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2014). *Multivariate data analysis* (7th ed.). Pearson.

OPEN ACCESS

IDC (International Data Corporation). (2024). *Indonesia cloud and infrastructure spending trends*. Jakarta: IDC Indonesia.
Katz, M. L., & Shapiro, C. (1985). Network externalities, competition, and compatibility. *American Economic Review, 75*(3), 424-440.
Khanna, T., & Palepu, K. (2010). *Winning in emerging markets*. Harvard Business Press.
Knight Frank. (2024). *Asia-Pacific data centre market comparison*. Singapore: Knight Frank Research.
Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing management* (15th ed., Global ed.). Pearson.
Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2002). Building a practically useful theory of goal setting. *American Psychologist, 57*(9), 705-717.
McKinsey & Company. (2023). *Indonesia's digital economy: Pathways to growth*. Jakarta: McKinsey & Company.
Porter, M. E. (1980). *Competitive strategy*. The Free Press.
Spence, M. (1973). Job market signaling. *Quarterly Journal of Economics, 87*(3), 355-374.
Structure Research. (2024). *Hyperscale demand-supply gap in Southeast Asia*. Toronto: Structure Research.
Wind, Y. (1978). Issues and advances in segmentation research. *Journal of Marketing Research, 15*(3), 317-337.